

RYMAN

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC.

A REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST



2015

ANNUAL REPORT



About Us

Based in Nashville, Tennessee, Ryman Hospitality Properties (NYSE: RHP) is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) specializing in group-oriented, destination hotel assets in urban and resort markets. Our managed assets include a network of four upscale, meetings-focused resorts totaling 7,795 rooms that are managed by world-class lodging operator Marriott International under the Gaylord Hotels brand. Other Marriott-managed assets include Gaylord Springs Golf Links, the Wildhorse Saloon, the General Jackson Showboat, The Inn at Opryland, a 303-room overflow hotel adjacent to Gaylord Opryland, and AC Hotel National Harbor, a 192-room hotel located near Gaylord National.

Ryman Hospitality Properties also operates a number of media and entertainment assets. These assets include the Grand Ole Opry, the legendary weekly showcase of country music’s finest performers for 90 years; the Ryman Auditorium, the storied former home of the Grand Ole Opry located in downtown Nashville; and 650 AM WSM, the Opry’s radio home.

Our Vision

Our vision is to leverage our expertise in yielding attractive returns on group-oriented resort assets to generate income and enhanced long-term value for our stockholders. Ryman Hospitality Properties is also committed to preserving and fostering the rich heritage of our entertainment brands through aggressive management and sustained growth.

ONE GAYLORD DRIVE
NASHVILLE, TN 37214

615-316-6000

RYMANHP.COM



Dear Fellow Shareholders,

At the outset of last year, we believed that 2015 would be another great year for our Company, and I am pleased to say it was, in fact, our best year yet from a revenue, profitability and sales production standpoint.

In a year where many of our peers and the broader market faced some challenges, our Company (including reinvestment of dividends) outperformed the S&P 500 Index and the FTSE NAREIT Lodging/Resort Property Index and generally met the FTSE NAREIT Equity REITS Index. There is perhaps no better way to illustrate our track record for creating shareholder value than to compare our total cumulative shareholder return (including dividend reinvestment) to these benchmarks over a longer period, as we have done on page i. As this figure illustrates, not only did we generally meet or outperform these benchmarks in 2015, but also over the past 3, 5 and 8 years.

The simple fact is our Company has been and continues to be in a great position—as underscored by our performance last year—and I believe the best is yet to come. Let’s discuss some highlights from 2015, and then I will share some thoughts on 2016 and beyond.

ANOTHER RECORD YEAR FOR OUR HOSPITALITY SEGMENT

The close of 2015 marked three full years since we converted to a real estate investment trust. Since that time, we have worked with our operator Marriott to achieve significant operating synergies that, coupled with the appropriate sales infrastructure, led to record revenue and profitability results for the second consecutive year in 2015. In addition, we capped the year off in the fourth quarter 2015 with the best forward group sales production for any quarter in our Company’s history. Gross bookings for full year 2015 came in at just over 2.3 million room nights, which made it our biggest production year on record.

While the Hospitality segment as a whole had a record year, 2015 was a standout year for Gaylord Opryland and Gaylord Texan in particular. For the second straight year, Gaylord Opryland generated more than \$100 million in Adjusted EBITDA and delivered significant margin improvement—quite a feat considering the record performance it had in 2014. Gaylord Texan also had a record year with Adjusted EBITDA growth of more than 20 percent.

We are enthusiastic about the long-term growth prospects in all of our hotel markets and continue to view our own hotels as some of our best investment opportunities. To that end, in April of 2015, we announced plans for a \$20 million, 24,000-square-foot waterfront ballroom with 16,000 square feet of meeting space and an expanded outdoor events terrace at Gaylord National, which should be complete in 2016. This announcement came on the heels of the grand opening of our AC Hotel at National Harbor, a 192-room hotel at the doorstep of Gaylord National. We believe both of these projects are ideally positioned to take advantage of National Harbor’s rising popularity as a prime leisure destination.

Although we formally announced these plans in January of 2016, Gaylord Texan’s stellar 2015 performance cemented our decision to move forward with a \$120 million expansion project that will add 86,000 square feet of meeting space, 300 guest rooms and a resort pool expansion to that property. We believe this expansion, projected to be completed in 2018, will accommodate substantial existing unmet demand and will induce new demand among larger groups that have not yet experienced Gaylord Texan.

TRULY ONE-OF-A-KIND ENTERTAINMENT ASSETS

Our Entertainment business produced another impressive growth year in 2015, with a double-digit year-over-year increase in revenue and Adjusted EBITDA. We also continued our strategic investments in this business in 2015 to capitalize on what we believe is the early stage of Nashville's rise as a major international tourism destination.

These investments included the previously-announced expansion project at the historic Ryman Auditorium, which we unveiled in June. This \$14 million project included a new daytime tour experience, cafe and expanded facilities. We are thrilled with the outcome and so are our visitors, Nashville locals and our artist community.

In the back half of 2015, we undertook a comprehensive strategic review to understand the landscape of opportunity for our Entertainment segment and its valuable assets. That review identified three research-backed focus areas we believe will maximize this segment's potential. We are exploring these focus areas in depth in 2016 as we determine the ideal long-term structure for this business. There is more work to be done on this front, but I can say with confidence that I have never been more excited about the future of these one-of-a-kind businesses.

OPPORTUNISTIC BUT DISCIPLINED CAPITAL ALLOCATION AND BALANCE SHEET MANAGEMENT

Our constant focus as a management team is to reward our stockholders through value creation that includes an attractive dividend while also maintaining a strong balance sheet and the flexibility to take advantage of opportunities as they arise. This focus again guided our capital deployment in 2015.

In March of 2015, we completed a warrant repurchase of all of our outstanding common stock warrants associated with our previously outstanding convertible senior notes. We completed this transaction in cash, which avoided any potential equity dilution associated with these warrants. In April 2015, we were able to take advantage of historically low interest rates to realign a portion of our fixed and floating debt and extend the maturity out to 2023.

In August, our Board of Directors approved a \$100 million share repurchase, which was put in place so we would be well-positioned to enter the market in the event that we felt the intrinsic value of our business was not reflected in our equity price. As I write this, we have currently repurchased and cancelled over 500,000 shares of our common stock under this plan since the beginning of 2016. Given the long-term prospects for our business, we believe this was a very good use of capital.

Since our REIT conversion, we have consistently paid one of the largest dividends among our REIT peers. Our 2015 total annual dividend was \$2.70 per common share, which is about 23 percent more than our 2014 annual dividend. It is our intention to pay a \$3.00 annual dividend in 2016, or an 11 percent increase over 2015. This increase reflects our continued confidence in our businesses and is consistent with what is required under applicable tax requirements given our estimated 2016 taxable REIT income.

LOOKING AHEAD

As we consider the direction of our business and what the future holds, we are optimistic about 2016 and beyond. We have a unique group-centric model that provides us exceptional long-term visibility. Given that large group meeting demand is on the rise while "big box" hotel room supply in the United States has stayed relatively stagnant, we believe there is a real opportunity for growth within our existing portfolio for the foreseeable future.

Looking even further ahead, there is very little new competitive supply under development in this country outside of Gaylord Rockies, a project we are thrilled to be a part of. We have believed for many years that a Gaylord property in the Denver region of Colorado will be a game-changer for the brand. It is our belief that Gaylord Rockies will introduce an entirely new set of customers to the Gaylord Hotels model while allowing existing customers to book within the brand when they rotate to the western portion of the country. Our 35

percent ownership stake and asset management duties allow us to have meaningful involvement in this milestone expansion of the Gaylord Hotels brand.

I also believe the timing has never been better to invest in our Nashville-based assets. The Nashville brand continues to strengthen as country music gains traction among all age groups and across all regions of the United States. At the same time, the Nashville lodging market continues to see unprecedented growth. With a stable of entertainment assets that have been the cornerstone of Music City for 90 years, we are ideally positioned to take advantage of this rise in popularity from both a lodging and attractions standpoint.

In closing, I am confident we have assembled the right team to oversee and guide the growth we are anticipating on both sides of our business. As you will read in this year's Proxy, two of our longer-serving Board Members are not standing for re-election. Ed Gaylord has been associated with our Company and predecessor company for almost four decades, and he has decided it is time to retire. Ralph Horn, who, alas, has reached our Company's retirement age, is also stepping down. Ralph has acted as Lead Director since I became Chairman in 2005 and has helped steer the business through an extraordinary transition. On behalf of the Board, I would like to thank both Ed and Ralph for their years of service. To take their place, we recently appointed two new members to our Board of Directors, William Hagerty and Rachna Bhasin, both of whom bring a unique perspective to the Company and our strategic growth initiatives.


It is my steadfast belief that this Company is in the very best shape it has ever been in. For that, I would like to thank all of our employees, our executive team, our Board of Directors and our partners at Marriott for their hard work and commitment to making our Company more successful every day. I look forward to continuing that positive momentum in 2016 and beyond.

Warm Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Colin V. Reed', with a long horizontal line extending to the right from the end of the signature.

Colin V. Reed

Figure 1: The following chart compares the total cumulative shareholder return to shareholders of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.'s common stock relative to the total cumulative total shareholder returns of the FTSE NAREIT Lodging/Resort Property Index, FTSE NAREIT Equity REITS Index and the S&P 500 Index over the past 1, 3, 5 and 8 years. Total cumulative shareholder return is equal to stock price appreciation plus dividends, with dividends reinvested quarterly.



The total cumulative shareholder return figures presented above are not necessarily indicative of future shareholder return. In addition, SEC rules require that registrants include in their annual report a five-year performance graph reflecting the change in the shareholder return of a registrant over a five-year period, assuming reinvestment of dividends, in comparison to the five-year stock performance of other stock indices and/or peer issuers. The chart set forth above is provided in addition to the five-year performance graph required by SEC rules. For the five-year performance graph included in this annual report as required by SEC rules, see page F-98 of this annual report.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
2015 FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Selected Financial Data	F-1
Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	F-3
Risk Factors	F-30
Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	F-50
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-51
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	F-52
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-53
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-54
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity	F-55
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-56
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-96
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	F-97
Performance of the Company’s Common Stock	F-98

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected historical financial information of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. (the “Company”) and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 was derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included herein. The selected financial information as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2012 was derived from previously issued audited consolidated financial statements. As a result of the updates to the hospitality industry’s *Uniform System of Accounts for the Lodging Industry, Eleventh Revised Edition*, as discussed further in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included herein, certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the 2015 presentation. The information in the following table should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and related notes as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 included herein (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Income Statement Data:					
Revenues:					
Rooms	\$ 404,457	\$ 384,185	\$357,313	\$ 365,611	\$351,567
Food and beverage	461,157	437,673	403,725	420,707	402,733
Other hotel revenue	128,989	132,308	117,471	129,723	132,334
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	97,521	86,825	76,053	70,553	65,510
Total revenues	<u>1,092,124</u>	<u>1,040,991</u>	<u>954,562</u>	<u>986,594</u>	<u>952,144</u>
Operating expenses:					
Rooms	110,067	111,864	103,171	93,919	93,018
Food and beverage	261,580	248,358	237,153	242,739	235,193
Other hotel expenses	312,989	311,836	298,830	317,624	317,964
Management fees	14,657	16,151	14,652	4,207	—
Total hotel operating expenses	<u>699,293</u>	<u>688,209</u>	<u>653,806</u>	<u>658,489</u>	<u>646,175</u>
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	67,363	59,815	56,528	52,130	51,364
Corporate	28,914	27,573	26,292	46,876	48,152
REIT conversion costs (1)	—	—	22,190	101,964	—
Casualty loss	—	—	54	858	1,225
Preopening costs	909	11	—	340	408
Impairment and other charges (2)	19,200	—	2,976	—	—
Depreciation and amortization:					
Hospitality	105,876	103,422	103,147	107,343	109,521
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	5,747	5,258	5,368	5,119	5,261
Corporate and Other	2,760	3,598	8,013	18,229	10,507
Total depreciation and amortization	<u>114,383</u>	<u>112,278</u>	<u>116,528</u>	<u>130,691</u>	<u>125,289</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>930,062</u>	<u>887,886</u>	<u>878,374</u>	<u>991,348</u>	<u>872,613</u>
Operating income (loss):					
Hospitality	189,434	162,535	121,556	150,210	130,939
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	24,411	21,752	14,157	13,305	8,884
Corporate and Other	(31,674)	(31,171)	(34,305)	(65,107)	(58,659)
REIT conversion costs (1)	—	—	(22,190)	(101,964)	—
Casualty loss	—	—	(54)	(858)	(1,225)
Preopening costs	(909)	(11)	—	(340)	(408)
Impairment and other charges (2)	(19,200)	—	(2,976)	—	—
Total operating income (loss)	<u>162,062</u>	<u>153,105</u>	<u>76,188</u>	<u>(4,754)</u>	<u>79,531</u>
Interest expense	(63,901)	(61,447)	(60,916)	(58,582)	(74,673)
Interest income	12,384	12,075	12,267	12,307	12,460
Net loss on extinguishment of debt (3)	—	(2,148)	(4,181)	—	—
Other gains and (losses) (4)	(10,889)	23,400	2,332	22,351	279
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	<u>99,656</u>	<u>124,985</u>	<u>25,690</u>	<u>(28,678)</u>	<u>17,597</u>
(Provision) benefit for income taxes (5)	<u>11,855</u>	<u>1,467</u>	<u>92,662</u>	<u>2,034</u>	<u>(7,420)</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>111,511</u>	<u>126,452</u>	<u>118,352</u>	<u>(26,644)</u>	<u>10,177</u>
Loss on call spread modification related to convertible notes (6)	—	(5,417)	(4,869)	—	—
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 111,511</u>	<u>\$ 121,035</u>	<u>\$113,483</u>	<u>\$ (26,644)</u>	<u>\$ 10,177</u>
Basic income per share available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 2.18</u>	<u>\$ 2.38</u>	<u>\$ 2.22</u>	<u>\$ (0.56)</u>	<u>\$ 0.21</u>
Fully diluted income per share available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 2.16</u>	<u>\$ 2.17</u>	<u>\$ 1.81</u>	<u>\$ (0.56)</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>
Dividends Declared per Common Share (7)	<u>\$ 2.70</u>	<u>\$ 2.20</u>	<u>\$ 2.00</u>	<u>\$ 6.84</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

As of December 31,

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Balance Sheet Data:					
Total assets	\$2,331,434	\$2,391,500	\$2,405,323	\$2,521,104	\$2,538,812
Total debt	1,431,710	1,319,909	1,135,114	1,020,516	1,057,878
Total stockholders' equity (8)	379,562	401,407	757,695	853,598	1,045,535

- (1) We have segregated all costs related to the transactions that facilitated our conversion to a real estate investment trust ("REIT") from normal operations and reported these amounts as REIT conversion costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. During 2013, we incurred \$22.2 million of REIT conversion costs, which includes \$14.4 million in employment, severance and retention costs, \$2.7 million in professional fees, and \$5.1 million in various other transition costs. During 2012, we incurred \$102.0 million of REIT conversion costs, which includes \$33.3 million of non-cash impairment charges, \$23.1 million in professional fees, \$24.4 million in employment, severance and retention costs, and \$21.2 million in various other transition costs.
- (2) Impairment charges in 2015 include costs associated with our decision to move forward with an expansion of the guest rooms and convention space at Gaylord Texan. This capital project replaced a previously contemplated expansion that we began incurring design costs for during 2007 and had been subsequently put on hold. As the new project will be substantially different from the previously contemplated project, we incurred an impairment charge of \$16.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. In addition, during 2015, we incurred \$2.9 million in impairment charges related to assets previously used in special events programming that is being discontinued. Impairment charges in 2013 are primarily associated with disposed equipment at Gaylord National and the decision not to move forward with a proposed expansion at Gaylord Palms in the near-term.
- (3) During 2014, we settled the repurchase of and subsequently cancelled \$56.3 million of our 3.75% convertible notes in private transactions for aggregate consideration of \$120.2 million. In addition, prior to their maturity we early settled the conversion of \$15.3 million of convertible notes that were converted by holders. We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$2.1 million in 2014 as a result of these transactions. During 2013, we settled the repurchase of and subsequently cancelled \$54.7 million of our 3.75% convertible notes in private transactions for aggregate consideration of \$98.6 million. In addition, we settled \$1.2 million of convertible notes that were converted by a holder. We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$4.2 million in 2013 as a result of these transactions.
- (4) Other gains and (losses) for 2015 includes a \$20.2 million loss on the repurchase of a portion of the common stock warrants associated with our convertible notes. Other gains and (losses) for 2015 also includes a \$6.9 million gain associated with the reimbursement by the current developer of costs that were previously incurred related to a proposed development in Aurora, Colorado. These costs were impaired in 2012 as part of our strategic shift away from long-term development. Other gains and (losses) for 2014 includes a \$26.1 million gain associated with the sale of our rights in a letter of intent which entitled us to a portion of an economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project in National Harbor, Maryland and a \$4.2 million loss on the repurchase of a portion of the common stock warrants associated with our convertible notes. Other gains and (losses) for 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 includes \$2.5 million, \$2.4 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.3 million in income, respectively, received from the marketing and maintenance fund associated with the Gaylord National bonds. Other gains and (losses) for 2012 includes \$20.0 million in income recognized on the sale of intellectual property to Marriott.
- (5) Benefit for income taxes during 2013 includes a benefit of \$64.8 million related to the REIT conversion and a benefit of \$19.2 million related to our current period operations.
- (6) In 2014 and 2013, in connection with the repurchase of portions of our 3.75% convertible notes, we entered into agreements with the note hedge counterparties to our convertible notes to proportionately reduce the number of related purchased options and warrants as described in Note 5 of our consolidated financial statements included herein. In addition, in 2014, we entered into agreements with the note hedge counterparties to cash settle the remaining outstanding warrants prior to their maturity. These agreements were considered modifications to the purchased options and the warrants, and based on the terms of the agreements, we recognized a charge of \$5.4 million and \$4.9 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, which is recorded as an increase to accumulated deficit and either additional paid-in-capital or derivative liabilities, as applicable based on whether the modification was settled in shares of common stock or cash, in the consolidated balance sheets included herein. This charge also represents a deduction from net income in calculating net income available to common stockholders and earnings per share available to common stockholders in the consolidated statements of operations included herein.
- (7) Dividends declared for 2015 represent quarterly dividends totaling \$2.70 per share, or an aggregate of \$138.4 million in cash. Dividends declared for 2014 represent quarterly dividends totaling \$2.20 per share, or an aggregate of \$112.0 million in cash. Dividends declared for 2013 represent quarterly dividends totaling \$2.00 per share, or an aggregate of \$101.7 million in cash. Dividends declared for 2012 reflects the aggregate declared per share value of the special dividend paid on December 21, 2012. We distributed an aggregate amount of approximately \$309.8 million. Twenty percent, or \$62.0 million, of the special dividend was paid in cash, and the remainder was paid in shares of our common stock.
- (8) As a result of the modifications to the warrant agreements described above, the fair value of the warrants at the modification date was reclassified from additional paid-in-capital to derivative liabilities, resulting in a \$304.4 million reduction to stockholders' equity during 2014.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We are a Delaware corporation, originally incorporated in 1956, that, following our REIT conversion, began operating as a self-advised and self-administered REIT for federal income tax purposes on January 1, 2013, specializing in group-oriented, destination hotel assets in urban and resort markets. Our owned assets include a network of four upscale, meetings-focused resorts totaling 7,795 rooms that are managed by Marriott International, Inc. ("Marriott") under the Gaylord Hotels brand. These four resorts, which we refer to as our Gaylord Hotels properties, consist of the Gaylord Opryland Resort & Convention Center in Nashville, Tennessee ("Gaylord Opryland"), the Gaylord Palms Resort & Convention Center near Orlando, Florida ("Gaylord Palms"), the Gaylord Texan Resort & Convention Center near Dallas, Texas ("Gaylord Texan") and the Gaylord National Resort & Convention Center near Washington D.C. ("Gaylord National"). Our other owned assets managed by Marriott include Gaylord Springs Golf Links ("Gaylord Springs"), the Wildhorse Saloon, the General Jackson Showboat ("General Jackson"), the Inn at Opryland (renamed from the Radisson Hotel at Opryland), a 303-room overflow hotel adjacent to Gaylord Opryland, and the AC Hotel at National Harbor, Washington D.C. ("AC Hotel"), a 192-room overflow hotel adjacent to Gaylord National that opened in April 2015. We also own and operate media and entertainment assets including the Grand Ole Opry, the legendary weekly showcase of country music's finest performers for over 90 years; the Ryman Auditorium, the storied live music venue and former home of the Grand Ole Opry located in downtown Nashville; and WSM-AM, the Opry's radio home.

Each of our award-winning Gaylord Hotels properties incorporates not only high quality lodging, but also at least 400,000 square feet of meeting, convention and exhibition space, superb food and beverage options and retail and spa facilities within a single self-contained property. As a result, our Gaylord Hotels properties provide a convenient and entertaining environment for convention guests. Our Gaylord Hotels properties focus on the large group meetings market in the United States.

In 2012, we completed restructuring transactions to facilitate our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Our goal is to become the nation's premier hospitality REIT for group-oriented, destination hotel assets in urban and resort markets.

Marriott manages the day-to-day operations of our Gaylord Hotels properties, the Inn at Opryland, the AC Hotel, and certain of our Nashville attractions. As a result, we rely upon Marriott to generate occupancy and revenue levels at our hotel properties.

Our concentration in the hospitality industry, and in particular the large group meetings sector of the hospitality industry, exposes us to certain risks outside of our control. Past recessionary conditions in the national economy, including U.S. government sequestration, have previously resulted in economic pressures on the hospitality industry generally, and on our properties.

For much of 2013, we experienced difficulties in in-the-year, for-the-year sales booking levels, as overall weakness in the group sector and difficulties related to the management transition to Marriott impacted our 2013 results. Joint efforts with Marriott resulted in improvements in the latter half of 2013 and in 2014 and 2015, including increased bookings over the prior year; however, we are continuing to work with Marriott in these areas to pursue further improvements.

See "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" below for important information regarding forward-looking statements made in this annual report and risks and uncertainties we face.

Dividend Policy and Share Repurchase Program

Pursuant to our current dividend policy, we plan to pay a quarterly cash dividend to stockholders in an amount equal to an annualized payment of at least 50% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined by us) less maintenance capital expenditures, or 100% of REIT taxable income, whichever is greater. During 2015, the Company's board of directors declared quarterly dividends totaling \$2.70 per share of common stock, or an aggregate of \$138.4 million in cash. During 2014, the Company's board of directors declared quarterly dividends totaling \$2.20 per share of common stock, or an aggregate of \$112.0 million in cash. During 2013, the Company's board of directors declared quarterly dividends totaling \$2.00 per share of common stock, or an aggregate of \$101.7 million in cash. The declaration, timing and amount of dividends will be determined by future action of our board of directors. Our dividend policy may be altered at any time by our board of directors.

On August 20, 2015, we announced that our board of directors authorized a share repurchase program for up to \$100.0 million of our common stock using cash on hand and borrowings under our revolving credit line. The repurchases are intended to be implemented through open market transactions on U.S. exchanges or in privately negotiated transactions, in accordance with applicable securities laws, with any market purchases to be made during open trading window periods or pursuant to any applicable SEC Rule 10b5-1 trading plans. The authorization extends until December 31, 2016. The timing, prices, and sizes of repurchases will depend upon prevailing market prices, general economic and market conditions and other considerations. The repurchase program does not obligate us to acquire any particular amount of stock. We did not make any repurchases under this authorization during 2015.

Debt Transactions

As further described below in "Liquidity and Capital Resources—Principal Debt Agreements," (i) in the first quarter of 2015, we cash settled the remaining 4.7 million warrants associated with our previously outstanding 3.75% convertible notes for total consideration of \$154.7 million, (ii) in April 2015, certain of our subsidiaries completed the private placement of \$400.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.00% senior notes due 2023 (the "\$400 Million 5% Senior Notes"), and (iii) in June 2015, we refinanced our credit facility by extending the maturity of the \$700 million revolving credit facility for an additional two years and modifying certain covenants. Our term loan B in the original aggregate principal amount of \$400.0 million (the "term loan B") under our credit facility remains outstanding. The \$300 million senior secured term loan (the "term loan A") under our credit facility was paid off and eliminated with a substantial portion of the proceeds from the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes issuance.

Our Current Operations

Our ongoing operations are organized into three principal business segments:

- Hospitality, consisting of Gaylord Opryland, Gaylord Palms, Gaylord Texan, Gaylord National, the Inn at Opryland and the AC Hotel. Marriott manages each of our Gaylord Hotels properties, as well as the Inn at Opryland and the AC Hotel.
- Entertainment (previously referred to as Opry and Attractions), consisting of our Grand Ole Opry assets, WSM-AM and our Nashville attractions. As a result of the REIT conversion, we own our Entertainment businesses in taxable REIT subsidiaries (each such subsidiary a "TRS" and collectively, the "TRSs"), which conduct their business consistent with past practice, except for the management agreements with Marriott for the General Jackson, Wildhorse Saloon and Gaylord Springs discussed above.
- Corporate and Other, consisting of our corporate expenses.

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, our total revenues were divided among these business segments as follows:

<u>Segment</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Hospitality	91%	92%	92%
Entertainment	9%	8%	8%
Corporate and Other	0%	0%	0%

Our goal is to become the nation’s premier hospitality REIT for group-oriented, destination hotel assets in urban and resort markets. We intend to leverage our existing hotel properties with “All-in-One-Place” self-contained service offerings, as well as a longer-term growth strategy that includes acquisitions of hotels, particularly in the group meetings sector of the hospitality industry, either alone or through joint ventures or alliances with one or more third parties. We intend to pursue attractive investment opportunities which meet our acquisition parameters, specifically, group-oriented large hotels and overflow hotels with existing or potential leisure appeal.

Key Performance Indicators

The operating results of our Hospitality segment are highly dependent on the volume of customers at our hotels and the quality of the customer mix at our hotels, which are managed by Marriott. These factors impact the price that Marriott can charge for our hotel rooms and other amenities, such as food and beverage and meeting space. The following key performance indicators are commonly used in the hospitality industry:

- hotel occupancy (a volume indicator);
- average daily rate (“ADR”) – a price indicator calculated by dividing room revenue by the number of rooms sold;
- Revenue per Available Room (“RevPAR”) – a summary measure of hotel results calculated by dividing room revenue by room nights available to guests for the period;
- Total Revenue per Available Room (“Total RevPAR”) – a summary measure of hotel results calculated by dividing the sum of room, food and beverage and other ancillary service revenue by room nights available to guests for the period; and
- Net Definite Room Nights Booked – a volume indicator which represents the total number of definite bookings for future room nights at our hotels confirmed during the applicable period, net of cancellations.

Hospitality segment revenue from our occupied hotel rooms is recognized as earned on the close of business each day and from concessions and food and beverage sales at the time of the sale. Attrition fees, which are charged to groups when they do not fulfill the minimum number of room nights or minimum food and beverage spending requirements originally contracted for, as well as cancellation fees, are recognized as revenue in the period they are collected. Almost all of our Hospitality segment revenues are either cash-based or, for meeting and convention groups meeting credit criteria, billed and collected on a short-term receivables basis. The hospitality industry is capital intensive, and we rely on the ability of our hotels to generate operating cash flow to repay debt financing and fund maintenance capital expenditures.

The results of operations of our Hospitality segment are affected by the number and type of group meetings and conventions scheduled to attend our hotels in a given period. A variety of factors can affect the results of any interim period, including the nature and quality of the group meetings and conventions attending our hotels during such period, which meetings and conventions have often been contracted for several years in advance, the level of attrition our hotels experience, and the level of transient business at our hotels during such period.

Summary Financial Results

The following table summarizes our financial results for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands, except percentages and per share data):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Total revenues	\$1,092,124	4.9%	\$1,040,991	9.1%	\$954,562
Total operating expenses	930,062	4.8%	887,886	1.1%	878,374
Operating income	162,062	5.9%	153,105	101.0%	76,188
Net income	111,511	-11.8%	126,452	6.8%	118,352
Net income available to common shareholders	111,511	-7.9%	121,035	6.7%	113,483
Net income per share available to common shareholders — fully diluted	2.16	-0.5%	2.17	19.9%	1.81

2015 Results As Compared to 2014 Results

The increase in our total revenues during 2015, as compared to 2014, is attributable to increases in our Hospitality segment and Entertainment segment revenues of \$40.4 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, as discussed more fully below. Total Hospitality revenues in 2015 include \$6.9 million in attrition and cancellation fee collections, a \$2.0 million decrease from 2014.

The increase in total operating expenses during 2015, as compared to 2014, is primarily the result of impairment and other charges of \$19.2 million incurred during 2015, as well as an increase in Hospitality segment and Entertainment segment expenses of \$11.1 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, as discussed more fully below.

The above factors resulted in a \$9.0 million increase in operating income for 2015, as compared to 2014.

The \$14.9 million decrease in our net income in 2015, as compared to 2014, was due to the change in our operating income described above, and the following factors, each as described more fully below:

- A \$34.3 million decrease in other gains and losses for 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily associated with 2014 including a \$26.1 million gain on the sale of our rights in a letter of intent which entitled us to a portion of an economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project in National Harbor, Maryland. Additionally, during 2015, we incurred a \$16.0 million increase in the loss on the change in the fair value of derivative liabilities associated with portions of warrants related to our previous 3.75% convertible notes, partially offset by a \$6.9 million gain associated with the reimbursement of costs that were previously incurred related to our proposed development in Aurora, Colorado. These costs were impaired in 2012 as part of our strategic shift away from long-term development, but were reimbursed in 2015 by the current developer.
- An increase in the benefit for income taxes of \$10.4 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily attributable to a federal tax law change enacted in the fourth quarter of 2015, as well as changes in valuation allowance.

2014 Results As Compared to 2013 Results

The increase in our total revenues during 2014, as compared to 2013, is attributable to increases in our Hospitality segment and Entertainment segment revenues of \$75.7 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, as discussed more fully below. Total Hospitality revenues in 2014 include \$8.9 million in attrition and cancellation fee collections, a \$0.4 million increase from 2013.

The increase in total operating expenses during 2014, as compared to 2013, is primarily the result of an increase in hotel operating expenses of \$34.4 million, partially offset by a lack of REIT conversion costs during 2014, as compared to \$22.2 million in 2013, as discussed more fully below.

The above factors resulted in a \$76.9 million increase in operating income for 2014, as compared to 2013.

The \$8.1 million increase in our net income in 2014, as compared to 2013, was due to the change in our operating income described above, and the following factors, each as described more fully below:

- A decrease in the benefit for income taxes of \$91.2 million in 2014, as compared to 2013.
- A \$21.0 million increase in other gains and losses for 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily associated with a \$26.1 million gain on the 2014 sale of our rights in a letter of intent which entitled us to a portion of an economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project in National Harbor, Maryland.

Factors and Trends Contributing to Operating Performance in 2015 Compared to 2014

The most important factors and trends contributing to our operating performance in 2015 as compared to 2014 were:

- Increased outside-the-room spending at Gaylord Texan (6.0%) and Gaylord Opryland (4.3%) during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to increases in banquet revenue.
- Increased occupancy at Gaylord Texan (an increase of 5.8 percentage points of occupancy, during 2015, as compared to 2014), primarily as a result of an increase in group business.
- Increased ADR at Gaylord Texan (an increase of 5.7% for 2015, as compared to 2014), primarily as a result of room rate increases for both groups and transient business.
- Decreased occupancy at Gaylord Opryland (a decrease of 1.3 points of occupancy for 2015, as compared to 2014) primarily as a result of a norovirus outbreak and a severe weather winter storm, which took place during January and February 2015.
- In-the-year, for-the-year cancellations for 2015 decreased 6.2% as compared to 2014.
- Increased attrition levels for 2015, as compared to 2014, which partially offset the increase in operating income and Total RevPAR. Attrition for 2015 was 12.8% of bookings, compared to 10.6% in 2014.
- Increased net definite group room nights booked (an increase of 4.6% for 2015, as compared to 2014).
- Increased revenue for our Entertainment segment (an increase of 12.3% for 2015, as compared to 2014), primarily due to increased attendance and additional shows at the Grand Ole Opry, as well as increased ancillary business such as tours and retail.

Factors and Trends Contributing to Operating Performance in 2014 Compared to 2013

The most important factors and trends contributing to our operating performance in 2014 as compared to 2013 were:

- Increased outside-the-room spending at each of our hotel properties (an increase of 9.4% during 2014, as compared to 2013), primarily due to increased levels of premium groups and the resulting increase in banquet revenues.
- Increased occupancy at Gaylord National and Gaylord Opryland (an increase of 5.1 percentage points of occupancy and 3.4 percentage points of occupancy, respectively, during 2014, as compared to 2013), primarily as a result of an increase in corporate and association group room nights.
- Increased ADR at Gaylord Opryland, Gaylord Texan and Gaylord Palms (an increase of 5.9%, 5.5% and 3.3%, respectively, for 2014, as compared to 2013), primarily as a result of room rate increases for both groups and transient.

- In-the-year, for-the-year cancellations for 2014 decreased 52.4% as compared to 2013.
- Increased net definite group room nights booked (an increase of 14.0% for 2014, as compared to 2013), as overall group performance metrics continue to improve and second quarter 2014 included a record number of bookings for second quarter.
- Increased revenue for our Entertainment segment (an increase of 14.2% for 2014, as compared to 2013), primarily as a result of increased attendance at the Grand Ole Opry House and Ryman Auditorium.
- The incurrence of \$22.2 million in REIT conversion costs during 2013 that did not recur in 2014.

Operating Results – Detailed Segment Financial Information

Hospitality Segment

As a result of the updates to the hospitality industry's *Uniform System of Accounts for the Lodging Industry, Eleventh Revised Edition*, as discussed further in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included herein, certain amounts in prior periods related to the Hospitality segment have been reclassified to conform to the 2015 presentation.

Total Segment Results. The following presents the financial results of our Hospitality segment for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands, except percentages and performance metrics):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues (1):					
Rooms	\$ 404,457	5.3%	\$ 384,185	7.5%	\$ 357,313
Food and beverage	461,157	5.4%	437,673	8.4%	403,725
Other hotel revenue	128,989	-2.5%	132,308	12.6%	117,471
Total hospitality revenue	<u>994,603</u>	4.2%	954,166	8.6%	878,509
Hospitality operating expenses:					
Rooms	110,067	-1.6%	111,864	8.4%	103,171
Food and beverage	261,580	5.3%	248,358	4.7%	237,153
Other hotel expenses	312,989	0.4%	311,836	4.4%	298,830
Management fees	14,657	-9.3%	16,151	10.2%	14,652
Depreciation and amortization	105,876	2.4%	103,422	0.3%	103,147
Total Hospitality operating expenses	<u>805,169</u>	1.7%	791,631	4.6%	756,953
Hospitality operating income (2)	<u>\$ 189,434</u>	16.5%	<u>\$ 162,535</u>	33.7%	<u>\$ 121,556</u>
Hospitality performance metrics:					
Occupancy	73.6%	0.4%	73.3%	3.7%	70.7%
ADR	\$ 182.56	3.0%	\$ 177.27	3.7%	\$ 170.89
RevPAR (3)	\$ 134.44	3.4%	\$ 129.98	7.5%	\$ 120.89
Total RevPAR (4)	\$ 330.61	2.4%	\$ 322.81	8.6%	\$ 297.22
Net Definite Group Room Nights Booked	1,898,000	4.6%	1,814,000	14.0%	1,591,000
Same-store Hospitality performance metrics (5):					
Occupancy	73.9%	0.8%	73.3%	3.7%	70.7%
ADR	182.34	2.9%	\$ 177.27	3.7%	\$ 170.89
RevPAR (3)	134.80	3.7%	\$ 129.98	7.5%	\$ 120.89
Total RevPAR (4)	334.14	3.5%	\$ 322.81	8.6%	\$ 297.22
Net Definite Group Room Nights Booked	1,881,000	3.7%	1,814,000	14.0%	1,591,000

(1) Hospitality results and performance metrics include the results of our Gaylord Hotels and the Inn at Opryland for all periods presented. Results of the AC Hotel are included as of its opening date in April 2015.

- (2) Hospitality operating income does not include REIT conversion costs of \$7.6 million in 2013, impairment charges of \$19.2 million and \$2.8 million in 2015 and 2013, respectively, or preopening costs of \$0.9 million during 2015. See the discussion of these items set forth below.
- (3) We calculate Hospitality RevPAR by dividing room revenue by room nights available to guests for the period. Hospitality RevPAR is not comparable to similarly titled measures such as revenues.
- (4) We calculate Hospitality Total RevPAR by dividing the sum of room, food and beverage, and other ancillary services revenue (which equals Hospitality segment revenue) by room nights available to guests for the period. Hospitality Total RevPAR is not comparable to similarly titled measures such as revenues.
- (5) Same-store Hospitality performance metrics do not include the AC Hotel, which opened in April 2015.

The increase in total Hospitality segment revenue in 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, is primarily due to increases in revenue of \$18.1 million, \$7.8 million and \$5.1 million at Gaylord Texan, Gaylord Opryland and Gaylord National, respectively, as well as \$7.0 million in revenue at the AC Hotel, which opened in April 2015. The increase is primarily a result of increased rooms revenue and outside-the-room spending during 2015 as a result of an increase in premium group business discussed below.

The increase in total Hospitality segment revenue in 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, is primarily due to increases of \$30.3 million, \$18.4 million, \$15.7 million and \$10.0 million at Gaylord Opryland, Gaylord National, Gaylord Texan and Gaylord Palms, respectively, primarily a result of increased rooms revenue and outside-the-room spending during 2014 as a result of an increase in premium group business discussed below.

The percentage of group versus transient business based on rooms sold for our hospitality segment for the years ended December 31 was approximately as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Group	72%	73%	72%
Transient	28%	27%	28%

The type of group based on rooms sold for our hospitality segment for the years ended December 31 was approximately as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Corporate Groups	51%	47%	47%
Associations	35%	36%	34%
Other Groups	14%	17%	19%

The decrease in rooms operating expenses in 2015, as compared to 2014, is primarily attributable to a decrease at Gaylord Opryland, as described below, partially offset by rooms expense at the AC Hotel, which opened in April 2015. The increase in rooms operating expenses in 2014, as compared to 2013, is primarily attributable to increases at Gaylord National and Gaylord Opryland, as described below.

The increase in food and beverage operating expenses in 2015, as compared to 2014, is attributable to increases at Gaylord Texan, Gaylord Opryland and Gaylord National, as described below. The increase in food and beverage operating expenses in 2014, as compared to 2013, is attributable to increases at each of our Gaylord Hotels properties, as described below.

Other hotel expenses for the years ended December 31 consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Administrative employment costs	\$107,495	7.1%	\$100,380	-0.8%	\$101,157
Utilities	28,394	-2.3%	29,049	10.7%	26,242
Property taxes	31,683	3.6%	30,576	2.5%	29,823
Other	145,417	-4.2%	151,831	7.2%	141,608
Total other hotel expenses	<u>\$312,989</u>	0.4%	<u>\$311,836</u>	4.4%	<u>\$298,830</u>

Administrative employment costs include salaries and benefits for hotel administrative functions, including, among others, senior management, accounting, human resources, sales, conference services, engineering and security. Administrative employment costs increased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to previously unfilled positions at Gaylord Opryland and Gaylord Texan, as well as increased benefit costs at Gaylord National. Utility costs decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to decreases at Gaylord National and Gaylord Palms. Property taxes increased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of an increase at Gaylord Texan. Other expenses, which include supplies, advertising, maintenance costs and consulting costs, decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of decreases at each of our Gaylord Hotels properties.

Administrative employment costs decreased slightly during 2014, as compared to 2013. Utility costs increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to an increase at Gaylord National as a result of increased rates. Property taxes increased slightly during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of an increase at Gaylord Opryland due to an increased valuation. Other expenses increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of increases at Gaylord Palms and Gaylord Opryland.

As discussed above, each of our management agreements with Marriott for our Gaylord Hotels properties requires us to pay Marriott a base management fee of approximately 2% of gross revenues from the applicable property for each fiscal year or portion thereof. Additionally, an incentive fee is based on the profitability of our Gaylord Hotels properties calculated on a pooled basis. We incurred \$16.8 million, \$19.1 million and \$17.6 million in total base management fees to Marriott related to our Hospitality segment properties during 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We also incurred \$0.8 million related to incentive management fees for our Hospitality segment during 2015. We did not incur an incentive fee to Marriott related to our Hospitality segment properties during 2014 or 2013. Management fees are presented throughout this annual report net of the amortization of the deferred management rights proceeds discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included herein.

Hospitality segment depreciation and amortization expense increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of an increase at Gaylord Texan, as described below, as well as depreciation for the AC Hotel, which opened in April 2015. Hospitality depreciation and amortization expense remained stable in 2014, as compared to 2013.

Property-Level Results. The following presents property-level financial results for our Gaylord Hotels properties for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

Gaylord Opryland Results. The results of Gaylord Opryland for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands, except percentages and performance metrics):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues:					
Rooms	\$134,293	0.1%	\$134,225	10.8%	\$121,150
Food and beverage	134,321	7.3%	125,196	7.4%	114,323
Other hotel revenue	50,597	-2.8%	52,040	20.0%	45,645
Total revenue	319,211	2.5%	311,461	10.8%	281,118
Operating expenses:					
Rooms	33,133	-7.7%	35,899	9.2%	32,861
Food and beverage	73,689	6.2%	69,414	4.3%	66,555
Other hotel expenses	99,701	-1.0%	100,681	6.2%	94,837
Management fees	4,895	-7.4%	5,287	12.9%	4,683
Depreciation and amortization	30,793	-1.7%	31,318	-4.2%	32,683
Total operating expenses	242,211	-0.2%	242,599	4.7%	231,619
Hospitality performance metrics:					
Occupancy	74.9%	-1.7%	76.2%	4.7%	72.8%
ADR	\$ 170.42	1.7%	\$ 167.53	5.9%	\$ 158.24
RevPAR	\$ 127.66	0.0%	\$ 127.60	10.8%	\$ 115.17
Total RevPAR	\$ 303.45	2.5%	\$ 296.09	10.8%	\$ 267.24

Rooms revenue and RevPAR were stable at Gaylord Opryland during 2015, as compared to 2014, as a decrease in occupancy was offset by an increase in ADR. These results were impacted by a rooms renovation project that resulted in approximately 18,000 room nights out of service during 2015. This project was completed in September 2015. The current year was also impacted by a norovirus outbreak that occurred in January and February 2015 at the property, as well as a winter storm that occurred during February 2015. Rooms expenses decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of decreased employment costs due to improved productivity.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord Opryland during 2015, as compared to 2014, was primarily due to increased banquet revenues from corporate groups. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of the increase in variable expenses related to the increase in revenue.

Other revenue decreased at Gaylord Opryland during 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to a decrease in ancillary revenues, such as parking fees, related to the decrease in occupancy, as well as a decrease in attrition and cancellation fee collections. These decreases were partially offset by the receipt of \$3.6 million in insurance proceeds related to the norovirus outbreak. Other hotel expenses decreased slightly in 2015, as compared to 2014.

Depreciation and amortization decreased modestly during 2015, as compared to 2014.

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord Opryland during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of the increase in occupancy, which was due to an increase in group business, and the increase in ADR, which was due to a favorable mix shift to more premium corporate and association groups, as well as an increase in transient rate. Rooms expenses increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of increased variable expenses associated with the increase in occupancy.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord Opryland during 2014, as compared to 2013, was primarily due to increased revenue from banquets and restaurant outlets related to the increase in occupancy and the mix shift discussed above. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of the increase in variable expenses related to the increase in revenue, partially offset by decreases in food and employment costs due to more efficient inventory and labor management.

Other revenue increased at Gaylord Opryland during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of an increase in ancillary revenues, such as parking and resort fees related to the increase in occupancy, an increase in revenues associated with our annual Christmas programs, and an increase in attrition and cancellation fee collections. Other hotel expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to increased sales and marketing costs associated with the increase in premium group rooms and an increase in property taxes due to an increased valuation, as well as the incurrence of a \$0.6 million settlement with the Federal Communications Commission during 2014.

Depreciation and amortization decreased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of furniture, fixtures and equipment placed in service during a 2005 rooms renovation becoming fully depreciated during 2013.

Gaylord Palms Results. The results of Gaylord Palms for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands, except percentages and performance metrics):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues:					
Rooms	\$ 66,331	0.8%	\$ 65,835	3.7%	\$ 63,504
Food and beverage	88,573	1.4%	87,375	5.0%	83,210
Other hotel revenue	23,375	-1.0%	23,608	17.6%	20,071
Total revenue	<u>178,279</u>	0.8%	<u>176,818</u>	6.0%	<u>166,785</u>
Operating expenses:					
Rooms	15,981	-2.6%	16,412	-2.0%	16,755
Food and beverage	48,682	2.1%	47,696	4.9%	45,453
Other hotel expenses	65,628	-1.0%	66,271	5.6%	62,771
Management fees	2,583	-14.5%	3,021	6.8%	2,828
Depreciation and amortization	18,651	2.7%	18,156	1.2%	17,944
Total operating expenses	<u>151,525</u>	0.0%	<u>151,556</u>	4.0%	<u>145,751</u>
Hospitality performance metrics:					
Occupancy	74.6%	-1.3%	75.6%	0.4%	75.3%
ADR	\$ 173.17	2.0%	\$ 169.80	3.3%	\$ 164.42
RevPAR	\$ 129.25	0.7%	\$ 128.29	3.7%	\$ 123.74
Total RevPAR	\$ 347.39	0.8%	\$ 344.55	6.0%	\$ 325.00

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord Palms during 2015, as compared to 2014, as a result of an increase in ADR from both groups and transient business. Rooms expenses decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, as a result of decreased variable expenses associated with the decrease in occupancy.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord Palms during 2015, as compared to 2014, was primarily due to an increase in both banquets and food and beverage outlets. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of the increase in variable expenses related to the increase in revenue.

Other hotel revenue and expense remained stable during 2015, as compared to 2014.

Depreciation and amortization increased slightly during 2015, as compared to 2014.

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord Palms during 2014, as compared to 2013, as a result of an increase in occupancy and ADR that resulted from an increase in group rooms and an increase in rate for both group and transient business. Rooms expenses decreased slightly during 2014, as compared to 2013, as increased variable expenses associated with the increase in occupancy were offset by a decrease in supply expense.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord Palms during 2014, as compared to 2013, was primarily due to the increase in group rooms discussed above and the resulting increase in banquet revenue. Food and

beverage expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of the increase in variable expenses related to the increase in revenue, partially offset by decreases in food and employment costs due to more efficient inventory and labor management.

Other hotel revenue increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of increased special events revenue in January 2014 as compared to the prior year period relating to the end of our annual Christmas program in January, as well as increased collection of attrition and cancellation fees. Other hotel expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to increased sales and marketing costs, as 2013 included a \$3.1 million sales and marketing expense reimbursement from Osceola County related to the termination of a tax incentive agreement for a previously planned expansion of the property.

Depreciation and amortization increased slightly during 2014, as compared to 2013.

Gaylord Texan Results. The results of Gaylord Texan for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands, except percentages and performance metrics):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues:					
Rooms	\$ 81,451	14.4%	\$ 71,213	4.8%	\$ 67,937
Food and beverage	108,285	8.8%	99,546	9.2%	90,802
Other hotel revenue	30,750	-2.9%	31,671	14.4%	28,008
Total revenue	<u>220,486</u>	8.9%	<u>202,430</u>	8.4%	<u>186,747</u>
Operating expenses:					
Rooms	17,686	-3.7%	18,356	8.8%	16,871
Food and beverage	57,427	8.1%	53,123	4.1%	51,049
Other hotel expenses	65,269	2.2%	63,847	-0.5%	64,160
Management fees	3,225	-4.6%	3,380	10.2%	3,068
Depreciation and amortization	19,986	3.7%	19,278	9.8%	17,561
Total operating expenses	<u>163,593</u>	3.6%	<u>157,984</u>	3.5%	<u>152,709</u>
Hospitality performance metrics:					
Occupancy	76.7%	8.2%	70.9%	-0.6%	71.3%
ADR	\$ 192.66	5.7%	\$ 182.23	5.5%	\$ 172.74
RevPAR	\$ 147.69	14.4%	\$ 129.12	4.8%	\$ 123.18
Total RevPAR	\$ 399.78	8.9%	\$ 367.04	8.4%	\$ 338.61

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord Texan during 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to increased occupancy due to an increase in both group and transient rooms, as well as increased ADR for both groups and transient business. These increases in rooms revenue and RevPAR were partially attributable to a completed rooms renovation project at Gaylord Texan, which resulted in approximately 36,000 room nights out of service in 2014. The rooms renovation project was completed in August 2014. Rooms expenses decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, as increased variable expenses associated with the increase in occupancy were offset by 2014 including non-capitalized costs associated with the rooms renovation project.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord Texan during 2015, as compared to 2014, was primarily due to an increase in banquet revenue. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, as a result of the increase in variable expenses related to the increase in revenue.

Other revenue at Gaylord Texan decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of decreased attrition and cancellation fee collections. Other hotel expenses increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of increased sales and marketing costs.

Depreciation and amortization increased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of capital expenditures associated with the rooms renovation.

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord Texan during 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to increased ADR for both groups and transient business. These increases in rooms revenue and RevPAR were impacted by a rooms renovation project at Gaylord Texan, which resulted in approximately 36,000 room nights out of service in 2014, as compared to approximately 11,000 room nights out of service in 2013. The rooms renovation project was completed in August 2014. Rooms expenses increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to an increase in non-capitalized costs associated with the rooms renovation project, partially offset by a decrease in employment costs due to more efficient labor management.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord Texan during 2014, as compared to 2013, was primarily due to an increase in banquet revenue. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, as a result of the increase in variable expenses related to the increase in revenue.

Other revenue at Gaylord Texan increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of an increase in revenues associated with our annual Christmas programs and increased special events revenue in January 2014 as compared to the prior year period relating to the end of our annual Christmas programs in January, partially offset by a decrease in attrition and cancellation fee collections. Other hotel expenses decreased slightly in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of decreased employment costs due to eliminated or open positions.

Depreciation and amortization increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of capital expenditures associated with the rooms renovation.

Gaylord National Results. The results of Gaylord National for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands, except percentages and performance metrics):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues:					
Rooms	\$106,408	2.3%	\$103,979	7.7%	\$ 96,521
Food and beverage	125,604	2.8%	122,176	8.8%	112,312
Other hotel revenue	24,008	-3.2%	24,793	4.7%	23,675
Total revenue	<u>256,020</u>	2.0%	<u>250,948</u>	7.9%	<u>232,508</u>
Operating expenses:					
Rooms	39,154	1.5%	38,586	12.8%	34,195
Food and beverage	78,595	4.1%	75,508	5.4%	71,621
Other hotel expenses	77,036	-1.7%	78,345	5.3%	74,381
Management fees	3,300	-21.7%	4,212	9.6%	3,843
Depreciation and amortization	34,033	2.2%	33,298	-0.8%	33,565
Total operating expenses	<u>232,118</u>	0.9%	<u>229,949</u>	5.7%	<u>217,605</u>
Hospitality performance metrics:					
Occupancy	70.0%	0.6%	69.6%	7.9%	64.5%
ADR	\$ 208.79	1.8%	\$ 205.04	-0.3%	\$ 205.56
RevPAR	\$ 146.06	2.3%	\$ 142.72	7.7%	\$ 132.49
Total RevPAR	\$ 351.41	2.0%	\$ 344.45	7.9%	\$ 319.14

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord National during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of an increase in occupancy for transient business and an increase in ADR for both groups and transient business. Rooms expenses increased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to increased employment costs.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord National during 2015, as compared to 2014, was primarily due to the increase in banquets. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to increased variable costs associated with the increase in revenue.

Other revenue at Gaylord National decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to decreased collection of attrition and cancellation fees. Other hotel expenses decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of decreased sales and marketing costs and decreased utility costs.

Depreciation and amortization increased modestly during 2015, as compared to 2014.

Rooms revenue and RevPAR increased at Gaylord National during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of an increase in occupancy for premium corporate rooms. The decrease in ADR for 2014, as compared to 2013, is primarily the result of January 2013 including rooms related to the presidential inauguration. Rooms expenses increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to increased variable costs associated with the increase in occupancy and increased employee benefit costs associated with a new union contract.

The increase in food and beverage revenue at Gaylord National during 2014, as compared to 2013, was primarily due to the increase in premium corporate rooms and the resulting increase in banquets. Food and beverage expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to increased variable costs associated with the increase in revenue, partially offset by improved food costs.

Other revenue at Gaylord National increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to an increase in ancillary revenues, such as parking and resort fees related to the increase in occupancy. Other hotel expenses increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of increased utility and employment costs.

Depreciation and amortization decreased slightly during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of a portion of the initial furniture, fixtures and equipment placed in service at the property's opening in 2008 becoming fully depreciated during 2013.

Entertainment Segment (previously Opry and Attractions)

The following presents the financial results of our Entertainment segment for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues	<u>\$97,521</u>	12.3%	\$86,825	14.2%	\$76,053
Operating expenses	<u>67,363</u>	12.6%	59,815	5.8%	56,528
Depreciation and amortization	<u>5,747</u>	9.3%	5,258	-2.0%	5,368
Operating income (1)	<u>\$24,411</u>	12.2%	<u>\$21,752</u>	53.6%	<u>\$14,157</u>

(1) Entertainment segment operating income for 2013 does not include \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million of REIT conversion costs and impairment charges, respectively. See the discussion of these items set forth below.

The increases in revenues in the Entertainment segment during 2015, as compared to 2014, were primarily due to increased attendance and additional shows at the Grand Ole Opry, as well as increased ancillary business such as tours and retail. The increases in revenues in the Entertainment segment during 2014, as compared to 2013, were primarily due to increases at the Grand Ole Opry and the Ryman Auditorium, driven by increased attendance.

Entertainment operating expenses increased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of the increased variable costs associated with the increase in shows and ancillary revenue, as well as increased consulting costs. Entertainment operating expenses increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of the increased variable costs associated with the increase in attendance.

Entertainment depreciation and amortization expense increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of the expansion of the Ryman Auditorium. Entertainment depreciation and amortization decreased modestly in 2014, as compared to 2013.

Corporate and Other Segment

The following presents the financial results of our Corporate and Other segment for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Operating expenses	\$ 28,914	4.9%	\$ 27,573	4.9%	\$ 26,292
Depreciation and amortization	2,760	-23.3%	3,598	-55.1%	8,013
Operating loss (1)	<u>\$(31,674)</u>	-1.6%	<u>\$(31,171)</u>	9.1%	<u>\$(34,305)</u>

(1) Corporate and Other segment operating loss for 2013 does not include \$14.4 million and \$0.1 million of REIT conversion costs and casualty loss, respectively. See the discussion of these items set forth below.

Corporate and Other operating expenses, which consist primarily of costs associated with senior management salaries and benefits, legal, human resources, accounting, pension and other administrative costs, increased during 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily as a result of the pension settlement charge discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements included herein, partially offset by a decrease in employment benefit costs. Corporate and Other operating expenses increased during 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily as a result of an increase in employee benefit and consulting costs.

Corporate and Other depreciation and amortization expense decreased during 2015, as compared to 2014, and in 2014, as compared to 2013, primarily due to the continued disposal of certain assets that were no longer required as a result of our conversion to a REIT.

Operating Results – REIT Conversion Costs

We have segregated all costs related to the REIT conversion from normal operations and reported these amounts as REIT conversion costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. During 2013, we incurred \$22.2 million of various costs associated with these transactions, including employment and severance costs (\$14.4 million), professional fees (\$2.7 million), and various other transition costs (\$5.1 million). No REIT conversion costs were incurred during 2015 or 2014.

Operating Results – Casualty Loss

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized \$0.1 million of casualty loss expense related to the May 2010 flooding in Nashville, which primarily represents non-capitalized repairs within our Entertainment segment.

Operating Results – Preopening costs

We expense the costs associated with start-up activities and organization costs as incurred. Our preopening costs for 2015 and 2014 primarily relate to the AC Hotel.

Operating Results – Impairment and Other Charges

Impairment charges in 2015 include costs associated with our decision to move forward with an expansion of the guest rooms and convention space at Gaylord Texan. This capital project replaced a previously contemplated expansion that we began incurring design costs for during 2007 and had been subsequently put on hold. As the new project will be substantially different from the previously contemplated project, we incurred an impairment charge of \$16.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. In addition, during 2015, we incurred \$2.9 million in impairment charges related to assets previously used in special events programming that is being discontinued. During 2013, we incurred \$3.0 million in impairment charges, primarily associated with disposed equipment at Gaylord National and the decision not to move forward with a proposed expansion at Gaylord Palms in the near-term.

Non-Operating Results Affecting Net Income

General

The following table summarizes the other factors which affected our net income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>2013</u>
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	\$(63,901)	4.0%	\$(61,447)	0.9%	\$(60,916)
Interest income	12,384	2.6%	12,075	-1.6%	12,267
Net loss on extinguishment of debt	—	-100.0%	(2,148)	-48.6%	(4,181)
Other gains and (losses)	(10,889)	-146.5%	23,400	903.4%	2,332
Benefit for income taxes	11,855	708.1%	1,467	-98.4%	92,662

Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$2.5 million to \$63.9 million in 2015 as compared to 2014, due primarily to increased interest expense associated with our \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes, which we issued in April 2015, and increased interest expense on our term loan B facility, which we entered into in June 2014. We also incurred \$1.9 million in interest expense during 2015 related to the write-off of deferred financing costs associated with the refinancing of our credit facility. These increases were partially offset by the lack of interest expense associated with our 3.75% convertible notes, which matured in October 2014, and a decrease in interest expense associated with our credit facility due to a decrease in borrowings. Our weighted average interest rate on our borrowings, excluding the write-off of deferred financing costs during the period, was 4.2% in 2015 as compared to 4.9% in 2014. Cash interest expense increased \$9.8 million to \$56.6 million in 2015 as compared to 2014, and noncash interest expense, which includes amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts, the write-off of deferred financing costs, and capitalized interest, decreased \$7.4 million to \$7.3 million in 2015 as compared to 2014.

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$0.5 million to \$61.4 million in 2014 as compared to 2013, due primarily to interest associated with our \$400 million term loan B facility, which we entered into in June 2014 and an increase in interest expense associated with our \$350 million 5% senior notes that were issued in April 2013, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense associated with our 3.75% convertible notes, which were partially repurchased at various points in 2013 and 2014 with the balance paid at maturity in October 2014. Our weighted average interest rate on our borrowings, excluding the write-off of deferred financing costs during the period, was 4.9% in 2014 as compared to 5.0% in 2013. Cash interest expense increased \$7.1 million to \$46.8 million in 2014 as compared to 2013, and noncash interest expense decreased \$6.6 million to \$14.6 million in 2014 as compared to 2013.

Interest Income

Interest income for 2015, 2014 and 2013 primarily includes amounts earned on the bonds that we received in April 2008 in connection with the development of Gaylord National, which we hold as notes receivable.

Net Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

During 2014, we settled the repurchase of and subsequently cancelled \$56.3 million of our 3.75% convertible notes in private transactions for aggregate consideration of \$120.2 million. In addition, we settled the conversion of \$15.3 million of convertible notes that were converted by holders. We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$2.1 million in 2014 as a result of these transactions. In 2013, we settled the repurchase of and subsequently cancelled \$54.7 million of our 3.75% convertible notes in private transactions for aggregate consideration of \$98.6 million. In addition, we settled \$1.2 million of convertible notes that were converted by a holder. As a result of these transactions, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$4.2 million in 2013.

Other Gains and (Losses)

Other gains and (losses) for 2015 and 2014 includes \$20.2 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, in losses on the change in the fair value of derivative liabilities associated with portions of the warrants associated with our 3.75% convertible notes. Other gains and (losses) for 2015, 2014 and 2013 includes \$2.5 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, from a fund associated with the Gaylord National bonds to reimburse us for certain marketing and maintenance expenses. Other gains and (losses) for 2015 also includes a \$6.9 million gain associated with the reimbursement of costs that were previously incurred related to our proposed development in Aurora, Colorado. These costs were impaired in 2012 as part of our strategic shift away from long-term development, but reimbursed in 2015 by the current developer. Other gains and (losses) for 2014 also includes a \$26.1 million gain associated with the sale of our rights in a letter of intent which entitled us to a portion of an economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project in National Harbor, Maryland.

Benefit for Income Taxes

As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal corporate income taxes on ordinary taxable income and capital gains income from real estate investments that we distribute to our stockholders. We will, however, be subject to corporate income taxes on built-in gains (the excess of fair market value over tax basis at January 1, 2013) that result from gains on certain assets. In addition, we will continue to be required to pay federal and state corporate income taxes on earnings of our TRSs.

During 2015, 2014 and 2013, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$11.9 million, \$1.5 million and \$92.7 million, respectively. As a result of our conversion to a REIT in 2013, certain net deferred tax liabilities related to our real estate were reversed, as the REIT will generally not pay federal corporate income tax related to those deferred tax liabilities. In addition, we assessed the need for a valuation allowance on the net deferred tax assets of the TRSs. As a result, we recorded a net benefit of \$64.8 million related to the conversion to a REIT during 2013. In addition, in 2013 we recorded a benefit of \$7.5 million related to the reversal of liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions, a benefit of \$1.2 million related to the filing of our 2012 federal and state income tax returns and a benefit of \$19.2 million related to our current period operations.

The increase in our benefit for income taxes for 2015, as compared to 2014, resulted primarily from a federal tax law change enacted in the fourth quarter of 2015, as well as a decrease in valuation allowance required at the TRSs. Because the TRSs are in a cumulative loss position, the deferred tax asset realization depends entirely on the reversal of existing future taxable differences, which fluctuate based on the current year change in deferred tax balances.

The decrease in our benefit for income taxes for 2014, as compared to 2013, resulted primarily from the benefit of our conversion to a REIT effective January 1, 2013, as discussed above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows from Operating Activities. Cash flow from operating activities is the principal source of cash used to fund our operating expenses, interest payments on debt, maintenance capital expenditures, and dividends to stockholders. During 2015, our net cash flows provided by our operating activities were \$234.5 million, reflecting primarily our income before depreciation expense, amortization expense, impairment and other charges, income tax benefit, stock-based compensation expense, loss on repurchase of warrants, and other non-cash charges of approximately \$265.5 million and unfavorable changes in working capital of approximately \$31.0 million. The unfavorable changes in working capital primarily resulted from a decrease in accrued expenses as a result of the timing of payments and an increase in accounts receivable due to the timing of collections.

During 2014, our net cash flows provided by our operating activities were \$247.0 million, reflecting primarily our income before depreciation expense, amortization expense, income tax benefit, gain on sale of long-lived

assets, stock-based compensation expense, and other non-cash charges of approximately \$234.4 million and favorable changes in working capital of approximately \$12.6 million. The favorable changes in working capital primarily resulted from a decrease in accounts receivable due to the timing of collections and an increase in accrued expenses as a result of the timing of payments.

During 2013, our net cash flows provided by our operating activities were \$137.7 million, reflecting primarily our income before depreciation expense, amortization expense, income tax benefit, stock-based compensation expense, loss on extinguishment of debt, and other non-cash charges of approximately \$185.7 million, partially offset by unfavorable changes in working capital of approximately \$48.0 million. The unfavorable changes in working capital primarily resulted from a decrease in accrued expenses primarily related to the payment of accrued REIT conversion costs and a decrease in accounts payable at our managed properties due to the timing of payments as new payment processes were developed, partially offset by an increase in accrued dividends. It should be noted that the reversal of deferred tax liabilities and the recognition of valuation allowances on the net deferred tax assets of our TRSs represent the majority of the reconciling item for benefit for deferred income taxes of \$89.5 million from net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities. These tax items, and their related impact on our cash provided by operating activities, are the result of our REIT conversion, and we paid out no cash in connection with such reversal and recognition.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities. During 2015 our primary uses of funds for investing activities were purchases of property and equipment, which totaled \$79.8 million, and an increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents associated with the furniture, fixtures and equipment (“FF&E”) reserve we are obligated to maintain for future planned and emergency-related capital expenditures at the properties that Marriott manages for us. These uses of cash were partially offset by the receipt of \$10.0 million in proceeds related to the sale of our rights in a letter of intent which entitled us to a portion of an economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project in National Harbor, Maryland. Purchases of property, plant and equipment consisted primarily of an expansion of the Ryman Auditorium, the renovation of a portion of the guest rooms at Gaylord Opryland, and ongoing maintenance capital expenditures for our existing properties.

During 2014 our primary uses of funds for investing activities were purchases of property and equipment, which totaled \$58.4 million, and payments made associated with the purchase of the AC Hotel of \$21.2 million, partially offset by the receipt of \$9.4 million in proceeds related to the sale of our rights in the letter of intent discussed above. Purchases of property, plant and equipment consisted primarily of a rooms renovation project at Gaylord Texan, ongoing maintenance capital expenditures for our existing properties, and \$0.2 million of capitalized personnel costs.

During 2013, our primary uses of funds from investing activities were purchases of property and equipment of \$37.0 million, consisting primarily of ongoing maintenance capital expenditures for our existing properties, and included \$0.5 million of capitalized personnel costs, and an increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents associated with the FF&E reserve.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities. Our cash flows from financing activities reflect primarily the incurrence of and the repayment of long-term debt, the repurchase of stock, and the payment of dividends. During 2015, our net cash flows used in financing activities were approximately \$179.8 million, primarily reflecting \$280.1 million in repayments under our credit facility, the payment of \$154.7 million to cash settle the remaining 4.7 million warrants associated with our 3.75% convertible notes, the payment of \$131.3 million in cash dividends, and the payment of \$11.2 million in deferred financing costs, partially offset by the issuance of \$400.0 million in senior notes.

During 2014, our net cash flows used in financing activities were approximately \$172.7 million, primarily reflecting the payment of \$177.4 million to cash settle 7.3 million of the warrants associated with our 3.75% convertible notes, the payment of \$109.4 million in cash dividends, and the payment of \$8.4 million in deferred financing costs. In addition, we paid \$126.5 million in cash related to repurchases and the settlement of conversions of our 3.75% convertible notes prior to maturity and paid \$232.2 million to repay the remaining

3.75% convertible notes at maturity. These outflows were partially offset by \$398.0 million in net borrowings under our term loan B facility and \$77.0 million in net borrowings under our credit facility.

During 2013, our net cash flows used in financing activities were approximately \$124.5 million, primarily reflecting the payment of \$152.2 million to redeem all of our outstanding 6.75% senior notes, \$100.0 million to repurchase 2.3 million shares of our common stock for retirement, \$98.6 million to repurchase and cancel \$54.7 million of our Convertible Notes in private transactions, the payment of \$76.4 million in cash dividends, net repayments of \$35.5 million under our credit facility, and the payment of \$15.7 million in deferred financing costs, partially offset by the issuance of \$350.0 million in \$350 million 5% senior notes and \$5.2 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

Liquidity

As of December 31, 2015, we had \$56.3 million in unrestricted cash and \$391.8 million available for borrowing under our credit facility, which we refinanced in June 2015 with an extended maturity to 2019. During 2015, we net repaid \$280.1 million under our credit facility, cash settled the remaining 4.7 million warrants associated with our 3.75% convertible notes for \$154.7 million, paid cash dividends of \$131.3 million and incurred capital expenditures of \$79.8 million. These outflows, partially offset by proceeds from the issuance of \$400.0 million in senior notes during April 2015 and cash flows provided by operations discussed above, were the primary factors in the decrease in our cash balance from 2014 to 2015.

We currently plan to declare dividends of \$3.00 per share in 2016, payable in equal quarterly amounts, subject to future determinations as to the timing and amount by our board of directors. We anticipate investing in our operations during 2016 by spending between \$115 million and \$125 million in capital expenditures, which primarily includes ongoing maintenance capital of our current facilities, a freestanding event ballroom and expanded event space at Gaylord National, an expansion of the guest rooms and convention space at Gaylord Texan, and a room renovation at Gaylord Opryland.

We believe that our cash on hand and cash from operations will be adequate to fund our other short-term commitments, as well as: (i) normal operating expenses, (ii) interest expense on long-term debt obligations, (iii) capital lease and operating lease obligations, and (iv) declared dividends. If our existing cash and cash from operations were inadequate to fund such commitments, we could draw on our credit facility, subject to the satisfaction of covenants in the credit facility. We believe that drawing on this credit facility will not be necessary for general working capital purposes. We may, however, draw on our credit facility for operational and capital needs or other needs in the future.

Our outstanding principal debt agreements, none of which mature prior to 2019, are described below. Based on current projections for compliance under our financial covenants contained in these agreements, we do not foresee a maturity issue prior to their scheduled maturity date.

Principal Debt Agreements

As of December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with all covenants related to our outstanding debt.

Credit Facility. On June 5, 2015, we entered into Amendment No. 2 (the “Amendment”) among the Company, as a guarantor, the Operating Partnership, as borrower, certain other subsidiaries of the Company party thereto, as guarantors, the lenders party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, to the Company’s Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Credit Facility”). Prior to the Amendment, the Credit Facility consisted of a \$700.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the “revolving credit facility”), the term loan A, and the term loan B. Following the Amendment, the Credit Facility consists of the revolving credit facility and the term loan B, which matures on January 15, 2021. We paid off the previously outstanding Term Loan A during the second quarter of 2015 with a substantial portion of the proceeds from the Operating Partnership’s and RHP Finance Corporation’s (“Finco’s”) private placement of the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes, and the term loan A was eliminated.

Pursuant to the Amendment, we extended the maturity date of the revolving credit facility under the Credit Facility to June 5, 2019 and provided for two additional six-month extension options, at our election. In addition, the Amendment lowered the adjustable margin (the “Applicable Margin”) for determining the interest rate on revolving loans based on our consolidated funded indebtedness to total asset value ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility). Interest on our borrowings under the revolving credit facility is payable quarterly, in arrears, for base rate-based loans and at the end of each interest rate period for LIBOR-based loans. The effective interest rate at December 31, 2015 was LIBOR plus 1.60%. Principal is payable in full at maturity. Further, the unused commitment fee was reduced to 0.2% to 0.3% per year of the average unused portion of the revolving credit facility.

The Credit Facility continues to be guaranteed by us, each of our four wholly-owned subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels properties, and certain other of our subsidiaries. The Credit Facility continues to be secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on the real property of each of our Gaylord Hotels properties, (ii) pledges of equity interests in our subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels properties, (iii) our personal property and the personal property of the Operating Partnership and our subsidiaries that guarantee the Credit Facility, and (iv) all proceeds and products from our Gaylord Hotels properties. Advances are subject to a 55% borrowing base, based on the appraisal value of the Gaylord Hotels properties (reduced to 50% in the event a hotel property is sold).

In addition, the Credit Facility contains certain covenants which, among other things, limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, investments, dividends, transactions with affiliates, asset sales, acquisitions, mergers and consolidations, liens and encumbrances and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The material financial covenants, ratios or tests contained in the Credit Facility are as follows:

- We must maintain a consolidated funded indebtedness to total asset value ratio as of the end of each calendar quarter of not more than .65 to 1.0.
- We must maintain a consolidated tangible net worth (as defined in the Credit Facility) of not less than \$175 million plus 75% of the proceeds received by us or any of our subsidiaries in connection with any equity issuance.
- We must maintain a consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Facility, of not less than 1.50 to 1.00.
- We must maintain an implied debt service coverage ratio (the ratio of adjusted net operating income to monthly principal and interest that would be required if the outstanding balance were amortized over 25 years at an assumed fixed rate) of not less than 1.60 to 1.00.

If an event of default shall occur and be continuing under the Credit Facility, the commitments under the Credit Facility may be terminated and the principal amount outstanding under the Credit Facility, together with all accrued unpaid interest and other amounts owing in respect thereof, may be declared immediately due and payable.

As of December 31, 2015, \$306.4 million of borrowings were outstanding under the Credit Facility, and the lending banks had issued \$1.8 million of letters of credit under the facility, which left \$391.8 million of availability under the Credit Facility (subject to the satisfaction of debt incurrence tests under the indentures governing our \$350 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2021 (the “\$350 Million 5% Senior Notes”) and \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes.

As a result of the Amendment, we wrote off \$1.9 million of deferred financing costs during 2015, which is included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

\$400 Million Term B Loan Facility. On June 18, 2014, we amended the Credit Facility such that we added an additional senior secured term loan facility in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$400.0 million to the

Credit Facility. Proceeds from the term loan B were used to repay revolving loans under the Credit Facility, to repay our 3.75% convertible notes and to settle a part of the warrant transactions described below. The term loan B has a maturity date of January 15, 2021 and borrowings bear interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus an adjustable margin, subject to a LIBOR floor of 0.75%. At December 31, 2015, the interest rate on the term loan B was LIBOR plus 2.75%. The term loan B amortizes in equal quarterly installments in aggregate annual amounts equal to 1.0% of the original principal amount of \$400.0 million, commencing on September 30, 2014, with the balance due at maturity. Amounts borrowed under the term loan B that are repaid or prepaid may not be reborrowed. At closing, we drew down on the term loan B in full.

Consistent with our other loans under our Credit Facility, the term loan B is guaranteed by the Company, each of our four wholly-owned subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels-branded properties, and certain other of our subsidiaries. The term loan B is secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on the real property of each of our Gaylord Hotels properties, (ii) pledges of equity interests in our subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels properties, (iii) the personal property of the Company, the Operating Partnership and the guarantors and (iv) all proceeds and products from our Gaylord Hotels properties. Amounts drawn on the term loan B are subject to a 55% borrowing base, based on the appraisal value of the Gaylord Hotels properties (reduced to 50% in the event a hotel property is sold).

The term loan B is subject to certain covenants contained in the Credit Facility, which, among other things, limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, investments, dividends, transactions with affiliates, asset sales, acquisitions, mergers and consolidations, liens and encumbrances and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The term loan B is subject to substantially all of the events of default provided for the Credit Facility (other than the financial maintenance covenants). If an event of default shall occur and be continuing, the commitments under the term loan B may be terminated and the principal amount outstanding under the term loan B, together with all accrued and unpaid interest and other amounts owing in respect thereof, may be declared immediately due and payable.

\$350 Million 5% Senior Notes. On April 3, 2013, the Operating Partnership and RHP Finance Corporation, a subsidiary of the Company, completed the private placement of \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2021, which are guaranteed by the Company and its subsidiaries that guarantee the Credit Facility. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes and guarantees were issued pursuant to an indenture by and among the issuing subsidiaries and the guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association as trustee. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes have a maturity date of April 15, 2021 and bear interest at 5% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes are general unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of the issuing subsidiaries and rank equal in right of payment with such subsidiaries' existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and senior in right of payment to future subordinated indebtedness, if any. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to the issuing subsidiaries' secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. The guarantees rank equally in right of payment with the applicable guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness of such guarantor. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes will be effectively subordinated to any secured indebtedness of any guarantor to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness and structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other obligations of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries that do not guarantee the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes.

The issuing subsidiaries may redeem the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes on or before April 15, 2016, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date plus a make-whole redemption premium. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time on or after April 15, 2016 at a redemption price expressed as a percentage of the principal amount thereof, which percentage is 103.75%, 102.50%, 101.25%, and 100.00% beginning on April 15 of 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019, respectively, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but not including, the redemption date.

In connection with the issuance of the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes, we completed a registered offer to exchange the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes for registered notes with substantially identical terms as the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes in November 2013.

\$400 Million 5% Senior Notes. On April 14, 2015, the Operating Partnership and Finco completed the private placement of \$400.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2023. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are general unsecured senior obligations of the Company's issuing subsidiaries and are guaranteed by the Company and its subsidiaries that guarantee the Credit Facility. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes and guarantees were issued pursuant to an indenture by and among the issuing subsidiaries and the guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association as trustee. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes have a maturity date of April 15, 2023 and bear interest at 5% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning October 15, 2015. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are general unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of the issuing subsidiaries and rank equal in right of payment with such subsidiaries' existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, including the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes, and senior in right of payment to future subordinated indebtedness, if any. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to the issuing subsidiaries' secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. The guarantees rank equally in right of payment with the applicable guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness of such guarantor. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to any secured indebtedness of any guarantor to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness and structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other obligations of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries that do not guarantee the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes.

The issuing subsidiaries may redeem the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes before April 15, 2018, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date plus a make-whole redemption premium. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time on or after April 15, 2018 at a redemption price expressed as a percentage of the principal amount thereof, which percentage is 103.75%, 102.50%, 101.25%, and 100.00% beginning on April 15 of 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, respectively, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but not including, the redemption date.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes totaled approximately \$392 million, after deducting the initial purchasers' discounts, commissions and offering expenses. We used substantially all of these proceeds to repay amounts outstanding under our previous term loan A, eliminating the term loan A, and to repay a portion of the amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility portion of the Credit Facility.

In connection with the issuance of the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes, we completed a registered offer to exchange the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes for registered notes with substantially identical terms as the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes in September 2015.

Additional Debt Limitations. Pursuant to the terms of the management agreements and pooling agreement with Marriott, we are subject to certain debt limitations described below.

The management agreements provide for the following limitations on indebtedness encumbering a hotel:

- The aggregate principal balance of all mortgage and mezzanine debt encumbering the hotel shall be no greater than 75% of the fair market value of the hotel; and
- The ratio of (a) aggregate Operating Profit (as defined in the management agreement) in the 12 months prior to the closing on the mortgage or mezzanine debt to (b) annual debt service for the hotel shall equal or exceed 1.2:1; but is subject to the pooling agreement described below.

The pooled limitations on Secured Debt (as defined in the pooling agreement) are as follows:

- The aggregate principal balance of all mortgage and mezzanine debt on Pooled Hotels (as defined in the pooling agreement), shall be no more than 75% of the fair market value of Pooled Hotels.
- The ratio of (a) aggregate Operating Profit (as defined in the pooling agreement) of Pooled Hotels in the 12 months prior to closing on any mortgage or mezzanine debt, to (b) annual debt service for the Pooled Hotels, shall equal or exceed 1.2:1.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We enter into commitments under letters of credit, primarily for the purpose of securing our deductible obligations with our insurers, and lending banks under our credit facility had issued \$1.8 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2015. Except as set forth in this paragraph, we do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonable likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Commitments and Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015, including long-term debt and operating and capital lease commitments (amounts in thousands):

<u>Contractual obligations</u>	<u>Total amounts committed</u>	<u>Less than 1 year</u>	<u>1-3 years</u>	<u>3-5 years</u>	<u>After 5 years</u>
Long-term debt (1)	\$1,456,400	\$ 6,000	\$ —	\$306,400	\$1,144,000
Capital leases	678	19	40	43	576
Operating leases (2)	622,723	4,716	8,627	9,091	600,289
Construction commitments (3)	21,205	21,205	—	—	—
Total contractual obligations	<u>\$2,101,006</u>	<u>\$31,940</u>	<u>\$8,667</u>	<u>\$315,534</u>	<u>\$1,744,865</u>

- (1) Long-term debt commitments do not include approximately \$327.4 million in interest payments projected to be due in future years (less than 1 year – \$57.4 million; 1-3 years – \$114.4 million; 3-5 years – \$104.1 million; more than 5 years – \$51.5 million) based on the stated interest rates on our fixed-rate debt and the rates in effect at December 31, 2015 for our variable-rate debt. Variable rates, as well as outstanding principal balances, could change in future periods. See “Principal Debt Agreements” above for a discussion of our outstanding long-term debt. See “Supplemental Cash Flow Information” in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included herein for a discussion of the interest we paid during 2015, 2014 and 2013.
- (2) Total operating lease commitments of \$622.7 million includes the 75-year operating lease agreement we entered into during 1999 for 65.3 acres of land located in Osceola County, Florida where Gaylord Palms is located.
- (3) With respect to our properties that are operated under management agreements with Marriott, we are obligated to maintain an FF&E reserve account for future planned and emergency-related capital expenditures at these properties. The amount funded into each of these reserve accounts is determined pursuant to the management agreements. For fiscal year 2016, the amount funded into the reserve accounts will be 5.0% of the respective properties’ total annual revenue. As of December 31, 2015, \$21.2 million was held in FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures at our properties. According to the terms of each management agreement with Marriott, the reserve funds are to be held by Marriott in a restricted cash account. Although it is not required that such funds be expended in a given year, each management agreement provides any excess funds will carry over for use in future years.

Due to the uncertainty with respect to the timing of future cash payments associated with our defined benefit pension plan, our non-qualified retirement plan and our defined benefit postretirement health care and life

insurance plan, we cannot make reasonably certain estimates of the period of cash settlement. Therefore, these obligations have been excluded from the contractual obligations table above. During 2016, we expect to contribute \$0, \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, to our defined benefit pension plan, our non-qualified retirement plan and our defined benefit postretirement health care and life insurance plan. See Note 7 and Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included herein for further discussion related to these obligations.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Accounting estimates are an integral part of the preparation of the consolidated financial statements and the financial reporting process and are based upon current judgments. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their complexity and the possibility that future events affecting them may differ materially from our current judgments and estimates.

This listing of critical accounting policies is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by generally accepted accounting principles, with no need for management's judgment regarding accounting policy. We believe that of our significant accounting policies, which are discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included herein, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Revenue recognition. Revenue from our occupied hotel rooms is recognized as earned on the close of business each day and from concessions and food and beverage sales at the time of the sale. Revenues from other services at our hotels, such as spa, parking, and transportation services are recognized at the time services are provided. Cancellation fees, as well as attrition fees, which are charged to groups when they do not fulfill the minimum number of room nights or minimum food and beverage spending requirements originally contracted for, are recognized as revenue in the period they are collected. Revenues from the Entertainment segment are recognized when services are provided or goods are shipped, as applicable.

Impairment of long-lived and other assets. In accounting for our long-lived and other assets (including our notes receivable associated with the development of Gaylord National), we assess our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or asset group may not be recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets that will continue to be used is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset or asset group to the related total future undiscounted net cash flows. If an asset or asset group's carrying value is not recoverable through those cash flows, the asset group is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by the difference between the assets' carrying amount and their fair value, which is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses that utilize comprehensive cash flow projections, as well as observable market data to the extent available.

Stock-based compensation. For stock option awards, we record compensation expense equal to the fair value of each stock option award granted on a straight line basis over the option's vesting period. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula, which requires various judgmental assumptions including expected volatility, expected term, expected dividend yield, and expected risk-free rate of return. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of our stock. We use historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. If any of the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from

that recorded in the current period. The assumptions for expected volatility, expected term, and expected dividend yield are the assumptions that significantly affect the grant date fair value. The expected risk-free rate of return is not significant to the calculation of fair value.

For awards of restricted stock and restricted stock units, we measure compensation expense based on the fair value of the awards on the date of grant. The fair value of time-based awards is determined based on the closing trading price of our common shares on the measurement date, which is generally the date of grant. The fair value of performance-based awards based on a market condition is determined using a Monte Carlo simulation. A Monte Carlo simulation requires the use of a number of assumptions, including historical volatility and correlation of the price of our common shares and the price of the common shares of a peer group, a risk-free rate of return, and an expected term. For time-based awards, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. For performance-based awards, compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period for each award. For both time-based awards and performance-based awards, once the total amount of compensation expense is determined on the date of the grant, no adjustments are made to the amount recognized each period, unless there is a change to a non-market condition assumption. No compensation expense is recognized for awards for which employees do not render the requisite service.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful life of our fixed assets. Amortization expense for leasehold improvements is based on the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related assets. The lives of the assets are based on a number of assumptions, including cost and timing of capital expenditures to maintain and refurbish the assets, as well as specific market and economic conditions. While management believes its estimates are reasonable, a change in the estimated lives could affect our depreciation expense in future periods.

Income taxes. As a REIT, generally we will not be subject to federal corporate income taxes on ordinary taxable income and capital gains income from real estate investments that we distribute to our stockholders. We will, however, be subject to corporate income taxes on built-in gains (the excess of fair market value over tax basis at January 1, 2013) that result from gains on certain assets. In addition, we will continue to be required to pay federal and state corporate income taxes on earnings of our TRSs.

Our deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, the provision for taxes is increased by recording a reserve, in the form of a valuation allowance, against the estimated deferred tax assets that will not ultimately be recoverable.

In addition, we must deal with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations in the calculation of tax liabilities and are subject to routine income tax audits. We provide for uncertain tax positions and the related interest and penalties based upon management's assessment of whether a tax benefit is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. We make this assessment based on only the technical merits of the tax position. The technical merits of a tax position derive from both statutory and judicial authority (legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings, and case law) and their applicability to the facts and circumstances of the tax position. If a tax position does not meet the more likely than not recognition threshold, the benefit of that position is not recognized in the financial statements and a liability for unrecognized tax benefits is established. A tax position that meets the more likely than not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax benefit recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate resolution with a taxing authority. To the extent that we prevail in matters for which a liability for an unrecognized tax benefit is established or are required to pay amounts in excess of the liability established, our effective tax rate in a given financial statement period may be affected.

Retirement and postretirement benefits other than pension plans. The costs and obligations of our retirement and postretirement benefits other than pension plans recognized in our consolidated financial statements are determined from actuarial valuations, which are dependent on significant assumptions, judgments, and estimates. These assumptions, judgments, and estimates, which include discount rates at which the liabilities could be settled at the measurement date, expected return on plan assets and mortality rates, are evaluated at each annual measurement date. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, actual results that differ from these assumptions, judgments, and estimates are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, affect expense recognized and obligations recorded in future periods.

The discount rate utilized for determining future benefit obligations is based on the market rate of a broad-based index of high-quality bonds receiving an AA- or better rating from a recognized rating agency on our annual measurement date that is matched to the future expected cash flows of the benefit plans by annual periods. The resulting discount rate increased from 3.7% for the retirement plan and 3.3% for the postretirement benefits other than pension plans at December 31, 2014 to 3.9% and 3.6%, respectively, at December 31, 2015.

We determine the overall expected long-term return on plan assets based on our estimate of the return that plan assets will provide over the period that benefits are expected to be paid out. In preparing this estimate, we assess the rates of return on each allocation of plan assets, return premiums generated by portfolio management, and advice by our third-party actuary and investment consultants. The expected return on plan assets is a long-term assumption that is determined at the beginning of each year and generally does not significantly change annually. While historical returns are considered, the rate of return assumption is primarily based on projections of expected returns, using economic data and financial models to estimate the probability of returns. The probability distribution of annualized returns for the portfolio using current asset allocations is used to determine the expected range of returns for a ten-to-twenty year horizon. While management believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or changes in assumptions may affect our pension expense. The expected return on plan assets assumption used for determining net periodic pension expense for 2015 and 2014 was 6.5% and 7.5%, respectively. Actual return on plan assets for 2015 and 2014 was (2.9)% and 5.3%, respectively. Our historical actual return averaged 5.9% for the ten-year period ended December 31, 2015. In the future, we may make additional discretionary contributions to the plan or we could be required to make mandatory cash funding payments.

The mortality rate assumption used for determining future benefit obligations as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was based on the RP 2014 Mortality Tables. In estimating the health care cost trend rate, we consider our actual health care cost experience, industry trends, and advice from our third-party actuary.

While management believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or changes in assumptions may affect our pension and postretirement benefit obligations and expense. For example, holding all other assumptions constant, a 1% increase or decrease in the assumed discount rate related to the retirement plan would increase or decrease, respectively, 2015 net periodic pension expense by approximately \$0.2 million. Likewise, a 1% increase or decrease in the assumed rate of return on plan assets would decrease or increase, respectively, 2015 net periodic pension expense by approximately \$0.7 million.

Legal Contingencies. We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims, the outcomes of which are subject to significant uncertainty. We record an accrual for loss contingencies when a loss is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We review these accruals each reporting period and make revisions based on changes in facts and circumstances.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

For a discussion of recently issued accounting standards, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included herein.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our primary exposures to market risk are from changes in interest rates and changes in asset values of investments that fund our pension plan.

Risk Related to Changes in Interest Rates

Borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit portion of our Credit Facility currently bear interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 1.60%, subject to adjustment as defined in the agreement. If LIBOR were to increase by 100 basis points, our annual interest cost on the \$306.4 million in borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit portion of our Credit Facility at December 31, 2015 would increase by approximately \$3.1 million.

Borrowings outstanding under our \$400 million term loan B currently bear interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 2.75%, subject to adjustment as defined in the agreement. If LIBOR were to increase by 100 basis points, our annual interest cost on the \$394.0 million in borrowings outstanding under our \$400 million term loan B at December 31, 2015 would increase by approximately \$3.9 million.

Certain of our outstanding cash balances are occasionally invested overnight with high credit quality financial institutions. We do not have significant exposure to changing interest rates on invested cash at December 31, 2015. As a result, the interest rate market risk implicit in these investments at December 31, 2015, if any, is low.

Risk Related to Changes in Asset Values that Fund our Pension Plans

The expected rates of return on the assets that fund our defined benefit pension plan are based on the asset allocation of the plan and the long-term projected return on those assets, which represent a diversified mix of equity securities, fixed income securities and cash. At December 31, 2015, the value of the investments in the pension fund was \$65.4 million, and an immediate ten percent decrease in the value of the investments in the fund would have reduced the value of the fund by approximately \$6.5 million.

Summary

Based upon our overall market risk exposures at December 31, 2015, we believe that the effects of changes in interest rates and asset values of investments that fund our pension plan could be material to our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report contains “forward-looking statements” intended to qualify for the safe harbor from liability established by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements concern our goals, beliefs, expectations, strategies, objectives, plans, future operating results and underlying assumptions, and other statements that are not necessarily based on historical facts. Without limitation, you can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts, and these statements may contain words such as “may,” “will,” “could,” “should,” “might,” “projects,” “expects,” “believes,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “continue,” “estimate,” or “pursue,” or the negative or other variations thereof or comparable terms. In particular, they include statements relating to, among other things, future actions, strategies, future performance, the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings and future financial results. These also include statements regarding (i) the effect of our election to be taxed as a REIT and maintain REIT status for federal income tax purposes; (ii) the holding of our non-qualifying REIT assets in one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries; (iii) our announced dividend policy including the frequency and amount of any dividend we may pay; (iv) potential growth opportunities, including future expansion of the geographic diversity of our existing asset portfolio through acquisitions; (v) Marriott’s ability to effectively manage our hotels and other properties;

(vi) our anticipated capital expenditures; (vii) the potential operating and financial restrictions imposed on our activities under existing and future financing agreements and other contractual arrangements with third parties, including management agreements with Marriott; and (viii) any other business or operational matters. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, beliefs and expectations, our actual results could differ materially from the results anticipated by the forward-looking statements as a result of many known and unknown factors including, but not limited to, those discussed in “Risk Factors,” “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” and elsewhere in this annual report. Any forward-looking statement made in this annual report speaks only as of the date on which the statement is made. New risks and uncertainties may arise from time to time, and it is impossible for us to predict these events or how they may affect us. We have no duty to, and do not intend to, update or revise the forward-looking statements we make in this annual report, except as may be required by law.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following specific risk factors as well as the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this annual report as these are important factors, among others, that could cause our actual results to differ from our expected or historical results. It is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, you should not consider any such list to be a complete statement of all our potential risks or uncertainties. Some statements in this annual report are “forward-looking statements” and are qualified by the cautionary language regarding such statements. See “Forward-Looking Statements” above.

If we fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we would be subject to tax at corporate income tax rates and would not be able to deduct distributions to stockholders when computing our taxable income.

As a REIT, we hold our non-qualifying REIT assets in one or more TRSs. These non-qualifying REIT assets consist principally of non-real estate assets related to our Hospitality segment and the assets related to our Entertainment segment as historically structured and operated.

If, in any taxable year, we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT, and are not entitled to relief under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”):

- we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income; and
- we would be subject to federal and state income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates.

Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for other purposes. This adverse impact could last for five or more years because, unless we are entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would be taxable as a C corporation, beginning in the year in which the failure occurs, and we would not be allowed to re-elect to be taxed as a REIT for the following four years.

If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may need to borrow additional funds or liquidate certain assets to pay any additional tax liability. Accordingly, funds available for investment or distribution to stockholders would be reduced.

REIT qualification involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Code to our operations, as well as various factual determinations concerning matters and circumstances not entirely within our control. There are limited judicial or administrative interpretations of these provisions. Although we plan to operate in a manner consistent with the REIT qualification rules, we cannot assure you that we will so qualify or remain so qualified.

As a REIT, failure to make required distributions to our stockholders would subject us to federal and state corporate income tax.

Prior to 2012, we had not paid a cash distribution on our common stock since 1999. Beginning in 2013 we declared, and we intend to continue to declare, regular quarterly distributions, the amount of which will be determined, and will be subject to adjustment, by our board of directors. Our board of directors has approved a dividend policy pursuant to which we will pay a quarterly cash dividend in an amount equal to an annualized payment of at least 50% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined by us) less maintenance capital expenditures or 100% of REIT taxable income on an annual basis, whichever is greater. This dividend policy may be altered at any time by our board of directors, and certain provisions of our debt agreements may prohibit us from paying dividends in accordance with the policy. To be taxed as a REIT, we are generally required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding net capital gain) each year to our stockholders. If our cash available for distribution falls short of

our estimates, we may be unable to maintain the proposed quarterly distributions that approximate our taxable income and may fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT. In addition, our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to fund required distributions as a result of differences in timing between the actual receipt of income and the recognition of income for federal and state income tax purposes, or the effect of nondeductible expenditures.

To the extent that we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to federal and state corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders for a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code.

Covenants in our current and future debt instruments may limit our ability to make required distributions to our stockholders in accordance with our announced intended dividend policy.

Our credit facility imposes, and future financing agreements are likely to impose, operating and financial restrictions on our activities, including restrictions on the payment of dividends. These restrictions may prevent us from making distributions to our stockholders in accordance with our announced intended dividend policy.

We may be required to borrow funds, sell assets, or issue equity to satisfy our REIT distribution requirements or maintain the asset ownership tests.

To meet the REIT distribution requirements and maintain our qualification and taxation as a REIT, we may need to borrow funds, sell assets or issue equity, even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings, sales or offerings. Any insufficiency of our cash flows to cover our REIT distribution requirements could adversely impact our ability to raise short- and long-term debt, to sell assets, or to offer equity securities to fund distributions required to maintain our qualification and taxation as a REIT. Furthermore, the REIT distribution requirements may increase the financing we need to fund capital expenditures, future growth and expansion initiatives. This would increase our total leverage.

In addition, if we fail to comply with certain REIT asset ownership tests at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive assets. These actions may reduce our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our flexibility or cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our common stock. Thus, compliance with these tests will require us to refrain from certain activities and may hinder our ability to make certain attractive investments, including the purchase of non-qualifying assets, the expansion of non-real estate activities, and investments in the businesses to be conducted by our TRSs, and to that extent limit our opportunities and our flexibility to change our business strategy. Furthermore, acquisition opportunities may be adversely affected if we need or require the target company to comply with some REIT requirements prior to closing. In addition, as a REIT, we may face investor pressures to forego growth opportunities that are not immediately accretive.

We conduct a significant portion of our business activities, including those currently operated within our Entertainment segment, through TRSs. Under the Code, no more than 25% of the value of the assets of a REIT may be represented by securities of one or more TRSs and other non-qualifying assets. In addition, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, no more than 20% of the value of the assets of a REIT may be

represented by securities of one or more TRSs. These limitations may affect our ability to make additional investments in our Entertainment segment as historically structured and operated or in other non-REIT qualifying operations or assets. To meet our annual distribution requirements, we may be required to distribute amounts that may otherwise be used for our operations, including amounts that may otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt, and it is possible that we might be required to borrow funds, sell assets or issue equity to fund these distributions, even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings, sales or offerings.

Our planned use of TRSs may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

The net income of our TRSs is not required to be distributed to us, and income that is not distributed to us generally will not be subject to the REIT income distribution requirement. However, there may be limitations on our ability to accumulate earnings in our TRSs and the accumulation or reinvestment of significant earnings in our TRSs could result in adverse tax treatment. In particular, if the accumulation of cash in our TRSs causes the fair market value of our securities in our TRSs and certain other non-qualifying assets to exceed 25% of the fair market value of our assets, we would fail to qualify as a REIT. Furthermore, beginning with our taxable year commencing January 1, 2018, if the accumulation of cash in our TRSs causes the fair market value of our securities in our TRSs to exceed 20% of the fair market value of our assets, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

If our leases of our hotel properties to TRS lessees are not true leases for federal income tax purposes, we may fail to qualify as a REIT.

In order for the lease payments by our TRS lessees to our property-owning subsidiaries to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the lease or sublease must be considered a true lease for federal income tax purposes and must not be treated as a service contract, joint venture, or some other type of arrangement. We believe we have structured our leases and subleases of our hotel properties to our TRS lessees so that the leases will be considered true leases for federal income tax purposes, but there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) will agree with this characterization.

If Marriott or any future third-party hotel manager fails to qualify as an “eligible independent contractor,” or if our hotels are not “qualified lodging facilities,” we will fail to qualify as a REIT.

Rent paid by a lessee or sublessee that is a “related party tenant” of ours will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. An exception is provided, however, for leases of “qualified lodging facilities” to a TRS so long as the hotels are managed by an “eligible independent contractor” and certain other requirements are satisfied. We lease or sublease our hotel properties to TRS lessees, and such TRS lessees have engaged Marriott as a third-party hotel manager. We believe Marriott and any other third-party hotel manager that our TRS lessees may engage in the future will qualify as “eligible independent contractors” for federal income tax purposes. Among other requirements, to qualify as an “eligible independent contractor,” the third-party hotel manager must not own, directly or through its stockholders, more than 35% of our outstanding shares, and no person or group of persons can own more than 35% of our outstanding shares and the shares (or ownership interest) of the third-party hotel manager, taking into account certain ownership attribution rules. The ownership attribution rules that apply for purposes of these 35% thresholds are complex, and monitoring actual and constructive ownership of our shares by the third-party hotel manager and their owners may not be practical. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that these ownership limits will not be exceeded.

In addition, for a third-party hotel manager to qualify as an “eligible independent contractor,” such company or a related person must be actively engaged in the trade or business of operating “qualified lodging facilities” (as defined below) for one or more persons not related to the REIT or its TRSs at each time that such company enters into a hotel management contract with a TRS lessee. We believe that Marriott operates “qualified lodging facilities” for certain persons who are not related to us or our TRSs, and Marriott has agreed in the hotel management agreements that it, or its affiliates, are eligible independent contractors and will maintain such

status. However, no assurances can be provided that Marriott or any other hotel managers that we may engage in the future will in fact comply with this requirement. Failure to comply with this requirement would require us to find other third-party hotel managers for future contracts, and, if we hired a third-party hotel manager without knowledge of the failure, it could jeopardize our status as a REIT.

Finally, each property with respect to which our TRS lessees pay rent must be a “qualified lodging facility.” A “qualified lodging facility” is a hotel, motel or other establishment more than one-half of the dwelling units in which are used on a transient basis, including customary amenities and facilities, provided that no wagering activities are conducted at or in connection with such facility by any person who is engaged in the business of accepting wagers and who is legally authorized to engage in such business at or in connection with such facility. We believe that our current hotel properties are “qualified lodging facilities.” Although we intend to monitor future acquisitions and improvements of properties, REIT provisions of the Code provide only limited guidance for making determinations under the requirements for “qualified lodging facilities,” and there can be no assurance that these requirements will be satisfied.

Our cash distributions are not guaranteed and may fluctuate.

A REIT generally is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders. Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, will determine on a quarterly basis the amount of cash to be distributed to our stockholders based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, our results of operations, cash flow and capital requirements, economic conditions, tax considerations, borrowing capacity and other factors, including debt covenant restrictions that may impose limitations on cash payments and plans for future acquisitions and divestitures. Our board of directors has approved a dividend policy pursuant to which we will pay a quarterly cash dividend based on an annualized amount of at least 50% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined by us) less maintenance capital expenditures or 100% of REIT taxable income on an annual basis, whichever is greater. The dividend policy may be altered at any time by our board of directors and certain provisions of our debt agreements may prohibit us from paying dividends in accordance with the policy. Consequently, our distribution levels may fluctuate.

There are uncertainties relating to the estimate of our special dividend paid on December 21, 2012.

To qualify for taxation as a REIT effective for the year ended December 31, 2013, we were required to distribute to our stockholders our undistributed accumulated earnings and profits attributable to taxable periods ended prior to January 1, 2013. To satisfy this requirement, on November 2, 2012, our board of directors declared a special dividend in the amount of \$6.84 per share of common stock, or an aggregate of approximately \$309.8 million to stockholders of record as of the close of business on November 13, 2012, payable on December 21, 2012 in a combination of cash and stock, as elected by stockholders. We believe that the total value of the special dividend was sufficient to fully distribute our accumulated earnings and profits and that a portion of the special dividend exceeded our accumulated earnings and profits. However, the amount of our undistributed accumulated earnings and profits is a complex factual and legal determination. We may have had less than complete information at the time we estimated our earnings and profits or may have interpreted the applicable law differently from the IRS. Substantial uncertainties exist relating to the computation of our undistributed accumulated earnings and profits, including the possibility that the IRS could, in auditing tax years through 2012, successfully assert that our taxable income should be increased, which could increase our pre-REIT accumulated earnings and profits. Thus, we may fail to satisfy the requirement to distribute all of our pre-REIT accumulated earnings and profits by the close of our first taxable year as a REIT.

Even though we are conducting our business as a REIT, certain of our business activities will be subject to corporate level income tax, which will continue to reduce our cash flows, and we will have potential deferred and contingent tax liabilities.

Even though we are conducting our business as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state, and local taxes on our income and assets, including alternative minimum taxes, taxes on any undistributed income, and

state or local income, franchise, property and transfer taxes. In addition, we could in certain circumstances be required to pay an excise or penalty tax, which could be significant in amount, to utilize one or more relief provisions under the Code to maintain qualification for taxation as a REIT.

Our business related to the non-real estate assets of our Hospitality segment and the assets of our Entertainment segment is conducted through wholly-owned TRSs because these activities could generate non-qualifying REIT income as historically structured and operated. Those TRS assets and operations continue to be subject, as applicable, to federal and state corporate income taxes in the jurisdictions in which those assets and operations are located. Any of these taxes would decrease our earnings and our available cash. In addition, net operating losses in any of our TRSs generally will not provide any tax benefit, except for use against current or future taxable income in the TRSs.

We are also subject to a federal corporate level tax at the highest regular corporate rate (currently 35%) on all or a portion of the gain recognized from a sale of assets occurring prior to January 1, 2018, to the extent of the built-in gain based on the fair market value of those assets on the effective date of the REIT election in excess of our then tax basis. That tax on subsequently sold assets will be based on the fair market value and built-in gain of those assets as of January 1, 2013. Gain from a sale of an asset occurring after the specified period ends will not be subject to this corporate level tax. We currently do not expect to sell any asset if the sale would result in the imposition of a material tax liability. We cannot, however, assure you that we will not change our plans in this regard.

In addition, the IRS and any state or local tax authority may successfully assert liabilities against us for corporate income taxes for taxable years prior to the time we qualified as a REIT, in which case we will owe these taxes plus applicable interest and penalties, if any. Moreover, any increase in taxable income for these pre-REIT periods will likely result in an increase in pre-REIT accumulated earnings and profits, which could cause us to pay an additional taxable distribution to our stockholders after the relevant determination. Any payments of the foregoing nature could require significant amounts of cash.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and increase the costs of our hedging, and may cause us to incur tax liabilities.

The REIT provisions of the Code limit our ability to hedge liabilities. Generally, income from hedging transactions that we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets and income from certain currency hedging transactions related to any non-U.S. operations do not constitute “gross income” for purposes of the REIT gross income tests. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of the REIT gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may need to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because our TRSs would be subject to tax on income or gains resulting from hedges entered into by them or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear.

Recent tax legislation impacts certain U.S. federal income tax rules applicable to REITs and could adversely affect our current tax positions.

The recently enacted Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 (the “PATH Act”) contains changes to certain aspects of the U.S. federal income tax rules applicable to us. The PATH Act is the most recent example of changes to the REIT rules, and additional legislative changes may occur that could adversely affect our current tax positions. The PATH Act modifies various rules that apply to our ownership of, and business relationship with, our TRSs and reduces the maximum allowable value of our assets attributable to TRSs from 25% to 20%, which could impact our ability to enter into future investments. The PATH Act makes permanent the reduction of the recognition period (from ten years to five years) during which an entity that converted from a corporation to a

REIT or was acquired by a REIT is subject to a corporate-level tax on built-in gains recognized during such period, which could influence our decisions regarding dispositions and the types of investments we enter into in the future. The PATH Act also makes multiple changes related to the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act, expands prohibited transaction safe harbors and qualifying hedges, and repeals the preferential dividend rule for public REITs previously applicable to us. Lastly, the PATH Act adjusts the way we may calculate certain earnings and profits calculations to avoid double taxation at the stockholder level, and expands the types of qualifying assets and income for purposes of the REIT requirements. The provisions enacted by the PATH Act could result in changes in our tax positions or investments, and future legislative changes related to those rules described above could have a materially adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us or our stockholders.

At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended. Federal and state tax laws are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS, the United States Department of the Treasury and state taxing authorities. Changes to the tax laws, regulations and administrative interpretations, which may have retroactive application, could adversely affect us. We cannot predict with certainty whether, when, in what forms, or with what effective dates, the tax laws, regulations and administrative interpretations applicable to us may be changed. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that any such change will not significantly affect our ability to qualify for taxation as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences to us of such qualification.

The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT qualification, without stockholder approval, may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders.

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (“Charter”) provides that the board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate the REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to be a REIT, we will not be allowed a tax deduction with respect to distributions to our stockholders in computing our taxable income, and we will be subject to federal and state income tax at regular corporate rates and state and local taxes, which may have adverse consequences on our stock price, distributions, and total return to our stockholders.

If our third-party hotel managers do not manage our hotel properties or other businesses successfully, our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders may be negatively impacted.

Due to federal income tax laws that restrict REITs from operating and managing hotels, we do not operate or manage the day-to-day functions of any of our hotel properties as a REIT. We lease or sublease our hotel properties to TRSs, and such TRS lessees have engaged Marriott as a third-party hotel manager pursuant to hotel management agreements. Marriott manages the day-to-day operations of our Gaylord Hotels, as well as the Inn at Opryland and the AC Hotel. We will identify third-party hotel managers to operate and manage any hotels that we acquire in the future. Our third-party hotel managers will be responsible for the day-to-day management of our hotel properties, including, but not limited to, implementing significant operating decisions, setting rates for rooms and meeting space, controlling revenue and expenditures, collecting accounts receivable, and recruiting, employing and supervising employees at our hotel properties. We will not have the authority to require our third-party hotel managers to operate our hotel properties in a particular manner, although we will have consent and approval rights for certain matters under our hotel management agreements with Marriott, subject to the limitations described therein. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders are largely dependent on the ability of our third-party hotel managers to operate our hotel properties successfully. Any failure by our third-party hotel managers to provide quality services and amenities or to maintain and protect a quality brand name and reputation could have a negative impact on their ability to operate and manage our hotel properties successfully and could negatively impact our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

We cannot assure you that our third-party hotel managers will operate and manage our hotel properties in a manner that is consistent with their obligations under the hotel management agreements, that our third-party hotel managers will not be negligent in their performance or engage in other criminal or fraudulent activity, or that they will not otherwise default on their management obligations to us.

Even if we believe our hotel properties are being operated inefficiently or in a manner that does not result in satisfactory operational metrics, we will have limited ability to require our third-party hotel managers to change their method of operation of our hotel properties. We generally will attempt to resolve issues with third-party hotel managers through discussions and negotiations. However, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to litigate the dispute or submit the matter to third-party dispute resolution or arbitration. We would be able to seek redress only if a third-party hotel manager violates the terms of the applicable hotel management agreement, and then only to the extent of the remedies provided for under the terms of the hotel management agreement. Additionally, in the event we need to replace any of our third-party hotel managers, we may experience significant business disruptions at the affected hotel properties, and may be liable, under certain circumstances, for significant damages and/or be required to make certain payments to our third-party managers.

The operation and management of our current hotel properties, the operation of which generates substantially all our Hospitality segment revenue, is concentrated in Marriott.

Our current hotel properties, the operation of which generates substantially all our Hospitality segment revenue, are operated and managed by Marriott. As a result, our operational risk is concentrated in one third-party hotel manager, which makes us more vulnerable economically to any weakness of Marriott than if we entered into hotel management agreements with several third-party hotel managers. We cannot assure you that Marriott will satisfy its obligations to us or successfully operate and manage our current hotel properties. Any adverse developments in Marriott's business and affairs, financial strength or ability to operate and manage our current hotel properties successfully could materially reduce our revenues and net income, which could in turn reduce the amount of distributions to our stockholders. Additionally, we rely on the resources of and financial information provided by Marriott to report the financial results of our hotel properties. A failure by Marriott to accurately report the financial results of our hotel properties could materially affect our understanding of the hotel properties' performance as well as our ability to accurately report on the hotel properties' performance. Further, Marriott now owns the Gaylord Hotels brand and trademarks, and a failure on their part to maintain quality standards could harm the brand and damage our business.

Revenue enhancements and cost synergies as a result of transitioning the management of our hotels to Marriott may not be sustained.

Revenue growth and cost synergies for our hotel operations are largely dependent on the efforts of Marriott. Marriott's efforts to leverage its rewards program, customer channels and brands, as well as its management of demand for rooms, meeting space and banquets, have resulted in revenue growth and Marriott's efforts to reduce hotel-level costs have yielded cost savings. There can be no assurance that improvements in revenue or cost savings can be sustained.

Restrictive covenants and other provisions in our hotel management agreements with third-party hotel managers could limit our ability to sell or lease our hotel properties or refinance our existing debt.

Our hotel management agreements with Marriott contain, and we anticipate that hotel management agreements with our future third-party hotel managers will contain, restrictive covenants that limit our flexibility to sell or lease our hotel properties. For example, we may not sell or lease our current hotel properties to a competitor of Marriott (as defined in our management agreements with Marriott), and any purchaser or lessee must have, in Marriott's judgment, sufficient financial resources and liquidity to fulfill our obligations under the hotel management agreements. Such restrictions on our ability to sell or lease our hotel properties could negatively affect the marketability of our hotel properties and restrict our ability to refinance our existing debt secured by our hotel properties.

Marriott and any future third-party hotel manager may own or operate hotels that compete with our hotel properties.

Our third-party hotel managers may own or operate hotels that compete with our current hotel properties and any hotel properties that we acquire, which may result in a conflict of interest. For example, Marriott and its affiliates own, have invested in, operate, and have provided credit support or operating guarantees to hotels that compete or will compete with our current hotel properties, including the Marriott Orlando World Center, which competes with Gaylord Palms, and the Washington Marriott Marquis, which competes with Gaylord National. As a result, our third-party hotel managers may make decisions regarding competing hotel properties that are not or would not be in our best interest. In addition, such third-party hotel managers' operation of other hotels may divert attention away from the operation and management of our hotel properties.

Our concentration in the hospitality industry, and in particular the group-oriented meetings sector of the hospitality industry, exposes us to certain risks outside of our and Marriott's control.

Our primary business is hotel-related, and our current hotel properties, the operation of which generates substantially all our Hospitality segment revenue, are concentrated in the group-oriented meetings sector of the hospitality industry. Therefore, a downturn in the lodging industry, in general, and the group-oriented meetings sector, in particular, would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

The ability of Marriott to successfully operate and manage our current hotel properties and convention business is subject to factors beyond our and their control, which could reduce the revenue and operating income of these properties. These factors include:

- the desirability and perceived attractiveness of the Nashville, Tennessee; Orlando, Florida; Dallas, Texas; and Washington D.C. areas as tourist and convention destinations;
- adverse changes in the national economy and in the levels of tourism and convention business that affect our current hotel properties;
- the level of governmental group business, which has decreased at times in the past due to uncertainty surrounding the U.S. government budget;
- Marriott's ability to attract group convention business;
- Marriott's ability to contract for and collect attrition and cancellation fees from groups that do not fulfill minimum stay or spending requirements;
- the opening of other new hotels could impact the group convention business at our current hotel properties;
- the highly competitive nature of the hotel, tourism and convention business in which our hotel properties operate, including the fact that we compete for convention business with publicly-financed civic convention centers;
- the susceptibility of group convention business to reduced levels of demand during the year-end holiday periods, which Marriott may not be able to offset by attracting sufficient general tourism guests;
- the financial condition of the airline and other transportation-related industries and the resulting impact on travel; and
- organized labor activities, which could cause a diversion of business from our hotels involved in labor negotiations and loss of group business.

These factors could reduce the revenues and net operating profits of our TRS lessees, which in turn could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

Due to the geographic concentration of our current hotel properties, we are subject to a greater degree of risk to certain factors.

Due to the geographic concentration of our current hotel properties, we are subject to a greater degree of risk to factors, including:

- local economic and competitive conditions;
- natural and other disasters;
- a decline in air passenger travel due to higher ticket costs or fears concerning air travel;
- a decline in the attractiveness of the areas in which our hotels are located as a convention and tourism destination; and
- a decrease in convention and meeting business at any of our properties.

Any of these could negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

We may be unable to successfully identify and complete future acquisitions.

Acquisitions of other hotels, particularly in the group-oriented meetings sector of the hospitality industry, either alone or through joint ventures or alliances with one or more third parties, are part of our long-term growth strategy. We may be unable to successfully identify or complete future acquisitions at acceptable prices and terms or, if we are able to find favorable acquisition targets, we may not be able to obtain financing on acceptable terms or secure beneficial joint ventures or alliances. We will evaluate potential acquisition opportunities in the ordinary course of business, including those that could be material in size and scope.

The hotel business is capital-intensive, and our inability to obtain financing or successfully complete acquisitions or capital improvements could limit our growth.

Acquisitions of hotels will require significant capital expenditures, and hotels that we acquire may need renovations and capital improvements at the time of acquisition. All of our hotel properties will require periodic capital expenditures and renovation to remain competitive. If any hotels that we acquire are subject to franchise agreements, the franchisors of these hotels may also require periodic capital improvements as a condition to our maintaining the franchise licenses. We may not be able to fund capital improvements or acquisitions solely from cash provided from our operating activities because we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined before the deduction for dividends paid and net of capital gains) each year to maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. As a result, our ability to fund acquisitions or capital expenditures through any retained earnings or operating cash flow will be limited. Consequently, we will rely upon the availability of debt or equity capital to fund hotel acquisitions and improvements. Our ability to grow through acquisitions will be limited if we cannot obtain satisfactory debt or equity financing, which will depend on market conditions. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain additional equity or debt financing or that we will be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms.

In addition, capital improvements may give rise to the following risks:

- possible environmental problems;
- construction cost overruns and delays;
- the possibility that revenues will be reduced while rooms, restaurants or other facilities are out of service due to capital improvement projects;
- a possible shortage of available cash to fund capital improvements and the related possibility that financing for these capital improvements may not be available to us on attractive terms; and
- uncertainties as to market demand or a loss of market demand after capital improvements have begun.

The costs of renovations and capital improvements could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

Our TRS lessee structure will subject us to the risk of increased hotel operating expenses and the inability of our TRS lessees to make lease payments to us.

Our leases with our TRS lessees will require our TRS lessees to make lease payments to us based in part on gross revenues from our hotel properties. Our operating risks will include decreases in revenues at our hotel properties and increases in operating expenses of our hotel properties. Decreases in revenues or increases in operating expenses could adversely affect our TRS lessees' ability to make lease payments due under the leases, including, but not limited to, increases in wage and benefit costs, repair and maintenance expenses, property taxes, insurance costs, and other operating expenses. Increases in those operating expenses can have a significant adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock, and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

In addition, our TRS lessees' ability to make lease payments will be affected by factors beyond their control, such as changes in general economic conditions, the level of demand for hotels and the related services of our hotel properties, competition in the lodging and hospitality industry, the third-party managers' ability to maintain and increase gross revenue at our hotel properties and other factors relating to the operations of our hotel properties.

Our substantial debt could reduce our cash flow and limit our business activities.

We currently have a significant amount of debt. As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$1.4 billion of total debt. We may incur additional debt in connection with any additional hotel acquisitions, development, renovations, or capital improvement.

Our substantial amount of debt could have important consequences. For example, it could:

- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make interest and principal payments on our debt, thereby limiting the availability of our cash flow to make distributions to our stockholders and to fund future capital expenditures, working capital and other general corporate requirements;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the hospitality industry, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage compared with competitors that are less leveraged;
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds, even when necessary to maintain adequate liquidity; and
- limit our ability to obtain additional financing for various projects, including possible expansions of our existing properties and acquisitions of additional properties.

In addition, the terms of our credit facility allow, and instruments governing any new debt may allow, us to incur substantial amounts of additional debt subject to certain limitations. Any such additional debt could increase the risks associated with our substantial leverage. At the time any principal amount of our indebtedness is due, we may not have cash available to pay this amount, and we may not be able to refinance our indebtedness on favorable terms, or at all.

We will be required to refinance our debt before it matures and there is no assurance that we will be able to refinance our debt on acceptable terms.

Currently, the revolving loans and letters of credit under our credit facility mature on June 5, 2019, and we will be required to refinance this facility prior to such date. We also have outstanding \$394.0 million under our \$400

million term loan B and \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5.00% senior unsecured notes, both of which mature in 2021 and \$400.0 million in aggregate principal amount of additional 5.00% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2023.

Our ability to refinance each of our financial agreements on acceptable terms will be dependent upon a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our assets, borrowing restrictions which may be imposed by lenders and conditions in the credit markets at the time we refinance. If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms, we may be forced to choose from a number of unfavorable options, including agreeing to otherwise unfavorable financing terms, selling one or more hotel properties at unattractive prices or on disadvantageous terms, or defaulting on mortgages and allowing our lenders to foreclose. Any one of these options could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

To service our debt and pay other obligations, we will require a significant amount of cash, which may not be available to us.

Our ability to make payments on, or repay or refinance, our debt, including our obligations under our senior notes and any future debt we may incur, and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend largely upon our future operating performance and our ability to generate cash from operations. Our future performance, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. In addition, our ability to borrow funds in the future to make payments on our debt and other obligations will depend on the satisfaction of the covenants and financial ratios in our senior credit facility and our other debt agreements, including other agreements we may enter into in the future. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations or we may not have future borrowings available to us under our credit facility or from other sources in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our debt or to fund our other liquidity needs.

The agreements governing our debt contain various covenants that may limit our ability to operate our business and impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our existing financial agreements, including our credit facility and term loan B impose, and future financing agreements are likely to impose, operating and financial restrictions on our activities, including our ability to make distributions to any stockholder. Our credit facility currently requires us to comply with or maintain certain financial tests and ratios, including minimum consolidated tangible net worth, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum implied debt service coverage ratio and maximum funded debt to asset value ratio, and our financial agreements prohibit or limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur additional debt, issue guarantees of debt and issue preferred stock;
- create liens;
- sell assets;
- sell equity interests in our restricted subsidiaries;
- redeem and/or prepay certain debt;
- pay dividends on our stock to our stockholders or repurchase our stock or other equity interests;
- make certain investments;
- enter new lines of business;
- engage in consolidations, mergers and acquisitions;
- enter into transactions with affiliates; or
- agree to restrictions on our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends and make other distributions to us.

If we fail to comply with these covenants, we would be in default under our credit facility and the indenture governing each of our 5.00% senior unsecured notes, and the outstanding principal and accrued interest on such debt would become due and payable.

Various risks, uncertainties and events beyond our control could affect our ability to comply with these covenants and maintain these financial tests and ratios. Failure to comply with any of the covenants in our existing or future financing agreements could result in a default under those agreements and under other agreements containing cross-default provisions. A default would permit lenders to accelerate the maturity for the debt under these agreements and to foreclose upon any collateral securing the debt, including, in the case of our existing credit facility, our Gaylord Hotels properties. Under these circumstances, we might not have sufficient funds or other resources to satisfy all of our obligations. In addition, the limitations imposed by financing agreements on our ability to pay dividends, incur additional debt and to take other actions might significantly impair our ability to obtain other financing and to make distributions to our stockholders in accordance with our announced intended dividend policy.

Our indebtedness is secured by a substantial portion of our assets.

Subject to applicable laws and certain agreed-upon exceptions, our credit facility and \$400 million term loan B are secured by liens on the substantial majority of our assets, including mortgages on each of our Gaylord Hotels properties. In the event of a default under our credit facility, or if we experience insolvency, liquidation, dissolution or reorganization, the holders of our secured debt instruments would first be entitled to payment from their collateral security, and only then would holders of our unsecured debt be entitled to payment from our remaining assets.

We are a holding company and depend upon our subsidiaries' cash flow to meet our debt service obligations.

We are a holding company and we conduct our operations through our subsidiaries, including our TRSs. As a result, our ability to meet our debt service obligations substantially depends upon our subsidiaries' cash flows and payments of funds to us by our subsidiaries as dividends, loans, advances, leases or other payments. The payment of dividends and/or making of loans, advances, leases or other payments by our subsidiaries will be subject to the approval of those subsidiaries' boards. Our subsidiaries' ability to pay such dividends and/or make such loans, advances, leases or other payments may also be restricted by, among other things, applicable laws and regulations, current and future debt agreements and management agreements into which our subsidiaries may enter.

We and our third-party hotel manager(s) rely on information technology in our operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption, or security failure could harm our business.

We and our third-party hotel manager(s) rely on information technology systems, including networks and the Internet, to process, transmit, and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, personal identifying information, reservations, billing and operating data. Our businesses require collection of large volumes of internal and customer data, including credit card numbers and other personally identifiable information of our customers in various information systems and those of our service providers. The integrity and protection of customer, employee, and company data is critical to us. If that data is inaccurate or incomplete, we or the hotel managers could make faulty decisions. Customers and employees also have a high expectation that we and our service providers will adequately protect their personal information. The regulatory environment surrounding information, security and privacy is also increasingly demanding. Our existing systems may be unable to satisfy changing regulatory requirements and employee and customer expectations, or may require significant additional investments or time to do so. Despite implementation of various measures designed to protect our information systems and records, including those we maintain with our service providers, we or the hotel managers may be subject to security breaches, system failures, viruses, operator error or inadvertent releases of data. A significant theft, loss, or fraudulent use of

customer, employee, or company data maintained by us or by a service provider or failure to comply with the various U.S. and international laws and regulations applicable to the protection of such data or with Payment Card Industry data security standards, could adversely impact our reputation and could result in remedial and other expenses, fines, or litigation. A breach in the security of our information systems or those of our service providers could lead to an interruption in the operation of our systems, resulting in operational inefficiencies and a loss of profits.

Our real estate investments are subject to numerous risks.

Because we own hotels and attractions properties, we are subject to the risks that generally relate to investments in real property. The investment returns available from equity investments in real estate depend in large part on the amount of income earned and capital appreciation generated by the related properties, as well as the expenses incurred. In addition, a variety of other factors affect income from properties and real estate values, including governmental regulations, insurance, zoning, tax and eminent domain laws, interest rate levels and the availability of financing. For example, new or existing real estate zoning or tax laws can make it more expensive and/or time-consuming to develop real property or expand, modify or renovate properties. When interest rates increase, the cost of acquiring, developing, expanding or renovating real property increases and real property values may decrease as the number of potential buyers decreases. Similarly, as financing becomes less available, it becomes more difficult both to acquire and to sell real property. Finally, governments can, under eminent domain laws, take real property. Sometimes this taking is for less compensation than the owner believes the property is worth. Any of these factors could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or financial condition. In addition, equity real estate investments, such as the investments we hold and any additional properties that we may acquire, are relatively difficult to sell quickly. If our properties do not generate revenue sufficient to meet operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our income will be reduced.

Our properties are subject to environmental regulations that could impose significant financial liability on us.

Environmental laws, ordinances and regulations of various federal, state, local and foreign governments regulate certain of our properties and could make us liable for the costs of removing or cleaning up hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in the properties we currently own or operate or those we previously owned or operated. Those laws could impose liability without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. The presence of hazardous or toxic substances, or the failure to properly clean up such substances when present, could jeopardize our ability to develop, use, sell or rent the real property or to borrow using the real property as collateral. If we arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic wastes, we could be liable for the costs of removing or cleaning up wastes at the disposal or treatment facility, even if we never owned or operated that facility. Other laws, ordinances and regulations could require us to manage, abate or remove lead- or asbestos-containing materials. Similarly, the operation and closure of storage tanks are often regulated by federal, state, local and foreign laws. Finally, certain laws, ordinances and regulations, particularly those governing the management or preservation of wetlands, coastal zones and threatened or endangered species, could limit our ability to develop, use, sell or rent our real property. Existing governmental laws and regulations may be revised or new laws and regulations relating to climate change, air quality or other environmental and health concerns may be adopted or become applicable to us, which could affect the operations of our hotels and/or result in significant additional expense and operating restrictions. The costs to clean up a contaminated property, to defend a claim, or to comply with environmental laws could be material and could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Additionally, because we rely on third-party managers to operate our hotel properties and certain attractions, we have limited control over ensuring compliance at those locations with applicable environmental laws or regulations or approving certain remediation action taken by the manager to resolve such issues.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act could require us to incur substantial costs.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended (the “ADA”), all public accommodations must meet various federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA’s requirements could require removal of access barriers, and non-compliance could result in the U.S. government imposing fines or in private litigants winning damages. Although we believe that our hotel properties substantially comply with present requirements of the ADA, we may be subject to audits or investigations of all of our hotels to determine our compliance, and one or more hotels may not be fully compliant with the ADA. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the incurrence of additional costs to attain compliance. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our hotel properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect. If we are required to make substantial modifications to our hotel properties, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and amount of cash available for debt service or distributions to our stockholders could be adversely affected. Additionally, because we rely on third-party managers to operate our hotel properties and certain attractions, we have limited control over ensuring compliance at those locations with applicable ADA requirements or approving certain remediation action taken by the manager to resolve such issues.

We have invested in, and in the future may invest in, mortgage loans, mezzanine debt, joint ventures or certain minority equity interests over which we may not have significant control, to or for which we may owe significant funding or obligations and for which there is no readily available market, and these investments may not be profitable.

We may invest with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, by acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Further, we may invest in mortgage loans or mezzanine financing for a property. These types of investments may not be liquid and we may have little or no rights, or ability, to exercise the direction or control of the respective enterprises. In connection with these investments, we may have obligations under certain guarantees related to such investments. The ultimate value of any debt investments, joint ventures or minority investments will be dependent upon the efforts of others over an extended period of time. The nature of our interests and the absence of a readily available market for those interests restrict our ability to dispose of them. Our lack of control over the management of any business in which we are a creditor, joint owner or minority investor and the lack of a readily available market to sell our interest in these businesses may cause us to recognize a loss on our investment in these businesses or to incur costs or liabilities that we do not control, but for which we may be required to contribute capital or satisfy financial commitments. These arrangements are subject to uncertainties and risks, including those related to credit risk, conflicting joint venture partner interests and to our joint venture partners failing to meet their financial or other obligations.

As an owner of hotel properties and operator of leisure businesses, we are subject to risks relating to acts of God, outbreaks of pandemic disease, terrorist activity and war.

Our operating income and ability to make distributions to our stockholders may be reduced by acts of God, outbreaks of pandemic disease, or acts of terrorism in locations where we own and/or operate significant properties and areas of the world from which we draw a large number of customers. Gaylord Opryland, which is located adjacent to the Cumberland River and is protected by levees built to sustain a 100-year flood, suffered flood damage on May 3, 2010 as the river rose to levels that over-topped the levees. The per occurrence flood insurance limit for our Gaylord Opryland hotel is now \$500 million. We have also completed enhancements to the levees that protect the hotel to increase the height of the levees. While we believe these steps are reasonable given the likelihood of flood damage at Gaylord Opryland, there can be no assurances that flooding will not occur at Gaylord Opryland in the future. Some types of losses, such as from flood, earthquake, terrorism and environmental hazards, may be either uninsurable, subject to sublimit, or too expensive to justify insuring against. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the

capital we have invested in a hotel, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Similarly, outbreaks of pandemic disease, wars (including the potential for war), terrorist activity (including threats of terrorist activity), political unrest and other forms of civil strife as well as geopolitical uncertainty, may cause our future results to differ materially from anticipated results.

We are subject to risks associated with our hotel managers' employment of hotel personnel, particularly with hotels whose managers employ unionized labor, which could increase our hotels' operating costs, reduce the flexibility of our third-party hotel managers to adjust the size of the workforce at our hotel properties and impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our third-party hotel managers are responsible for hiring and maintaining the labor force at each of our hotel properties. Although we do not employ or manage employees at our hotel properties, we are subject to many of the costs and risks generally associated with the hotel labor force, including at those of our hotels with unionized labor. From time to time, hotel operations may be disrupted as a result of strikes, lockouts, public demonstrations or other negative actions and publicity. We also may incur increased legal costs and indirect labor costs as a result of contract disputes or other events. The resolution of labor disputes or re-negotiated labor contracts could lead to increased labor costs, either by increases in wages or benefits or by changes in work rules that raise hotel operating costs. Furthermore, labor agreements may limit the ability of our third-party hotel managers to reduce the size of hotel workforces during an economic downturn because collective bargaining agreements are negotiated between the third-party hotel managers and labor unions. We do not have the ability to control the outcome of these negotiations.

Any failure to protect the trademarks and intellectual property used in our business could reduce the value of our brand names and harm our business.

Third-party infringement of the Gaylord Hotels marks now owned by Marriott or the marks we own and use in our attractions business, or the failure to enforce rights to the marks, could be damaging to our business.

The reputation and perception of the brands we use is critical to our success. If trademarks or intellectual property are copied or used without authorization, the value of those brands, their reputation, our competitive advantages and our goodwill could be harmed. We regularly apply to register our trademarks in the United States. However, we cannot assure you that those trademark registrations will be granted or that the steps we take to protect our trademarks or intellectual property in the United States will be adequate to prevent others, including third parties or former employees, from copying or using our trademarks or intellectual property without authorization. Our intellectual property is also vulnerable to unauthorized use in some countries outside the United States, where local law may not adequately protect it. Marriott owns and maintains the marks used in the Gaylord Hotels operations.

Monitoring the unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult. As we have in the past, we may need to resort to litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights. Litigation of this type could be costly, force us to divert our resources, lead to counterclaims or other claims against us or otherwise harm our business. Any failure to maintain and protect trademarks and other intellectual property used in our business could reduce the value of our brands and harm our business.

Hospitality companies have been the target of class actions and other lawsuits alleging violations of federal and state law and other claims, and we may be subject to legal claims.

Our operating income and profits may be reduced by legal or governmental proceedings brought by or on behalf of our employees, customers or other third parties. In recent years, a number of hospitality companies have been subject to lawsuits, including class action lawsuits, alleging violations of federal and state law regarding

workplace and employment matters, discrimination and other alleged violations of law. A number of these lawsuits have resulted in the payment of substantial damages by the defendants. Similar lawsuits have been instituted against us from time to time, and we cannot assure you that we will not incur substantial damages and expenses resulting from lawsuits of this type or other claims, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, because we rely on third-party managers to operate our hotel properties and certain attractions, we have limited control over defending lawsuits of this type or other claims.

Even as a REIT, changes in federal, state, or local tax law, interpretations of existing tax law or agreements with tax authorities could affect our profitability and financial condition by increasing our tax costs.

We are subject to taxation at the federal, state and local levels in the United States. Our future tax rates could be affected by changes in the composition of earnings in jurisdictions with differing tax rates, changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, or changes in determinations regarding the jurisdictions in which we are subject to tax. From time to time, the U.S. federal, state and local governments make substantive changes to tax rules and the application thereof, which could result in materially higher corporate taxes than would be incurred under existing tax law or interpretations and could adversely impact profitability. State and local tax authorities have increased their efforts to increase revenues through changes in tax law and audits. Such changes and proposals, if enacted, could increase our future effective income tax rates, as well as other taxes, including property taxes.

Healthcare reform legislation could adversely affect our results of operations.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the “Health Reform Law”), was enacted. The Health Reform Law serves as the primary vehicle for comprehensive healthcare reform in the United States, including the reduction of the number of individuals in the United States without health insurance and other significant changes to the ways in which healthcare is organized, delivered and reimbursed. Although most provisions of the Health Reform Law are currently in effect, the Health Reform Law is complex and is subject to legislative efforts to repeal and modify the law, court challenges and ongoing regulatory interpretations. Among other things, the Health Reform Law contains provisions that affect employer-sponsored health plans and impose excise and other taxes and fees with respect to certain plans. Pursuant to our management agreements, our third-party managers may pass certain health care costs for employees working at our properties through to us. As such, the provisions of the Health Reform Law may significantly raise our and our third-party managers’ employee health benefits costs and/or alter the benefits we or our third-party managers are required to provide to our respective employees. We continue to review and comply with provisions of the Health Reform Law and their impact on employer-sponsored plans. Costs associated with compliance with the Health Reform Law are consistently being reviewed and analyzed. We anticipate increased expenses relating to our and our third-party managers’ company-sponsored plans over the course of the next several years. If we or our third-party hotel managers are not able to limit or offset future cost increases, those costs could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our operating results and ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders may be adversely affected by various operating risks common to the lodging industry.

Our hotel properties have different economic characteristics than many other real estate assets, and a hotel REIT is structured differently than many other types of REITs. A typical office property owner, for example, has long-term leases with third-party tenants, which provide a relatively stable long-term stream of revenue. Our TRS lessees, on the other hand, do not enter into a lease with a third-party hotel manager. Instead, our TRS lessees engage our third-party managers pursuant to hotel management agreements and pay the third-party hotel managers fees for managing our hotel properties. The TRS lessees receive all the operating profit or losses at our hotel properties, net of fees and reimbursements. Moreover, virtually all hotel guests stay at a hotel for only a few nights at a time, so the rate and occupancy at each of our hotel properties may change every day. As a result, we may have highly volatile earnings.

In addition, our hotel properties are subject to various operating risks common to the lodging industry, many of which are beyond our or a manager's control, including the following:

- competition from other hotel properties and publicly-financed civic convention centers in our markets;
- over-building of hotels in our markets, which could adversely affect occupancy and revenues at our hotel properties;
- dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism;
- increases in energy costs and other expenses affecting travel, which may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;
- increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
- changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- adverse effects of international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;
- the impact of the use of Internet travel intermediaries by consumers;
- unforeseen events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel-related health concerns including pandemics and epidemics, political instability, regional hostilities, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel-related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters, such as hurricanes and earthquakes;
- adverse effects of a downturn in the lodging industry; and
- risks generally associated with the ownership of hotels and real estate, as discussed in more detail below.

These factors could reduce the net operating profits of our TRS lessees, which in turn could adversely affect the amount of frequency of distributions we make to our stockholders and our ability to service our debt.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotel properties and harm our financial condition.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to promptly sell one or more of our hotel properties in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions may be limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors that are beyond our control, including:

- adverse changes in international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;
- changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- the ongoing need for capital improvements, particularly in older structures;
- changes in operating expenses; and
- civil unrest, acts of God, including earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses, and acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of the terrorist acts.

We may decide in the future to sell one or more of our hotel properties. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any hotel property for the price or on the terms set by us, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a

willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel property. Further, as a REIT, we are subject to a 100% excise tax on net income derived from prohibited transactions, including the sale of property (other than foreclosure property) held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course. There can be no assurances that the IRS will not contend that the sale of a hotel is subject to this 100% excise tax.

We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a hotel property can be sold. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements. In acquiring a hotel, we may agree to lock-out provisions that materially restrict us from selling that hotel for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that hotel. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotel properties could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, as well as the amount of cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Our organizational documents and Delaware law could make it difficult for a third party to acquire control of us.

Our Charter and our Amended and Restated Bylaws contain provisions that could delay, deter or prevent a change in control of our company or our management. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions. These provisions:

- impose restrictions on transfer and ownership of our common stock that are designed to assist us in maintaining our status as a REIT;
- authorize us to issue “blank check” preferred stock, which is preferred stock that can be created and issued by our board of directors, without stockholder approval, with rights senior to those of common stock;
- establish advance notice requirements for submitting nominations for election to our board of directors and for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at meetings;
- provide that special meetings of stockholders may be called only by our chairman or by a majority of the members of our board of directors;
- prohibit stockholder actions taken on written consent; and
- impose restrictions on ownership of common stock by certain persons (including non-United States persons) due to our ownership of a radio station.

We are subject to anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law, which could also delay or prevent a change of control. Together, our Charter, Amended and Restated Bylaws, and Delaware law may discourage transactions that otherwise could provide for the payment of a premium over prevailing market prices for our common stock, and also could limit the price that investors are willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Our issuance of preferred stock could adversely affect holders of our common stock and discourage a takeover.

Our Charter permits our board of directors to issue up to 100 million shares of preferred stock without any action on the part of our stockholders. As of the date hereof, we have no shares of preferred stock outstanding. Our board of directors also has the power, without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any new series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights, preferences over our common stock with respect to dividends or in the event of a dissolution, liquidation or winding up and other terms. In the event that we issue shares of preferred stock in the future that have preference over our common stock with respect to payment of dividends or upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of our common stock, the rights of the holders of our common stock or the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected. In addition, the ability of our board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock without any action on the part of our stockholders may impede a takeover of us and prevent a transaction favorable to our stockholders.

The ownership limitations in our Charter may restrict or prevent stockholders from engaging in certain transfers of our common stock.

To qualify and remain qualified as a REIT, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include various kinds of entities) during the last half of any taxable year. To assist us in qualifying as a REIT, our Charter contains a share ownership limit. Generally, any of our shares owned by affiliated owners will be added together for purposes of the share ownership limit. This share ownership limit provides that (subject to certain exceptions) no person may beneficially own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Code, more than 9.8%, in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our capital stock, or any class or series of our capital stock. If anyone transfers shares in a manner that would violate the share ownership limit or prevent us from qualifying as a REIT, those shares instead will be transferred to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and will be either redeemed by us or sold to a person whose ownership of the shares will not violate the share ownership limit or we will consider the transfer to be null and void from the outset, and the intended transferee of those shares will be deemed never to have owned the shares.

Our Charter also prohibits the ownership of shares by any person or entity if such ownership would violate or otherwise be inconsistent with federal communications laws or regulations pertaining to the ownership of television or radio stations, cable television or other radio authorizations by (i) foreign persons or entities, (ii) persons or entities having interests in television or radio broadcast stations, newspapers or cable television systems, and (iii) entities seeking direct or indirect control of us without prior federal regulatory approval. In the event of a transfer that would result in a violation or inconsistency with federal communications laws or regulations we may refuse to permit the transfer, suspend the rights of share ownership as necessary to prohibit the violation or inconsistency, or redeem the shares. Anyone who acquires shares in violation of the share ownership limit or the other restrictions on transfer in the our Charter bears the risk of suffering a financial loss when the shares are redeemed or sold if the market price of our shares falls between the date of purchase and the date of redemption or sale. In addition, these ownership limitations may prevent an acquisition of control of us by a third party without the approval of our board of directors, even if our stockholders believe the change of control is in their interest.

The ability of our board of directors to change our major policies without the consent of stockholders may not be in our stockholders' interest.

Our board of directors determines our major policies, including any policies and guidelines we may maintain from time to time relating to our acquisitions, leverage, financing, growth, qualification as a REIT and distributions to our stockholders. Our board of directors may amend or revise these and other policies and guidelines from time to time without the vote or consent of our stockholders. Accordingly, our stockholders will have limited control over changes in our policies and those changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We may not have sufficient funds to make cash distributions to stockholders at intended payment levels, and we may be unable to generate sufficient cash flows from our operations to make distributions to our stockholders at any time in the future.

As a REIT, we will generally be required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gains) each year for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, which requirement we currently intend to satisfy, and we must distribute 100% of our REIT taxable income, including capital gains, to eliminate federal corporate income tax liability. To the extent we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income.

Subject to maintaining our REIT qualification, we intend to make regular quarterly distributions to our stockholders, but no assurances can be made as to the amount of distributions in the future. We have also

announced our intention to make distributions at specified minimum levels. Our board of directors may alter our dividend policy at any time and will have the sole discretion to determine the timing, form and amount of any distributions to our stockholders. Among the factors that could impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders are:

- our inability to invest our available cash;
- our inability to realize attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investments;
- unanticipated expenses that reduce our cash flow or non-cash earnings;
- defaults in our investment portfolio or decreases in the value of the underlying assets; and
- the fact that anticipated operating expense levels may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates.

As a result, no assurance can be given that the level of any distributions we make to our stockholders in the future will achieve a market yield or increase or even be maintained over time, any of which could materially and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In addition, distributions that we make to our stockholders will generally be taxable to our stockholders as ordinary income and will generally not be eligible for reduced rates applicable to “qualified” dividend income. However, a portion of our distributions may be designated by us as long-term capital gains to the extent that they are attributable to capital gains income recognized by us or may constitute a return of capital to the extent that they exceed our earnings and profits as determined for tax purposes. A return of capital is not taxable, but has the effect of reducing the basis of a stockholder’s investment in our common stock.

The market price of our common stock may vary substantially.

The trading prices of equity securities issued by REITs have historically been affected by changes in market interest rates and other factors. One of the factors that may influence the market price of our common stock is the annual yield from distributions on our common stock as compared to yields on other financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates, or a decrease in our distributions to stockholders, may lead prospective purchasers of our shares to demand a higher annual yield and choose other investments, which could reduce the market price of our common stock.

Other factors that could affect the market price of our common stock include the following:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly results of operations;
- changes in market valuations of companies in the hotel or real estate industries;
- changes in expectations of future financial performance or changes in estimates of securities analysts;
- fluctuations in stock market prices and volumes;
- issuances of common stock or other securities in the future;
- disputes with our hotel managers;
- the addition or departure of key personnel;
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances; and
- unforeseen events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel related health concerns including pandemics and epidemics, political instability, regional hostilities, increases in fuel prices, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters, such as hurricanes, tsunamis or earthquakes.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework.

Based on management's assessment and those criteria, management believes that, as of December 31, 2015, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues:			
Rooms	\$ 404,457	\$ 384,185	\$357,313
Food and beverage	461,157	437,673	403,725
Other hotel revenue	128,989	132,308	117,471
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	97,521	86,825	76,053
Total revenues	<u>1,092,124</u>	1,040,991	954,562
Operating expenses:			
Rooms	110,067	111,864	103,171
Food and beverage	261,580	248,358	237,153
Other hotel expenses	312,989	311,836	298,830
Management fees	14,657	16,151	14,652
Total hotel operating expenses	<u>699,293</u>	688,209	653,806
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	67,363	59,815	56,528
Corporate	28,914	27,573	26,292
REIT conversion costs	—	—	22,190
Casualty loss	—	—	54
Preopening costs	909	11	—
Impairment and other charges	19,200	—	2,976
Depreciation and amortization	114,383	112,278	116,528
Total operating expenses	<u>930,062</u>	887,886	878,374
Operating income	162,062	153,105	76,188
Interest expense	(63,901)	(61,447)	(60,916)
Interest income	12,384	12,075	12,267
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(2,148)	(4,181)
Other gains and (losses), net	(10,889)	23,400	2,332
Income before income taxes	<u>99,656</u>	124,985	25,690
Benefit for income taxes	11,855	1,467	92,662
Net income	<u>111,511</u>	126,452	118,352
Loss on call spread and warrant modifications related to convertible notes	—	(5,417)	(4,869)
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 111,511</u>	<u>\$ 121,035</u>	<u>\$113,483</u>
Basic income per share available to common stockholders:	<u>\$ 2.18</u>	<u>\$ 2.38</u>	<u>\$ 2.22</u>
Fully diluted income per share available to common stockholders:	<u>\$ 2.16</u>	<u>\$ 2.17</u>	<u>\$ 1.81</u>
Dividends declared per common share	<u>\$ 2.70</u>	<u>\$ 2.20</u>	<u>\$ 2.00</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
(Amounts in thousands)

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Net income	\$111,511	\$126,452	\$118,352
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:			
Gain (loss) on minimum pension liability:			
Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period	1,920	(20,231)	23,172
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	88	(235)	204
	2,008	(20,466)	23,376
Income tax (expense) benefit related to items of comprehensive income	(724)	3,254	(7,892)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	1,284	(17,212)	15,484
Comprehensive income	\$112,795	\$109,240	\$133,836

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
December 31, 2015 and 2014
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2014</u>
ASSETS:		
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	\$1,982,816	\$2,036,261
Cash and cash equivalents — unrestricted	56,291	76,408
Cash and cash equivalents — restricted	22,355	17,410
Notes receivable	152,560	149,612
Trade receivables, less allowance of \$919 and \$704, respectively	55,033	45,188
Prepaid expenses and other assets	62,379	66,621
Total assets	<u>\$2,331,434</u>	<u>\$2,391,500</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Debt and capital lease obligations	\$1,431,710	\$1,319,909
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	153,383	166,848
Deferred income tax liabilities, net	1,163	14,284
Deferred management rights proceeds	183,119	183,423
Dividends payable	36,868	29,133
Derivative liabilities	—	134,477
Other liabilities	145,629	142,019
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 400,000 shares authorized, 51,291 and 51,044 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	513	510
Additional paid-in capital	887,501	882,193
Treasury stock of 511 and 477 shares, respectively, at cost	(10,001)	(8,002)
Accumulated deficit	(473,404)	(446,963)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25,047)	(26,331)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>379,562</u>	<u>401,407</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$2,331,434</u>	<u>\$2,391,500</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
(Amounts in thousands)

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 111,511	\$ 126,452	\$ 118,352
Amounts to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:			
Benefit for deferred income taxes	(13,847)	(5,877)	(89,530)
Depreciation and amortization	114,383	112,278	116,528
Amortization of deferred financing costs	5,505	5,959	5,500
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	—	8,735	13,817
Impairment and other charges	19,200	—	3,527
(Gain) loss on sales of long-lived assets	270	(25,274)	(82)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	2,148	4,181
Loss on repurchase of warrants	20,246	4,243	—
Write-off of deferred financing costs	1,926	—	1,845
Stock-based compensation expense	6,158	5,773	11,560
Changes in:			
Trade receivables	(9,845)	6,594	3,561
Interest receivable	(4,978)	(3,142)	(690)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(16,719)	6,038	(59,395)
Other assets and liabilities	552	3,077	8,525
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	234,362	247,004	137,699
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment	(79,815)	(58,377)	(36,959)
Purchase of AC Hotel	—	(21,206)	—
Proceeds from sale of Peterson LOI	10,000	9,350	—
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents	(4,945)	2,759	(13,959)
Other investing activities	123	8,012	2,177
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(74,637)	(59,462)	(48,741)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Net borrowings (repayments) under credit facility	(280,100)	77,000	(35,500)
Net borrowings (repayments) under term loan B	(4,000)	398,000	—
Issuance of senior notes	400,000	—	350,000
Early redemption of senior notes	—	—	(152,180)
Repurchase and conversion of convertible notes	—	(358,710)	(99,222)
Repurchase of common stock warrants	(154,681)	(177,423)	—
Deferred financing costs paid	(11,155)	(8,428)	(15,738)
Repurchase of Company stock for retirement	—	—	(100,028)
Payment of dividend	(131,305)	(109,414)	(76,424)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,777	6,862	5,223
Other financing activities	(378)	(600)	(680)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(179,842)	(172,713)	(124,549)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(20,117)	14,829	(35,591)
Cash and cash equivalents - unrestricted, beginning of period	76,408	61,579	97,170
Cash and cash equivalents - unrestricted, end of period	\$ 56,291	\$ 76,408	\$ 61,579

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
(Amounts in thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Total Stockholders' Equity
BALANCE, December 31, 2012	\$526	\$1,250,975	\$ (7,234)	\$(366,066)	\$(24,603)	\$ 853,598
Net income	—	—	—	118,352	—	118,352
Other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes	—	—	—	—	15,484	15,484
Repurchase of Company stock for retirement	(23)	(30)	—	(99,975)	—	(100,028)
Repurchase and conversion of convertible notes	(2)	(37,710)	—	(4,869)	—	(42,581)
Payment of dividend	—	538	(532)	(102,212)	—	(102,206)
Exercise of stock options	3	5,220	—	—	—	5,223
Net tax benefit related to stock based compensation	—	(206)	—	—	—	(206)
Restricted stock units surrendered	1	(1,502)	—	—	—	(1,501)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	11,560	—	—	—	11,560
BALANCE, December 31, 2013	\$505	\$1,228,845	\$ (7,766)	\$(454,770)	\$ (9,119)	\$ 757,695
Net income	—	—	—	126,452	—	126,452
Other comprehensive loss, net of deferred income taxes	—	—	—	—	(17,212)	(17,212)
Repurchase and conversion of convertible notes	—	(51,996)	—	(2,326)	—	(54,322)
Repurchase of common stock warrants	—	(304,400)	—	(3,091)	—	(307,491)
Payment of dividend	—	692	(236)	(113,228)	—	(112,772)
Exercise of stock options	3	6,859	—	—	—	6,862
Net tax benefit related to stock based compensation	—	(302)	—	—	—	(302)
Restricted stock units and stock options surrendered	2	(3,278)	—	—	—	(3,276)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	5,773	—	—	—	5,773
BALANCE, December 31, 2014	\$510	\$ 882,193	\$ (8,002)	\$(446,963)	\$(26,331)	\$ 401,407
Net income	—	—	—	111,511	—	111,511
Other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes	—	—	—	—	1,284	1,284
Payment of dividend	—	910	(1,999)	(137,952)	—	(139,041)
Exercise of stock options	1	1,776	—	—	—	1,777
Net tax benefit related to stock based compensation	—	(2)	—	—	—	(2)
Restricted stock units and stock options surrendered	2	(3,534)	—	—	—	(3,532)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	6,158	—	—	—	6,158
BALANCE, December 31, 2015	\$513	\$ 887,501	\$(10,001)	\$(473,404)	\$(25,047)	\$ 379,562

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of the Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

For financial statement presentation and reporting purposes, the Company is the successor to Gaylord Entertainment Company, formerly a Delaware corporation (“Gaylord”). As more fully described in Note 2, as part of the plan to restructure the business operations of Gaylord to facilitate its qualification as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) for federal income tax purposes, Gaylord merged with and into its wholly-owned subsidiary, Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Ryman”), on October 1, 2012, with Ryman as the surviving corporation (the “Merger”). At 12:01 a.m. on October 1, 2012, the effective time of the Merger, Ryman succeeded to and began conducting, directly or indirectly, all of the business conducted by Gaylord immediately prior to the Merger. The “Company” refers to Ryman and to Gaylord.

The Company conducts its business through an umbrella partnership REIT, in which its assets are held by, and operations are conducted through, RHP Hotel Properties, LP, a subsidiary operating partnership (the “Operating Partnership”) that the Company formed in connection with its REIT conversion. Ryman is the sole limited partner of the Operating Partnership and currently owns, either directly or indirectly, all of the partnership units of the Operating Partnership. RHP Finance Corporation, a Delaware corporation (“Finco”), was formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership for the sole purpose of being an issuer of debt securities with the Operating Partnership. Neither Ryman nor Finco has any material assets, other than Ryman’s investment in the Operating Partnership and its 100%-owned subsidiaries. As 100%-owned subsidiaries of Ryman, neither the Operating Partnership nor Finco has any business, operations, financial results or other material information, other than the business, operations, financial results and other material information described in this annual report and Ryman’s other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The Company principally operates, through its subsidiaries and its property managers, as applicable, in the following business segments: Hospitality; Entertainment (previously referred to as Opry and Attractions); and Corporate and Other. The Company’s fiscal year ends on December 31 for all periods presented.

Business Segments

Hospitality

The Hospitality segment includes the Gaylord Hotels branded hotels, the Inn at Opryland and the AC Hotel at National Harbor, Washington D.C. (“AC Hotel”). At December 31, 2015, the Company owns the Gaylord Opryland Resort and Convention Center (“Gaylord Opryland”), the Gaylord Palms Resort and Convention Center (“Gaylord Palms”), the Gaylord Texan Resort and Convention Center (“Gaylord Texan”), the Gaylord National Resort & Convention Center (“Gaylord National”), which the Company refers to collectively as the “Gaylord Hotels properties,” the Inn at Opryland, and the AC Hotel, which the Company completed the purchase of in December 2014 and opened in April 2015. Gaylord Opryland and the Inn at Opryland are both located in Nashville, Tennessee. The Gaylord Palms is located in Kissimmee, Florida. The Gaylord Texan is located in Grapevine, Texas. The Gaylord National and the AC Hotel are both located in National Harbor, Maryland. Each of the Company’s hotels is managed by Marriott International, Inc. (“Marriott”) pursuant to a management agreement for each hotel.

Entertainment (previously referred to as Opry and Attractions)

The Entertainment segment includes all of the Company’s Nashville-based tourist attractions. At December 31, 2015, these include the Grand Ole Opry, the Ryman Auditorium, the General Jackson Showboat, the Wildhorse Saloon, and Gaylord Springs Golf Links (“Gaylord Springs”), among others. The Entertainment segment also includes WSM-AM. Beginning October 1, 2012, Marriott assumed the management of the day-to-day operations of the General Jackson Showboat, Gaylord Springs and the Wildhorse Saloon pursuant to management agreements.

Corporate and Other

The Corporate and Other segment includes operating and general and administrative expenses related to the overall management of the Company which are not allocated to the other reportable segments, including certain costs for the Company's retirement plans, equity-based compensation plans, information technology, human resources, accounting, and other administrative expenses.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. The Company's investments in non-controlled entities in which it has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies are accounted for by the equity method. The Company's investments in other entities are accounted for using the cost method. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company analyzes its variable interests, including loans, guarantees, management agreements, leasing arrangements and equity investments, to determine if an entity in which it has a variable interest is a variable interest entity ("VIE"). This analysis primarily includes a qualitative review, which is based on a review of the design of the entity, its organizational structure, including decision-making ability, and relevant financial agreements. This analysis is also used to determine if the Company must consolidate the VIE as the primary beneficiary.

The Company has determined that its hotel subsidiaries are VIEs because each of the hotel's incentive fees are significant relative to the total amount of each hotel's economic performance, these fees are expected to absorb a significant amount of the variability associated with each hotel's anticipated economic performance, and the Company shares with the manager the power to direct certain activities that significantly impact the hotel's operating performance, such as approving budgets. The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of each of these VIEs because it has the unilateral authority to direct other activities that most significantly impact the hotels' economic performance, such as obtaining short- and long-term financing for the hotels and making any decision in regards to selling the hotels subject to certain limitations within the management agreements. In addition, the Company is obligated to receive the residual benefits or to absorb the residual losses from each of the hotels, which could potentially be significant to the hotels. The Company has, therefore, consolidated each of these VIEs.

Acquisition

In December 2014, the Company purchased from an affiliate of The Peterson Companies (the developer of the National Harbor, Maryland development in which Gaylord National is located) the AC Hotel, a 192-room hotel previously operated as the Aloft Hotel at National Harbor for a purchase price of \$21.8 million. The transaction required that the property be transferred to the Company unencumbered by any existing hotel franchise or management agreements. The Company re-branded the hotel, the hotel opened in April 2015, and Marriott operates the property in conjunction with the Gaylord National pursuant to a separate management agreement. Simultaneously with the purchase of this hotel, the Company also acquired from an affiliate of The Peterson Companies a vacant one-half acre parcel of land located in close proximity to Gaylord National, suitable for development of a hotel or other permitted uses. The Company paid \$21.2 million of the combined purchase price, including transaction costs, in December 2014, with the remainder being secured by a \$6.0 million note payable to an affiliate of The Peterson Companies, which was paid in January 2016, bore interest at an Applicable Federal Rate as determined by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and is shown in Note 5.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Improvements and significant renovations that extend the lives of existing assets are capitalized. Interest on funds borrowed to finance the construction of major capital additions not funded through furniture, fixtures and equipment reserves is included in the cost of the applicable capital

addition. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Property and equipment are generally depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	40 years
Land improvements	20 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5-8 years
Leasehold improvements	The shorter of the lease term or useful life

Cash and Cash Equivalents — Unrestricted

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Cash and Cash Equivalents — Restricted

Restricted cash and cash equivalents primarily represent funds held by our property managers for furniture, fixtures and equipment reserves. In addition, the Company holds certificates of deposit with an original maturity of greater than three months. The Company is required to maintain these certificates of deposit in order to secure its Tennessee workers' compensation self-insurance obligations.

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, changes in restricted cash and cash equivalents related to funds for furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement reserves are shown as investing activities.

Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for interest for the years ended December 31 was comprised of (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Debt interest paid	\$53,978	\$49,208	\$36,651
Capitalized interest	(169)	(52)	—
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	<u>\$53,809</u>	<u>\$49,156</u>	<u>\$36,651</u>

Net cash payments (refunds) of income taxes in 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$5.2 million, \$(0.1) million and \$4.8 million, respectively.

A portion of the Company's acquisition of the AC Hotel and a portion of the Company's sale of all of its rights in a letter of intent to which it was a party with The Peterson Companies are considered noncash transactions as they are evidenced by a note payable and a note receivable, respectively. The AC Hotel transaction is more fully discussed in the "Acquisition" section of Note 1, and the sale of the Company's rights in the letter of intent is more fully discussed in the "Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets" section of Note 1.

Impairment of Long-Lived and Other Assets

In accounting for the Company's long-lived and other assets (including its notes receivable associated with the development of Gaylord National), the Company assesses its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or asset group may not be recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets that will continue to be used is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset or asset group to the related total future undiscounted net cash flows. If an asset or asset group's carrying value is not recoverable through those cash flows, the asset group is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by the difference between the assets' carrying amount and their fair value, which is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses that utilize comprehensive cash flow projections, as well as observable market data to the extent available.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company elected to move forward with an expansion of the guest rooms and convention space at Gaylord Texan. This capital project replaced a previously contemplated expansion that the Company began incurring design costs for during 2007 and had been subsequently put on hold. As the new project will be substantially different from the previously contemplated project, the Company incurred an impairment charge of \$16.3 million during 2015 to write off the carrying value of the previously contemplated project, which is included in impairment and other charges on the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2015.

Accounts Receivable

The Company's accounts receivable are primarily generated by meetings and convention attendees' room nights. Receivables arising from these sales are not collateralized. Credit risk associated with the accounts receivable is minimized due to the large and diverse nature of the customer base.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company provides allowances for doubtful accounts based upon a percentage of revenue and periodic evaluations of the aging of accounts receivable.

Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other assets at December 31 consist of (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Peterson note receivable	\$ 6,785	\$16,785
Prepaid expenses	15,992	14,691
Inventories	8,051	7,446
Deferred software costs	3,832	4,890
Supplemental deferred compensation plan assets	19,289	19,712
Other	8,430	3,097
Total prepaid expenses and other assets	<u>\$62,379</u>	<u>\$66,621</u>

In December 2014, the Company sold to an affiliate of The Peterson Companies (the developer of the National Harbor, Maryland development in which the Gaylord National hotel is located) all of its rights in a letter of intent to which it was a party with The Peterson Companies, which entitled the Company to a portion of such party's economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project at National Harbor. The Company received \$26.1 million over three years in exchange for its contractual rights, which is included in other gains and losses, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2014. The Company received the first payment in the amount of \$9.4 million at closing, a payment of \$10.0 million in January 2015, and the remainder of \$6.8 million in January 2016.

Prepaid expenses consist of prepayments for property taxes at one of the Company's hotel properties, insurance and other contracts that will be expensed during the subsequent year. Inventories consist primarily of food and beverage inventory for resale and retail inventory sold in the Entertainment segment. Inventory is carried at the lower of cost or market. Cost is computed on an average cost basis.

The Company capitalizes the costs of computer software developed for internal use. Accordingly, the Company has capitalized the external costs and certain internal payroll costs to develop computer software. Deferred software costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of 3 to 5 years. Amortization expense of deferred software costs during 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$2.1 million, \$2.3 million, and \$5.9 million, respectively.

Investments

From time to time, the Company has owned minority interest investments in certain businesses. Generally, non-marketable investments (excluding limited partnerships and limited liability company interests) in which the Company owns less than 20 percent are accounted for using the cost method of accounting and investments in which the Company owns between 20 percent and 50 percent and limited partnerships are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31 consist of (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Trade accounts payable	\$ 20,913	\$ 31,942
Property and other taxes payable	34,921	35,598
Deferred revenues	47,794	38,581
Accrued salaries and benefits	16,826	18,477
Accrued self-insurance reserves	1,449	2,176
Accrued interest payable	8,153	5,560
Other accrued liabilities	23,327	34,514
Total accounts payable and accrued liabilities	<u>\$153,383</u>	<u>\$166,848</u>

Deferred revenues consist primarily of deposits on advance bookings of hotel rooms and advance ticket sales at the Company's tourism properties, as well as uncollected attrition and cancellation fees. The Company is self-insured up to a stop loss for certain losses relating to workers' compensation claims and general liability claims through September 30, 2012, and for certain losses related to employee medical benefits through December 31, 2012. The Company's insurance program has subsequently transitioned to a low or no deductible program. For workers' compensation and general liability claims incurred prior to October 1, 2012, and for employee medical benefits claimed prior to January 1, 2013, the Company recognizes self-insured losses based upon estimates of the aggregate liability for uninsured claims incurred using certain actuarial assumptions followed in the insurance industry or the Company's historical experience. Other accrued liabilities include accruals for, among others, purchasing, meeting planner commissions and utilities.

Income Taxes

The Company establishes deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the difference between the financial statement and income tax carrying amounts of assets and liabilities using existing tax laws and tax rates. The Company reports a liability for unrecognized tax benefits resulting from uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Company recognizes interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. See Note 10 for more detail on the Company's income taxes.

Deferred Management Rights Proceeds

The Company has deferred and amortizes the proceeds received from Marriott that were allocated to the sale of the management rights, as discussed further in Note 2, on a straight line basis over the 65-year term of the hotel management agreements, including extensions, as a reduction in management fee expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities at December 31 consist of (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Pension and postretirement benefits liability	\$ 40,439	\$ 41,783
Straight-line lease liability	84,716	79,352
Deferred compensation liability	19,289	19,712
Other	1,185	1,172
Total other liabilities	<u>\$145,629</u>	<u>\$142,019</u>

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs consist of prepaid interest, loan fees and other costs of financing that are amortized over the term of the related financing agreements, using the effective interest method. During 2015, 2014 and 2013, deferred financing costs of \$5.5 million, \$6.0 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, were amortized and recorded as interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

As a result of the refinancing of the Company's credit facility in 2015 and 2013, which is discussed in Note 5, the Company wrote off \$1.9 million and \$1.3 million of deferred financing costs during 2015 and 2013, respectively. In addition, as a result of the Company's repurchase of the remainder of its 6.75% senior notes outstanding, the Company wrote off \$0.5 million of deferred financing costs during 2013. Each of these write-offs is included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

As a result of the Company's repurchases of a portion of its convertible senior notes outstanding discussed in Note 5, the Company wrote off \$0.3 million of deferred financing costs during each of 2014 and 2013, which is included as an increase in the net loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

As discussed in the "Newly Issued Accounting Standards" section of Note 1, deferred financing costs are now shown as a reduction of the related debt liability, rather than as an asset as under previous guidance. See Note 5 for additional disclosure.

Leases

The Company is a lessee of a 65.3 acre site in Osceola County, Florida on which the Gaylord Palms is located, a 10.0 acre site in Grapevine, Texas on which a portion of the Gaylord Texan is located, and office space, office equipment, and other equipment. The Company's leases are discussed further in Note 11.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from occupied hotel rooms are recognized as earned on the close of business each day and from concessions and food and beverage sales at the time of the sale. Revenues from other services at the Company's hotels, such as spa, parking, and transportation services, are recognized at the time services are provided. Cancellation fees and attrition fees, which are charged to groups when they do not fulfill the minimum number of room nights or minimum food and beverage spending requirements originally contracted for, are recognized as revenue in the period they are collected. The Company recognizes revenues from the Entertainment segment when services are provided or goods are shipped, as applicable. The Company is required to collect certain taxes from customers on behalf of government agencies and remit these to the applicable governmental entity on a periodic basis. These taxes are collected from customers at the time of purchase, but are not included in revenue. The Company records a liability upon collection from the customer and relieves the liability when payments are remitted to the applicable governmental agency.

Management Fees

The Company pays Marriott a base management fee of approximately 2% of revenues for the properties that Marriott manages, as well as an incentive fee that is based on profitability. The Company incurred \$17.4 million,

\$19.6 million and \$18.1 million in base management fees to Marriott during 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company incurred \$1.4 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million in incentive fees to Marriott during 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Management fees are presented in the consolidated statements of operations net of the amortization of the deferred management rights proceeds discussed further in Note 2.

Preopening Costs

The Company expenses the costs associated with start-up activities and organization costs associated with its development or reopening of hotels and significant attractions as incurred. The Company's preopening costs during 2015 primarily relate to the AC Hotel, which opened in April 2015.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and were \$36.7 million, \$33.3 million, and \$28.8 million for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 6. The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plan under the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718, "*Compensation – Stock Compensation.*"

Income Per Share

Earnings per share is measured as basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding after considering the effect of conversion of dilutive instruments, calculated using the treasury stock method. Net income per share amounts are calculated as follows for the years ended December 31 (income and share amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>		
	<u>Income</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Per Share</u>
Net income available to common stockholders	\$111,511	51,241	\$ 2.18
Effect of dilutive stock-based compensation	—	371	—
Net income — assuming dilution	<u>\$111,511</u>	<u>51,612</u>	<u>\$ 2.16</u>
	<u>2014</u>		
	<u>Income</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Per Share</u>
Net income available to common stockholders	\$121,035	50,861	\$ 2.38
Effect of dilutive stock-based compensation	—	487	—
Effect of convertible notes	—	4,532	—
Net income — assuming dilution	<u>\$121,035</u>	<u>55,880</u>	<u>\$ 2.17</u>
	<u>2013</u>		
	<u>Income</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Per Share</u>
Net income available to common stockholders	\$113,483	51,174	\$ 2.22
Effect of dilutive stock-based compensation	—	591	—
Effect of convertible notes	—	6,304	—
Effect of common stock warrants	—	4,741	—
Net income — assuming dilution	<u>\$113,483</u>	<u>62,810</u>	<u>\$ 1.81</u>

As discussed in Note 5, in 2009, the Company issued 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014 (the “Convertible Notes”). The Company settled the outstanding face value of the Convertible Notes in cash at maturity on October 1, 2014. The conversion spread associated with the conversion of the Convertible Notes was settled in shares of the Company’s common stock. Pursuant to a note hedge, as discussed more fully in Note 5, the Company also received and cancelled an equal number of shares of its common stock at maturity.

In connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, the Company sold common stock purchase warrants to counterparties affiliated with the initial purchasers of the Convertible Notes whereby the warrant holders could purchase shares of the Company’s common stock. At separate times during 2014, the Company modified the agreements with each of the note hedge counterparties to cash settle a portion of the warrants as described in Note 5. As a result of these modifications, the warrants were settled in cash during 2014 and the first quarter of 2015 and did not affect the calculation of diluted earnings per share for 2015 or 2014.

In 2014 and 2013, in connection with the repurchase of portions of the Convertible Notes, the Company entered into agreements with the note hedge counterparties to proportionately reduce the number of Purchased Options (as defined below) and the warrants as described above and in Note 5. Each of these agreements were considered modifications to the Purchased Options and warrants (as applicable), and based on the terms of the agreements, the Company recognized a charge of \$5.4 million and \$4.9 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively. The charge for 2014 was recorded as an increase to accumulated deficit and derivative liabilities, as the liabilities were settled in cash, and the 2013 charge was recorded as an increase to accumulated deficit and additional paid-in-capital, as the obligation was settled in shares, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. These charges also represent a deduction from net income in calculating net income available to common stockholders and earnings per share available to common stockholders in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company sometimes utilizes derivative financial instruments to reduce interest rate risks related to its variable rate debt and to manage risk exposure to changes in the value of portions of its fixed rate debt. The Company records derivatives in the statement of financial position and measures derivatives at fair value. Changes in the fair value of those instruments are reported in earnings or other comprehensive income depending on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting.

Financial exposures are managed as a part of the Company’s risk management program, which seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effect that the volatility of the interest rate markets may have on operating results. The Company does not engage in speculative transactions, nor does it hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company formally documents hedging instruments and hedging items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking hedged items. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as fair value and cash flow hedges to specific assets, liabilities or firm commitments on the consolidated balance sheet or to forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses, both at inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective, the derivative expires or is sold or terminated, or the derivative is discontinued because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively for that specific hedge instrument.

As discussed more fully in Note 5, the derivative liabilities in the Company’s consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2014 represent outstanding common stock warrants associated with the Convertible Notes.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Newly Issued Accounting Standards

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, “*Interest – Imputation of Interest*,” which changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements. Under the ASU, an entity presents such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. Upon adoption, the guidance requires prior period financial statements to be retrospectively adjusted. This guidance is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this ASU in the fourth quarter of 2015 and this adoption did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*,” the core principle of which is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Under this guidance, companies will need to use more judgment and make more estimates than under today’s guidance. These judgments may include identifying performance obligations in the contract, estimating the amount of variable consideration to include in the transaction price and allocating the transaction price to each separate performance obligation. The ASU is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of this ASU on its financial statements, and such effects have not yet been determined.

Reclassifications

In January 2015, the hospitality industry’s *Uniform System of Accounts for the Lodging Industry, Eleventh Revised Edition* became effective. This revised edition contains updates to the classifications of certain hotel financial information, including the reclassification of technology-related revenue from other hotel revenue to food and beverage revenue and the reclassification of revenue management expense from rooms expense to other hotel expense. In order to be more aligned with its peers in the hospitality REIT industry, the Company adopted the updates in its 2015 presentation. As a result, \$25.6 million and \$21.4 million, respectively, of other hotel revenue has been reclassified as food and beverage revenue and \$4.2 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, of rooms expense has been reclassified as other hotel expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for 2014 and 2013.

2. REIT Conversion

The Company restructured its business operations to facilitate its qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes (the “REIT conversion”) during 2012 and has elected to be taxed as a REIT commencing with the year ended December 31, 2013. On October 1, 2012, the Company consummated its agreement to sell the Gaylord Hotels brand and rights to manage Gaylord Opryland, Gaylord Palms, Gaylord Texan and Gaylord National to Marriott for \$210.0 million in cash (the “Marriott sale transaction”). Effective October 1, 2012, Marriott assumed responsibility for managing the day-to-day operations of the Gaylord Hotels properties pursuant to a management agreement for each Gaylord Hotel property.

On October 1, 2012, the Company received \$210.0 million in cash from Marriott in exchange for rights to manage the Gaylord Hotels properties (the “Management Rights”) and certain intellectual property (the “IP Rights”). The Company allocated \$190.0 million of the purchase price to the Management Rights and \$20.0 million to the IP Rights. The allocation was based on the Company’s estimates of the fair values for the respective components. The Company estimated the fair value of each component by constructing distinct discounted cash flow models.

For financial reporting purposes, the amount related to the Management Rights was deferred and is amortized on a straight line basis over the 65-year term of the hotel management agreements, including extensions, as a reduction in management fee expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The amount related to the IP Rights was recognized into income as other gains and losses during 2012.

In addition, pursuant to additional management agreements, Marriott manages the day-to-day operations of the Inn at Opryland, the AC Hotel, General Jackson Showboat, Gaylord Springs and the Wildhorse Saloon. To comply with certain REIT qualification requirements, the Company will be required to engage third-party managers to operate and manage its future hotel properties, if any. Additionally, non-REIT operations, which consist of the activities of taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRSS”) that act as lessees of the Company’s hotels, as well as the businesses within the Company’s Entertainment segment, continue to be subject, as applicable, to federal corporate and state income taxes following the REIT conversion.

The Company has segregated all costs related to the REIT conversion from normal operations and reported these amounts as REIT conversion costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. During 2013, the Company incurred \$22.2 million of various costs associated with these transactions, including employment and severance costs (\$14.4 million), professional fees (\$2.7 million), and various other transition costs (\$5.1 million). No REIT conversion costs were incurred during 2015 or 2014.

3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment at December 31 is recorded at cost and summarized as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Land and land improvements	\$ 255,179	\$ 254,013
Buildings	2,369,851	2,340,555
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	603,529	576,453
Construction in progress	10,576	26,046
	<u>3,239,135</u>	<u>3,197,067</u>
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(1,256,319)</u>	<u>(1,160,806)</u>
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 1,982,816</u>	<u>\$ 2,036,261</u>

Depreciation expense, including amortization of assets under capital lease obligations, during 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$112.2 million, \$110.0 million, and \$110.6 million, respectively.

4. Notes Receivable

In connection with the development of Gaylord National, Prince George’s County, Maryland (“the County”) issued three series of bonds. The first bond issuance, with a face value of \$65 million, was issued by the County in April 2005 to support the cost of infrastructure being constructed by the project developer, such as roads, water and sewer lines. The second bond issuance, with a face value of \$95 million (“Series A Bond”), was issued by the County in April 2005 and placed into escrow until substantial completion of the convention center and 1,500 rooms within the hotel. The Series A Bond and the third bond issuance, with a face value of \$50 million (“Series B Bond”), were delivered to the Company upon substantial completion and opening of the Gaylord National on April 2, 2008. The interest rate on the Series A Bond and Series B Bond is 8.0% and 10.0%, respectively. The maturity date of the Series A Bond and the Series B Bond is July 1, 2034 and September 1, 2037, respectively.

The Company is currently holding the Series A Bond and Series B Bond, which have aggregate carrying values of \$84.9 million and \$67.6 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2015, and receiving the debt service and principal payments thereon, which is payable from tax increments, hotel taxes and special hotel rental taxes generated from the development through the maturity date. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company calculated the present value of the future debt service payments from the Series A Bond and Series B Bond based on their effective interest rates of 8.04% and 11.42%, respectively, at the time the bonds were delivered to the Company and recorded a note receivable and offset to property and equipment in the amounts of \$93.8 million and \$38.3 million, respectively, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The Company also calculated

the present value of the interest that had accrued on the Series A Bond between its date of issuance and delivery to the Company based on its effective interest rate of 8.04% at the time the bond was delivered to the Company and recorded a note receivable and offset to property and equipment in the amount of \$18.3 million in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The Company is recording the amortization of discount on these notes receivable as interest income over the life of the notes.

During 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded interest income of \$12.3 million, \$12.1 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, on these bonds. The Company received payments of \$9.4 million, \$10.8 million and \$13.3 million during 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, relating to these notes receivable, which includes principal and interest payments.

5. Debt

The Company's debt and capital lease obligations at December 31 consisted of (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Credit Facility, less unamortized deferred financing costs of \$7,335 and \$7,831	\$ 299,065	\$ 578,669
\$400 Million Term Loan B, less unamortized deferred financing costs of \$6,457 and \$7,737	387,543	390,263
\$350 Million 5% Senior Notes, less unamortized deferred financing costs of \$5,107 and \$6,078	344,893	343,922
\$400 Million 5% Senior Notes, less unamortized deferred financing costs of \$6,469 and \$0	393,531	—
AC Hotel note payable, terms as set forth in Note 1	6,000	6,000
Capital lease obligations	678	1,055
Total debt	1,431,710	1,319,909
Less amounts due within one year	(6,019)	(377)
Total long-term debt	<u>\$1,425,691</u>	<u>\$1,319,532</u>

At December 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants related to its outstanding debt.

Annual maturities of long-term debt, excluding capital lease obligations, are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<u>Credit Facility</u>	<u>\$400 Million Term Loan B</u>	<u>\$350 Million 5% Senior Notes</u>	<u>\$400 Million 5% Senior Notes</u>	<u>AC Hotel Note Payable</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$6,000	\$ 6,000
2017	—	—	—	—	—	—
2018	—	—	—	—	—	—
2019	306,400	—	—	—	—	306,400
2020	—	—	—	—	—	—
Years thereafter	—	394,000	350,000	400,000	—	1,144,000
Total	<u>\$306,400</u>	<u>\$394,000</u>	<u>\$350,000</u>	<u>\$400,000</u>	<u>\$6,000</u>	<u>\$1,456,400</u>

Credit Facility

On June 5, 2015, the Company entered into an Amendment No. 2 (the "2015 Amendment") among the Company, as guarantor, the Operating Partnership, as borrower, certain other subsidiaries of the Company party thereto, as guarantors, the lenders party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as administrative agent to the Company's Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Credit Facility"). Prior to the 2015 Amendment, the Company's Credit Facility consisted of a \$700.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "revolving credit facility"), a \$300.0 million senior secured term loan facility (the "term loan A"), and a

\$400 million senior secured term loan facility (the “term loan B”). Following the 2015 Amendment, the Company’s Credit Facility consists of the revolving credit facility and the term loan B. The Company paid off the previous outstanding term loan A during the second quarter of 2015 with a substantial portion of the proceeds from the Operating Partnership’s and Finco’s private placement of \$400 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2023 (the “\$400 Million 5% Senior Notes”), and the term loan A was eliminated.

Pursuant to the 2015 Amendment, the Company extended the maturity date of the revolving credit facility under the Credit Facility to June 5, 2019 and provided for two additional six-month extension options, at the election of the Company. In addition, the 2015 Amendment lowered the adjustable margin (the “Applicable Margin”) for determining the interest rate on revolving loans based on the Company’s consolidated funded indebtedness to total asset value ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility). Interest on the Company’s borrowings under the revolving credit facility is payable quarterly, in arrears, for base rate-based loans and at the end of each interest rate period for LIBOR-based loans. The effective interest rate at December 31, 2015 was LIBOR plus 1.60%. Principal is payable in full at maturity. Further, the unused commitment fee was reduced to 0.2% to 0.3% per year of the average unused portion of the revolving credit facility. The Company’s term loan B remains outstanding.

The Credit Facility continues to be guaranteed by the Company, each of its four wholly-owned subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels properties, and certain other subsidiaries of the Company. The loans continue to be secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on the real property of each of the Company’s Gaylord Hotels properties, (ii) pledges of equity interests in the Company’s subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels properties, (iii) the personal property of the Company, the Operating Partnership and the subsidiaries that guarantee the Credit Facility and (iv) all proceeds and products from the Company’s Gaylord Hotels properties.

In addition, the revolving credit facility and term loan B continue to be subject to certain covenants contained in the Credit Facility, which among other things, limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, investments, dividends, transactions with affiliates, asset sales, acquisitions, mergers and consolidations, liens and encumbrances and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements.

If an event of default shall occur and be continuing under the Credit Facility, the commitments under the Credit Facility may be terminated and the principal amount outstanding under the Credit Facility, together with all accrued unpaid interest and other amounts owing in respect thereof, may be declared immediately due and payable.

As a result of the 2015 Amendment, the Company wrote off \$1.9 million of deferred financing costs during 2015.

\$400 Million Term Loan B

On June 18, 2014, the Company entered into an Amendment No. 1 and Joinder Agreement (the “2014 Amendment”) among the Company, as a guarantor, the Operating Partnership, as borrower, certain other subsidiaries of the Company party thereto, as guarantors, certain subsidiaries of the Company party thereto, as pledgors, the lenders party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as administrative agent, to the Credit Facility.

Pursuant to the 2014 Amendment, the Company added the term loan B to the Credit Facility. Proceeds from the term loan B were used to repay revolving loans under the Credit Facility and to repay the Convertible Notes and to settle, in whole or in part, the warrant transactions described above. The term loan B has a maturity date of January 15, 2021 and borrowings bear interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus an adjustable margin, subject to a LIBOR floor of 0.75%. At December 31, 2015, the interest rate on the term loan B was LIBOR plus 2.75%. The term loan B amortizes in equal quarterly installments in aggregate annual amounts equal to 1.0% of the original principal amount of \$400.0 million, with the balance due at maturity. Amounts borrowed under the term loan B that are repaid or prepaid may not be reborrowed. At closing, the Company drew down on the term loan B in full.

\$350 Million 5% Senior Notes Due 2021

On April 3, 2013, the Operating Partnership and Finco completed the private placement of \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2021 (the “\$350 Million 5% Senior Notes”), which are guaranteed by the Company and its subsidiaries that guarantee the Credit Facility. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes and guarantees were issued pursuant to an indenture by and among the issuing subsidiaries and the guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes have a maturity date of April 15, 2021 and bear interest at 5% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes are general unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of the issuing subsidiaries and rank equal in right of payment with such subsidiaries’ existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and senior in right of payment to future subordinated indebtedness, if any. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to the issuing subsidiaries’ secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. The guarantees rank equally in right of payment with the applicable guarantor’s existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness of such guarantor. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to any secured indebtedness of any guarantor to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness and structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other obligations of the Operating Partnership’s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes.

The issuing subsidiaries may redeem the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes on or before April 16, 2016, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date plus a make-whole redemption premium. The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time on or after April 15, 2016 at a redemption price expressed as a percentage of the principal amount thereof, which percentage is 103.75%, 102.50%, 101.25%, and 100.00% beginning on April 15, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but not including, the redemption date.

In connection with the issuance of the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes, the Company completed a registered offer to exchange the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes for registered notes with substantially identical terms as the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes in November 2013.

\$400 Million 5% Senior Notes Due 2023

On April 14, 2015, the Operating Partnership and Finco completed the private placement of the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are general unsecured senior obligations of the Company’s issuing subsidiaries and are guaranteed by the Company and its subsidiaries that guarantee the Credit Facility. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes and guarantees were issued pursuant to an indenture by and among the issuing subsidiaries and the guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association as trustee. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes have a maturity date of April 15, 2023 and bear interest at 5% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning October 15, 2015. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are general unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of the issuing subsidiaries and rank equal in right of payment with such subsidiaries’ existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, including the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes, and senior in right of payment to future subordinated indebtedness, if any. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to the issuing subsidiaries’ secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. The guarantees rank equally in right of payment with the applicable guarantor’s existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness of such guarantor. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes are effectively subordinated to any secured indebtedness of any guarantor to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness and structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other obligations of the Operating Partnership’s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes.

The issuing subsidiaries may redeem the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes before April 15, 2018, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to,

but excluding, the applicable redemption date plus a make-whole redemption premium. The \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time on or after April 15, 2018 at a redemption price expressed as a percentage of the principal amount thereof, which percentage is 103.75%, 102.50%, 101.25% and 100.00% beginning on April 15 of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021, respectively, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but not including, the redemption date.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes totalled approximately \$392 million, after deducting the initial purchasers' discounts, commissions and estimated offering expenses. The Company used substantially all of these proceeds to repay amounts outstanding under its Credit Facility, including the elimination of its \$300 million term loan A, and to repay a portion of the amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility portion of the Credit Facility.

In connection with the issuance of the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes, the Company completed a registered offer to exchange the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes for registered notes with substantially identical terms as the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes in September 2015.

Former 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes

In 2009, the Company issued \$360 million of the Convertible Notes. In July 2013, the Company settled the repurchase of and subsequently cancelled \$54.7 million of its Convertible Notes in private transactions for aggregate consideration of \$98.6 million, which was funded by borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. In addition, in July 2013, the Company settled \$1.2 million of Convertible Notes that were converted by a holder. As a result of these transactions, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$4.2 million during 2013. In addition, as the Company accounted for the liability (debt) and the equity (conversion option) components of the Convertible Notes in a manner that reflects the Company's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate, the Company recorded a \$37.7 million reduction in stockholders' equity during 2013.

In April 2014, the Company settled the repurchase of and subsequently cancelled \$56.3 million of its Convertible Notes in private transactions for aggregate consideration of \$120.2 million, which was funded by cash on hand and borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. In addition, in June 2014, the Company settled the conversion of \$15.3 million of Convertible Notes that were converted by holders by paying cash for the underlying principal and shares of the Company's common stock for the conversion spread. As a result of these transactions, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$2.1 million during 2014. In addition, as the Company accounts for the liability (debt) and the equity (conversion option) components of the Convertible Notes as discussed above, the Company recorded a \$52.0 million reduction in stockholders' equity during 2014.

On October 1, 2014, the Company settled its obligations upon conversion of each \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Notes with a specified dollar amount of \$1,000 and the remainder of the conversion settlement amount in shares of its common stock, offset as described in the next paragraph.

Concurrently with the offering of the Convertible Notes, the Company entered into convertible note hedge transactions with respect to its common stock (the "Purchased Options") with counterparties affiliated with the initial purchasers of the Convertible Notes, for purposes of reducing the potential dilutive effect upon conversion of the Convertible Notes. The Purchased Options entitled the Company to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. In connection with the conversion and maturity of the Convertible Notes on October 1, 2014, as discussed above, the Purchased Options were settled in shares delivered to the Company equal to the number of shares issued in the Convertible Note settlement. These shares received by the Company were subsequently cancelled.

Separately and concurrently with entering into the Purchased Options, the Company also entered into warrant transactions whereby it sold common stock purchase warrants to each of the hedge counterparties. The warrants entitled the counterparties to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. At separate times during 2014, the Company modified agreements with three of the note hedge counterparties to cash settle a total of 7.2 million

warrants. As the modifications required the warrants to be cash settled, the fair value of the warrants was reclassified from stockholders' equity to a derivative liability on the modification dates, resulting in a \$159.0 million deduction to additional paid-in-capital during 2014. The Company settled these repurchases for total consideration of \$173.4 million and recorded an \$11.6 million loss during 2014 on the change in the fair value of the derivative liabilities between their modification and settlement dates, which is included in other gains and losses, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Pursuant to December 2014 agreements with two of the note hedge counterparties, in the first quarter of 2015, the Company cash settled the remaining 4.7 million warrants in the same manner as described above. Accordingly, the fair value of the warrants was reclassified from stockholders' equity to a derivative liability on the modification date, resulting in a \$145.4 million deduction to additional paid-in-capital during 2014. The change in the fair value of the derivative liability from the modification date through December 31, 2014 was a gain of \$7.1 million and is included in other gains and losses, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2014. In the first quarter of 2015, the Company settled this repurchase for total consideration of \$154.7 million and recorded a \$20.2 million loss on the change in the fair value of the derivative liability from December 31, 2014 through the settlement date, which is included in other gains and losses, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2015.

6. Stock Plans

The Company's Amended and Restated 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Plan") permits the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance awards and other share-based awards to its directors, employees and consultants. At December 31, 2015, approximately 4.2 million shares of common stock remained available for issuance pursuant to future grants of awards under the 2006 Plan (with a limit of approximately 0.7 million shares available for awards other than stock options or stock appreciation rights).

Stock option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant and generally expire ten years after the date of grant. Generally, stock options granted to non-employee directors are exercisable after one year from the date of grant, while options granted to employees are exercisable one to four years from the date of grant. The Company records compensation expense equal to the fair value of each stock option award granted on a straight line basis over the option's vesting period. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate expected option exercise and employee termination patterns within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The Company granted no options during 2015, 2014 or 2013. A summary of stock option activity under the Company's equity incentive plans as of December 31, 2015 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2015 is presented below:

<u>Stock Options</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>
Outstanding at January 1, 2015	282,302	\$30.88
Granted	—	—
Exercised	(75,492)	34.48
Canceled	(301)	40.33
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	206,509	29.55
Exercisable at December 31, 2015	157,417	31.15

The weighted average remaining contractual term of options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2015 was 4.0 and 3.3 years, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2015 was \$4.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2015, 2014, and 2013 was \$1.8 million, \$13.0 million, and \$4.3 million, respectively.

The Plan also provides for the award of restricted stock and restricted stock units (“Restricted Stock Awards”). Restricted Stock Awards granted to employees vest one to four years from the date of grant, and Restricted Stock Awards granted to non-employee directors vest after one year from the date of grant, unless the recipient chooses to defer the vesting for a period of time. Depending on the type of award, the fair value of Restricted Stock Awards is determined either based on the market price of the Company’s stock at the date of grant or based on a Monte-Carlo valuation. The Company generally records compensation expense equal to the fair value of each Restricted Stock Award granted over the vesting period. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of Restricted Stock Awards granted during 2015, 2014, and 2013 was \$57.21, \$41.61, and \$43.56, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company’s Restricted Stock Awards as of December 31, 2015 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2015, is presented below:

<u>Restricted Stock Awards</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value</u>
Nonvested shares at January 1, 2015	589,863	\$36.61
Granted	202,175	57.21
Vested	(250,924)	32.90
Canceled	(775)	50.23
Nonvested shares at December 31, 2015	<u>540,339</u>	<u>43.05</u>

The fair value of all Restricted Stock Awards that vested during 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$14.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$3.2 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$8.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options and restricted stock units granted under the Company’s equity incentive plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years.

The compensation cost that has been charged against pre-tax income for all of the Company’s stock-based compensation plans was \$6.2 million, \$5.8 million, and \$11.6 million for 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for all of the Company’s stock-based employee compensation plans was \$2.0 million, \$1.9 million, and \$3.0 million for 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

Cash received from option exercises under all stock-based employee compensation arrangements for 2015, 2014, and 2013 was \$1.8 million, \$6.9 million, and \$5.2 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized from exercise, vesting or cancellation of the stock-based employee compensation arrangements during 2015, 2014, and 2013 totaled \$3.2 million, \$3.2 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively, and is reflected as an adjustment to either additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders’ equity or deferred tax liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

7. Retirement Plans

Prior to January 1, 2001, the Company maintained a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan in which substantially all of its employees were eligible to participate upon meeting the pension plan’s participation requirements. The benefits were based on years of service and compensation levels. On January 1, 2001, the

Company amended its defined benefit pension plan to determine future benefits using a cash balance formula. On December 31, 2000, benefits credited under the plan's previous formula were frozen. Under the cash formula, each participant had an account which was credited monthly with 3% of qualified earnings and the interest earned on their previous month-end cash balance. In addition, the Company included a "grandfather" clause which assures that those participating at January 1, 2001 will receive the greater of the benefit calculated under the cash balance plan and the benefit that would have been payable if the defined benefit plan had remained in existence. The benefit payable to a terminated vested participant upon retirement at age 65, or as early as age 55 if the participant had 15 years of service at the time the plan was frozen, is equal to the participant's account balance, which increases with interest credits over time. At retirement, the employee generally receives the balance in the account as a lump sum. The funding policy of the Company is to contribute annually an amount which equals or exceeds the minimum required by applicable law. On December 31, 2001, the plan was frozen such that no new participants were allowed to enter the plan and existing participants were no longer eligible to earn service credits.

As a result of increased lump-sum distributions from the retirement plan during 2015 and 2013, a net settlement loss of \$2.4 million and \$1.9 million was recognized in 2015 and 2013, respectively. The settlement loss for 2015 has been classified as corporate operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2015. Approximately \$0.7 million of the net settlement loss during 2013 related to lump-sum distributions to former employees affected by the REIT conversion and has been classified as REIT conversion costs. Approximately \$1.2 million of the net settlement loss during 2013 related to lump-sum distributions to former employees not affected by the REIT conversion and has been classified as corporate operating expenses.

The following table sets forth the funded status at December 31 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 94,113	\$ 80,901
Interest cost	3,423	3,577
Actuarial (gain) loss	(3,377)	14,458
Benefits paid	(6,923)	(4,823)
Benefit obligation at end of year	<u>87,236</u>	<u>94,113</u>
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	75,260	74,976
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	(2,898)	3,238
Employer contributions	—	1,869
Benefits paid	(6,923)	(4,823)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	<u>65,439</u>	<u>75,260</u>
Funded status and accrued pension cost	<u>\$ (21,797)</u>	<u>\$ (18,853)</u>

Net periodic pension (income) expense reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations included the following components for the years ended December 31 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Interest cost	\$ 3,423	\$ 3,577	\$ 3,376
Expected return on plan assets	(4,627)	(5,597)	(5,197)
Recognized net actuarial loss	917	470	839
Net settlement loss	2,356	—	1,878
Total net periodic pension (income) expense	<u>\$ 2,069</u>	<u>\$ (1,550)</u>	<u>\$ 896</u>

Assumptions

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation at December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Discount rate	3.90%	3.66%	4.49%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measurement date	12/31/2015	12/31/2014	12/31/2013

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine the net periodic pension expense for years ended December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Discount rate	3.77%	4.49%	3.85%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	6.50%	7.50%	7.50%
Measurement date	12/31/2015	12/31/2014	12/31/2013

The rate of increase in future compensation levels was not applicable for any reported years due to the Company amending the plan to freeze the cash balance benefit as described above.

The Company determines the overall expected long-term rate of return on plan assets based on its estimate of the return that plan assets will provide over the period that benefits are expected to be paid out. In preparing this estimate, the Company assesses the rates of return on each targeted allocation of plan assets, return premiums generated by portfolio management, and advice from its third-party actuary and investment consultants. The expected return on plan assets is a long-term assumption and generally does not significantly change annually. While historical returns are considered, the rate of return assumption is primarily based on projections of expected returns based on fair value, using economic data and financial models to estimate the probability of returns. The probability distribution of annualized returns for the portfolio using current asset allocations is used to determine the expected range of returns for a ten-to-twenty year horizon. While management believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or changes in assumptions may affect the Company's pension obligations and expense.

Plan Assets

The plan's overall strategy is to achieve a rate of return necessary to fund benefit payments by utilizing a variety of asset types, investment strategies and investment managers. The plan seeks to achieve a real long-term rate of return over inflation resulting from income, capital gains, or both, which assists the plan in meeting its long-term objectives.

The long-term target allocations for the plan's assets are managed dynamically according to a sliding scale correlating with the funded status of the plan. As the plan's funded status increases, allocations are moved away from equity securities toward fixed income securities. Equity securities primarily include large cap and mid cap companies. Fixed income securities primarily include corporate bonds of companies in diversified industries, mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasuries. Investments in hedge funds and private equity funds are not held by the plan.

The allocation of the defined benefit pension plan's assets as of the respective measurement date for each year, by asset class, are as follows (amounts in thousands):

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Cash	\$ 983	\$19,009
Equity securities		
U.S. Large Cap (a)	20,308	15,328
U.S. Mid Cap (a)	6,800	5,495
International (b)	9,276	4,929
Core fixed income (c)	23,537	22,834
High-yield fixed income (d)	4,535	7,665
Total	<u>\$65,439</u>	<u>\$75,260</u>

- (a) Consists of actively-managed domestic equity mutual funds. Underlying holdings are diversified by sector and industry.
- (b) Consists of an actively-managed international equity mutual fund. Underlying holdings are diversified by country, sector and industry. The fund may invest a portion of its assets in emerging markets, which entails additional risk.
- (c) Consists of actively-managed fixed income mutual funds. The fund predominantly invests in investment-grade bonds of U.S. issuers from diverse sectors and industries. The fund also invests in government-backed debt. The fund can invest a portion of its assets in below-investment grade debt and non-U.S. debt, which entails additional risk.
- (d) Consists of actively-managed high-yield fixed income mutual funds. The funds invest in investment grade and below-investment grade bonds, with a focus on below-investment grade bonds of U.S. issuers. Underlying holdings are diversified by sector and industry. The funds can invest a portion of its assets in the debt of non-U.S. issuers, which entails additional risk.

All of the assets held by the plan consist of mutual funds traded in an active market. The Company determined the fair value of these mutual funds based on the net asset value per unit of the funds or the portfolio, which is based upon quoted market prices in an active market. Therefore, the Company has categorized these investments as Level 1.

Periodically, and based on market conditions, the entire account is rebalanced to maintain the desired allocation and the investment policy is reviewed. Within each asset class, plan assets are allocated to various investment styles. Professional managers manage all assets of the plan and professional advisors assist the plan in the attainment of its objectives.

Expected Contributions and Benefit Payments

The Company does not expect to be required to contribute to its defined benefit pension plan in 2016. Based on the Company’s assumptions discussed above, the Company expects to make the following estimated future benefit payments under the plan during the years ending December 31 (amounts in thousands):

2016	\$ 3,597
2017	3,897
2018	4,694
2019	5,607
2020	5,018
2021 - 2025	28,931

Other Information

The Company also maintains non-qualified retirement plans (the “Non-Qualified Plans”) to provide benefits to certain key employees. The Non-Qualified Plans are not funded and the beneficiaries’ rights to receive distributions under these plans constitute unsecured claims to be paid from the Company’s general assets. At December 31, 2015, the Non-Qualified Plans’ projected benefit obligations and accumulated benefit obligations were \$15.1 million.

The Company’s accrued cost related to its qualified and non-qualified retirement plans of \$36.9 million and \$35.1 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The (increase) decrease in the deferred net loss related to the Company’s retirement plans during 2015, 2014 and 2013 resulted in a (decrease) increase in equity of \$0.1 million, \$(16.1) million and \$14.7 million, respectively, net of taxes of \$(0.1) million, \$2.6 million and \$7.1 million, respectively. Each of these adjustments to equity due to the change in the minimum liability are included in other comprehensive loss in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders’ equity.

The net (gain) loss recognized in other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$(0.2) million and \$18.7 million, respectively. Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2015 and 2014 are unrecognized actuarial losses of \$44.2 million and \$44.4 million (\$31.7 million and \$31.8 million net of tax), respectively, that have not yet been recognized in net periodic pension expense. Net losses are amortized into net periodic pension expense based on a corridor approach based on the life expectancy of plan participants expected to receive benefits. The estimated actuarial loss for the retirement plans included in accumulated other comprehensive loss that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension expense over the next fiscal year is \$1.2 million.

8. Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions

The Company sponsors an unfunded defined benefit postretirement health care plan for certain employees and contributes toward the cost of health insurance benefits. In order to be eligible for these postretirement benefits, an employee must retire after attainment of age 55 and completion of 15 years of service, or attainment of age 65 and completion of 10 years of service. The Company's Benefits Trust Committee determines retiree premiums.

The following table reconciles the change in benefit obligation of the postretirement plans to the accrued postretirement liability as reflected in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 6,692	\$5,848
Interest cost	127	221
Actuarial (gain) loss	(2,836)	939
Benefits paid	(424)	(316)
Benefit obligation at end of year	<u><u>\$ 3,559</u></u>	<u><u>\$6,692</u></u>

Net postretirement benefit income reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations included the following components for the years ended December 31 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Interest cost	\$ 127	\$ 221	\$ 194
Amortization of net actuarial loss	255	445	477
Amortization of prior service credit	(1,314)	(1,314)	(1,331)
Net postretirement benefit income	<u><u>\$ (932)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (648)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (660)</u></u>

The assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation at December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Discount rate	3.57%	3.32%	3.94%
Measurement date	12/31/2015	12/31/2014	12/31/2013

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine the net postretirement benefit expense for years ended December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Discount rate	3.32%	3.94%	3.08%
Measurement date	12/31/2015	12/31/2014	12/31/2013

The Company expects to contribute \$0.4 million to the plan in 2016. Based on the Company's assumptions discussed above, the Company expects to make the following estimated future benefit payments under the plan during the years ending December 31 (amounts in thousands):

2016	\$ 368
2017	353
2018	330
2019	316
2020	296
2021-2025	1,218

The net gain, amortization of net loss, and amortization of prior service credit recognized in other comprehensive income for 2015 was \$2.8 million, \$0.3 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively. Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2015 are the following amounts that have not yet been recognized in net postretirement benefit expense: unrecognized actuarial losses of \$3.7 million (\$2.3 million net of tax) and unrecognized prior service credits of \$15.1 million (\$9.2 million net of tax). The net loss, amortization of net loss, and amortization of prior service credit recognized in other comprehensive income for 2014 was \$0.9 million, \$0.4 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively. Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2014 are the following amounts that have not yet been recognized in net postretirement benefit expense: unrecognized actuarial losses of \$6.8 million (\$4.1 million net of tax) and unrecognized prior service credits of \$16.4 million (\$9.9 million net of tax). The net loss, amortization of net loss, and amortization of prior service credit recognized in other comprehensive income for 2013 was \$0.3 million, \$0.5 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively.

The Company amended the plans effective December 31, 2001 such that only retirees currently receiving benefits under the plans and active employees whose age plus years of service total at least 60 and who have at least 10 years of service as of December 31, 2001 remain eligible.

9. Stockholders' Equity

Stock Repurchase Authorization

On August 20, 2015, the Company announced that its board of directors authorized a share repurchase program for up to \$100 million of the Company's common stock using cash on hand and borrowings under its revolving credit line. The repurchases are intended to be implemented through open market transactions on U.S. exchanges or in privately negotiated transactions, in accordance with applicable securities laws, and any market purchases will be made during open trading window periods or pursuant to any applicable Rule 10b5-1 trading plans. The authorization extends until December 31, 2016. The timing, prices, and sizes of repurchases will depend upon prevailing market prices, general economic and market conditions and other considerations. The repurchase program does not obligate the Company to acquire any particular amount of stock. No repurchases were made by the Company under this authorization during 2015.

Previous Stock Repurchase

In May 2013, the Company completed its repurchases under a previously authorized repurchase program by repurchasing approximately 2.3 million shares of its common stock for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$100.0 million, which the Company funded using cash on hand and borrowings under the revolving credit line of the Company's credit facility. The repurchased stock was cancelled by the Company and has been reflected as a reduction of retained earnings in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Dividends

During 2015, the Company's board of directors declared quarterly dividends totaling \$2.70 per share of common stock for the full year, or an aggregate of \$138.4 million in cash.

During 2014, the Company's board of directors declared quarterly dividends totaling \$2.20 per share of common stock for the full year, or an aggregate of \$112.0 million in cash.

During 2013, the Company's board of directors declared quarterly dividends totaling \$2.00 per share of common stock for the full year, or an aggregate of \$101.7 million in cash.

To maintain its qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company must distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income each year. The Company's board of directors has approved the Company's current dividend policy pursuant to which the Company plans to pay a quarterly cash dividend to stockholders in an amount equal to an annualized payment of at least 50% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined by the Company) less maintenance capital expenditures or 100% of REIT taxable income on an annual basis, whichever is greater. The declaration, timing and amount of dividends will be determined by future action of the Company's board of directors. The dividend policy may be altered at any time by the Company's board of directors.

Treasury Stock

On December 18, 2008, following approval by the Human Resources Committee and the Board of Directors, the Company and the Company's Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President ("Executive") entered into an amendment to Executive's employment agreement. The amendment provided Executive with the option of making an irrevocable election to invest his existing Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan ("SERP") benefit in Company common stock, which election Executive subsequently made. The investment was made by a rabbi trust in which, during January 2009, the independent trustee of the rabbi trust purchased shares of Company common stock in the open market in compliance with applicable law. Executive is only entitled to a distribution of the Company common stock held by the rabbi trust in satisfaction of his SERP benefit. As such, the Company believes that the ownership of shares of common stock by the rabbi trust and the distribution of those shares to Executive in satisfaction of his SERP benefit meets the requirements necessary so that the Company will not recognize any increase or decrease in expense as a result of subsequent changes in the value of the Company common stock and the purchased shares are treated as treasury stock and the SERP benefit is included in additional paid-in capital in the Company's accompanying consolidated financial statements. The increase in treasury stock for a particular year represents dividends received on shares of Company common stock held by the rabbi trust.

Shareholder Rights Plan

The Company's previous shareholder rights plan expired on August 12, 2012. The Company has amended its Corporate Governance Guidelines to include a policy with respect to shareholder rights plans that provides that the Board may not adopt a rights plan unless either (i) stockholder approval has been obtained, or (ii) specified circumstances exist and stockholder approval is obtained within specified periods after adoption.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The Company's balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss is composed of amounts related to the Company's minimum pension liability. Changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

Balance, December 31, 2012	\$(24,603)
Unrealized gains arising during period	23,172
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	204
Income tax expense	<u>(7,892)</u>
Net other comprehensive income	<u>15,484</u>
Balance, December 31, 2013	<u>\$ (9,119)</u>
Unrealized losses arising during period	(20,231)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(235)
Income tax benefit	<u>3,254</u>
Net other comprehensive loss	<u>(17,212)</u>
Balance, December 31, 2014	<u>\$(26,331)</u>
Unrealized gains arising during period	1,920
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	88
Income tax expense	<u>(724)</u>
Net other comprehensive loss	<u>1,284</u>
Balance, December 31, 2015	<u><u>\$(25,047)</u></u>

Amounts reclassified from accumulated comprehensive (income) loss related to the Company's minimum pension liability are presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Other hotel expenses	\$(209)	\$(309)	\$(119)
Entertainment operating expenses	11	(24)	21
Corporate operating expenses	286	98	302
	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$(235)</u>	<u>\$ 204</u>

10. Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT effective January 1, 2013, pursuant to the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. As a REIT, generally the Company will not be subject to federal corporate income taxes on ordinary taxable income and capital gains income from real estate investments that it distributes to its stockholders. The Company will, however, be subject to corporate income taxes on built-in gains (the excess of fair market value over tax basis at January 1, 2013) that result from gains on certain assets. In addition, the Company will continue to be required to pay federal and state corporate income taxes on earnings of its TRSs.

The benefit for income taxes for continuing operations consists of the following (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
CURRENT:			
Federal	\$ (763)	\$(2,071)	\$ 4,528
State	<u>(1,229)</u>	<u>(2,339)</u>	<u>(1,396)</u>
Total current (provision) benefit	<u>(1,992)</u>	<u>(4,410)</u>	<u>3,132</u>
DEFERRED:			
Federal	8,866	2,588	84,918
State	(248)	3,289	4,612
Effect of federal tax law change	5,229	—	—
Total deferred benefit	<u>13,847</u>	<u>5,877</u>	<u>89,530</u>
Total benefit for income taxes	<u>\$11,855</u>	<u>\$ 1,467</u>	<u>\$92,662</u>

In December 2015, the Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 (the “PATH Act”) was passed. The PATH Act made permanent several key tax provisions including lowering the recognition period related to built-in gains from ten years to five years. As a result, the Company recorded a one-time, non-cash tax benefit of \$5.2 million during the fourth quarter of 2015 to reflect this change.

The Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its annual taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to its stockholders in order to maintain its qualification as a REIT. The taxability of distributions to stockholders is determined by the Company’s earnings and profits, which differs from net income reported for financial reporting purposes. The estimated taxability of cash distributions to common shareholders is as follows (per common share):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Ordinary income	\$2.50	\$2.30	\$1.39
Capital gains	0.23	0.17	0.02
Return of capital	—	—	0.09
	<u>\$2.73</u>	<u>\$2.47</u>	<u>\$1.50</u>

The differences between the income tax provision calculated at the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% and the actual income tax benefit recorded for continuing operations are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Statutory federal income tax provision	\$(34,774)	\$(43,750)	\$(9,035)
Adjustment for nontaxable income of the REIT	34,904	44,701	32,642
State taxes (net of federal tax benefit and change in valuation allowance)	(1,477)	950	3,216
Permanent items	(165)	(160)	1,092
Federal tax credits	—	112	—
Federal valuation allowance	8,271	(853)	(3,509)
Unrecognized tax benefits	—	—	6,261
REIT conversion	—	—	62,063
Effect of federal tax law change	5,229	—	—
Other	(133)	467	(68)
	<u>\$ 11,855</u>	<u>\$ 1,467</u>	<u>\$92,662</u>

As a result of the Company’s conversion to a REIT, certain net deferred tax liabilities related to the real estate of the Company were reversed, as the REIT will generally not pay federal corporate income tax related to those

deferred tax liabilities. In addition, the Company assessed the need for a valuation allowance on the net deferred tax assets of the TRSs. As a result, the Company recorded a net benefit of \$64.8 million related to the conversion to a REIT during 2013.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
DEFERRED TAX ASSETS:		
Accounting reserves and accruals	\$ 21,174	\$ 22,023
Defined benefit plan	8,389	7,285
Deferred management rights proceeds	70,483	70,887
Federal and State net operating loss carryforwards	44,932	47,156
Tax credits and other carryforwards	569	1,016
Other assets	7,917	7,953
Total deferred tax assets	<u>153,464</u>	156,320
Valuation allowance	<u>(88,309)</u>	(98,445)
Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	<u>65,155</u>	<u>57,875</u>
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES:		
Property and equipment, net	63,289	68,047
Goodwill and other intangibles	1,236	1,727
Other liabilities	1,793	2,385
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>66,318</u>	<u>72,159</u>
Net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 1,163</u>	<u>\$ 14,284</u>

Federal net operating loss carryforwards at December 31, 2015 totaled \$63.4 million, resulting in a deferred tax benefit of \$22.2 million, which will begin to expire in 2032. Charitable contribution carryforwards at December 31, 2015 totaled \$1.8 million, resulting in a deferred tax benefit of \$0.5 million, which will begin to expire in 2016. The use of certain federal net operating losses, credits and other deferred tax assets are limited to the Company's future taxable earnings. As a result, a valuation allowance has been provided for certain federal deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance related to federal deferred tax assets increased (decreased) \$(8.3) million, \$4.3 million and \$60.3 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The 2013 increase in the valuation allowance includes the revaluation of the deferred tax assets of the TRSs due to the REIT conversion. State net operating loss carryforwards at December 31, 2015 totaled \$439.2 million, resulting in a deferred tax benefit of \$22.7 million, which will expire between 2016 and 2035. The use of certain state net operating losses, credits and other state deferred tax assets are limited to the future taxable earnings of separate legal entities. As a result, a valuation allowance has been provided for certain state deferred tax assets, including loss carryforwards. The valuation allowance related to state deferred tax assets increased (decreased) \$(1.8) million, \$(3.5) million and \$19.0 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Management believes that it is more likely than not that the results of operations will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets after giving consideration to the valuation allowance.

The Company has concluded IRS examinations through the 2010 tax year. For federal income tax purposes and substantially all the states with which the Company has nexus, the statute of limitations has expired through 2011. However, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards from closed years, which could be adjusted upon audit. The Company is routinely subject to other various jurisdictional income tax audits; however, there are no outstanding state or local audits at December 31, 2015.

As a result of the completion of the IRS federal income tax audits through 2010, issues related to 2010 and earlier years have been effectively settled. Due to the favorable resolution of the federal examination, the

Company's reserve for unrecognized tax benefits decreased by \$12.3 million during 2013, of which \$5.5 million was recorded as an income tax benefit. Due to the expiration of statutes of limitations, the reserve for unrecognized tax benefits decreased an additional \$0.8 million during 2013, of which \$0.5 million was recorded as an income tax benefit. In addition, the Company recorded a reduction to the related accrued interest of \$1.5 million as an income tax benefit in 2013.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits (exclusive of interest and penalties) for 2013 is as follows:

	<u>2013</u>
Unrecognized tax benefits - January 1, 2013	\$ 13,162
Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities	(12,327)
Reductions due to expiration of certain statute of limitations	<u>(835)</u>
Unrecognized tax benefits - December 31, 2013	<u>\$ —</u>

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. The Company recognized \$(2.2) million of interest and no penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2013. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company has accrued no interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Capital Leases

In the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, the following amounts of assets under capitalized lease agreements are included as shown and the related obligations are included in debt (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Property and equipment	\$ 4,367	\$ 4,367
Prepaid expenses and other assets	130	130
Accumulated depreciation	(2,872)	(2,584)
Net assets under capital leases	<u>\$ 1,625</u>	<u>\$ 1,913</u>

Operating Leases

Rental expense for operating leases was \$12.3 million, \$14.7 million, and \$14.1 million for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Company entered into a 75-year operating lease agreement during 1999 for 65.3 acres of land located in Osceola County, Florida for the development of Gaylord Palms. The lease requires the Company to make annual base lease payments, which were approximately \$3.9 million in 2015. The lease agreement provides for an annual 3% escalation of base rent. The terms of this lease require that the Company recognize lease expense on a straight-line basis, which resulted in an annual base lease expense of approximately \$9.4 million for 2015, 2014, and 2013. This rent included approximately \$5.4 million, \$5.5 million, and \$5.6 million of non-cash expenses during 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. At the end of the 75-year lease term, the Company may extend the operating lease to January 31, 2101, at which point the buildings and fixtures will be transferred to the lessor. The Company also records contingent rental expense based upon net revenues associated with the Gaylord Palms operations. The Company recorded \$2.0 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.9 million of contingent rental expense related to the Gaylord Palms in 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

Future minimum cash lease commitments under all non-cancelable leases in effect at December 31, 2015 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<u>Capital Leases</u>	<u>Operating Leases</u>
2016	\$ 46	\$ 4,716
2017	46	4,279
2018	46	4,348
2019	46	4,478
2020	46	4,613
Years thereafter	796	600,289
Total minimum lease payments	1,026	<u>\$622,723</u>
Less amount representing interest	(348)	
Total present value of minimum payments	678	
Less current portion of obligations	(19)	
Long-term obligations	<u>\$ 659</u>	

Other Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is self-insured up to a stop loss for certain losses relating to workers' compensation claims and general liability claims through September 30, 2012, and for certain losses related to employee medical benefits through December 31, 2012. The Company's insurance program has subsequently transitioned to a low or no deductible program. The Company has purchased stop-loss coverage in order to limit its exposure to any significant levels of claims relating to workers' compensation, employee medical benefits and general liability for which it is self-insured.

The Company has entered into employment agreements with certain officers, which provides for severance payments upon certain events, including after a change of control.

The Company, in the ordinary course of business, is involved in certain legal actions and claims on a variety of other matters. It is the opinion of management that such legal actions will not have a material effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity of the Company.

12. Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company held certain assets, and at December 31, 2014, the Company held certain liabilities, that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. These included investments held in connection with the Company's non-qualified contributory deferred compensation plan and liabilities associated with the outstanding common stock warrants associated with the Convertible Notes discussed in Note 5.

The investments held by the Company in connection with its deferred compensation plan consist of mutual funds traded in an active market. The Company determined the fair value of these mutual funds based on the net asset value per unit of the funds or the portfolio, which is based upon quoted market prices in an active market. Therefore, the Company has categorized these investments as Level 1.

As discussed in Note 5, in the first quarter of 2015, the Company cash settled 4.7 million common stock warrants associated with its Convertible Notes, which have been classified as a derivative liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014. The Company determined the fair value of these warrants based on the Company's closing stock price at December 31, 2014 and a pricing grid provided by the counterparties to the warrants that was based on observable inputs. Therefore, the Company has categorized this liability as Level 2.

The Company has consistently applied these valuation techniques in all periods presented and believes it has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of instruments it holds.

The Company had no liabilities required to be measured at fair value at December 31, 2015. The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, were as follows (in thousands):

	<u>December 31, 2015</u>	<u>Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</u>	<u>Observable Inputs (Level 2)</u>	<u>Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</u>
Deferred compensation plan investments	\$ 19,289	\$19,289	\$ —	\$ —
Total assets measured at fair value	<u>\$ 19,289</u>	<u>\$19,289</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
	<u>December 31, 2014</u>	<u>Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</u>	<u>Observable Inputs (Level 2)</u>	<u>Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</u>
Deferred compensation plan investments	\$ 19,712	\$19,712	\$ —	\$ —
Total assets measured at fair value	<u>\$ 19,712</u>	<u>\$19,712</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Warrant liability	\$134,477	\$ —	\$134,477	\$ —
Total liabilities measured at fair value	<u>\$134,477</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$134,477</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The remainder of the assets and liabilities held by the Company at December 31, 2015 are not required to be measured at fair value. The carrying value of certain of these assets and liabilities do not approximate fair value, as described below.

As further discussed in Note 4, in connection with the development of Gaylord National, the Company received a series A Bond and a Series B Bond from Prince George's County, Maryland which had aggregate carrying values of \$84.9 million and \$67.6 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2015. The fair value of the Series A Bond, which has the senior claim to the cash flows supporting these bonds, approximates carrying value as of December 31, 2015. The fair value of the Series B Bond, based upon current market interest rates of notes receivable with comparable market ratings and current expectations about the timing of debt service payments under the note, which the Company considers as Level 3, was approximately \$59 million as of December 31, 2015. While the fair value of the Series B Bond decreased to less than its carrying value during 2011 due to a change in the timing of the debt service payments, the Company has the intent and ability to hold this bond to maturity and expects to receive all debt service payments due under the note. Therefore, the Company does not consider the Series B Bond to be other than temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2015.

The carrying amount of short-term financial instruments (cash, short-term investments, trade receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities) approximates fair value due to the short maturity of those instruments. The concentration of credit risk on trade receivables is minimized by the large and diverse nature of the Company's customer base.

13. Financial Reporting By Business Segments

The Company's continuing operations are organized into the following principal business segments:

- *Hospitality*, which includes Gaylord Opryland, Gaylord Palms, Gaylord Texan, Gaylord National, the Inn at Opryland and the AC Hotel;
- *Entertainment*, previously referred to as Opry and Attractions, which includes the Grand Ole Opry, WSM-AM, and the Company's Nashville-based attractions; and
- *Corporate and Other*, which includes the Company's corporate expenses.

The following information (amounts in thousands) is derived directly from the segments' internal financial reports used for corporate management purposes.

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
REVENUES:			
Hospitality	\$ 994,603	\$ 954,166	\$878,509
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	97,521	86,825	76,053
Corporate and Other	—	—	—
Total revenues	<u>\$1,092,124</u>	<u>\$1,040,991</u>	<u>\$954,562</u>
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION:			
Hospitality	\$ 105,876	\$ 103,422	\$103,147
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	5,747	5,258	5,368
Corporate and Other	2,760	3,598	8,013
Total depreciation and amortization	<u>\$ 114,383</u>	<u>\$ 112,278</u>	<u>\$116,528</u>
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS):			
Hospitality	\$ 189,434	\$ 162,535	\$121,556
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	24,411	21,752	14,157
Corporate and Other	(31,674)	(31,171)	(34,305)
REIT conversion costs	—	—	(22,190)
Casualty loss	—	—	(54)
Preopening costs	(909)	(11)	—
Impairment and other charges	(19,200)	—	(2,976)
Total operating income	<u>162,062</u>	<u>153,105</u>	<u>76,188</u>
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(63,901)	(61,447)	(60,916)
Interest income	12,384	12,075	12,267
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(2,148)	(4,181)
Other gains and (losses)	(10,889)	23,400	2,332
Income before income taxes	<u>\$ 99,656</u>	<u>\$ 124,985</u>	<u>\$ 25,690</u>
IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS:			
Hospitality	<u>\$2,141,675</u>	<u>\$2,207,043</u>	
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	90,167	80,127	
Corporate and Other	99,592	104,330	
Total identifiable assets	<u>\$2,331,434</u>	<u>\$2,391,500</u>	

The following table represents the capital expenditures by segment for the years ended December 31 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES:			
Hospitality	\$65,651	\$46,440	\$32,266
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	13,477	4,760	2,688
Corporate and other	687	7,177	2,005
Total capital expenditures	<u>\$79,815</u>	<u>\$58,377</u>	<u>\$36,959</u>

14. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

The following is selected unaudited quarterly financial data for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 (amounts in thousands, except per share data).

The sum of the quarterly per share amounts may not equal the annual totals due to rounding.

	<u>2015</u>			
	<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
Revenues	\$253,148	\$274,036	\$252,820	\$312,120
Depreciation and amortization	28,570	28,399	28,498	28,916
Operating income	35,890	57,015	32,768	36,389
Income before income taxes	4,853	42,255	22,079	30,469
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	(321)	(866)	4,612	8,430
Net income	4,532	41,389	26,691	38,899
Net income available to common stockholders	4,532	41,389	26,691	38,899
Net income per share	0.09	0.81	0.52	0.76
Net income per share — assuming dilution	0.09	0.80	0.52	0.75
	<u>2014</u>			
	<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
Revenues	\$246,451	\$257,913	\$245,015	\$291,612
Depreciation and amortization	28,003	28,232	28,033	28,010
Operating income	32,797	47,486	29,083	43,739
Income before income taxes	20,169	28,567	14,667	61,582
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	484	(576)	463	1,096
Net income	20,653	27,991	15,130	62,678
Net income available to common stockholders	20,653	23,039	15,130	62,213
Net income per share	0.41	0.45	0.30	1.22
Net income per share - assuming dilution	0.32	0.38	0.25	1.21

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company cash settled the remaining outstanding common stock warrants as described in Note 5. The change in the fair value of the derivative liability from December 31, 2014 through the settlement date was a loss of \$20.2 million, which is included in other gains and losses, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company incurred an impairment charge of \$16.3 million associated with a previously contemplated expansion at Gaylord Texan, as described in Note 1, which is included in impairment and other charges in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recognized a \$6.9 million gain associated with the reimbursement of costs that were previously incurred related to a proposed development in Aurora, Colorado. These costs were impaired in 2012 as part of the Company's strategic shift away from long-term development, but were reimbursed in 2015 by the current developer.

During the second quarter of 2014, the Company entered into agreements with its note hedge counterparties to proportionately reduce the number of Purchased Options and the warrants. In addition, the Company modified the agreements with two of the note hedge counterparties to cash settle a portion of the warrants as described in Note 5. Each of these agreements were considered modifications to Purchased Options and warrants (as applicable), and based on the agreements, the Company recognized a charge of \$5.0 million, which is recorded as an increase to accumulated deficit and derivative liabilities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. This charge also represents a deduction from net income in calculating net income available to common stockholders and earnings per share available to common stockholders.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company sold to an affiliate of the Peterson Companies all of its rights in a letter of intent to which it was a party with the Peterson Companies, which entitled the Company to a portion of such party's economic interest in the income from the land underlying the new MGM casino project at National Harbor. The Company will receive \$26.1 million over three years in exchange for its contractual rights, which is included in other gains and losses, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for 2014.

15. Information Concerning Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries

The \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes and the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes were each issued by the Operating Partnership and Finco and are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company, each of the Company's four wholly-owned subsidiaries that own the Gaylord Hotels properties, and certain other of the Company's subsidiaries, each of which guarantees the Operating Partnership's Credit Facility (such subsidiary guarantors, together with the Company, the "Guarantors"). The subsidiary Guarantors are 100% owned, and the guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. Not all of the Company's subsidiaries have guaranteed the \$350 Million 5% Senior Notes and the \$400 Million 5% Senior Notes.

The following condensed consolidating financial information includes certain allocations of revenues and expenses based on management's best estimates, which are not necessarily indicative of financial position, results of operations and cash flows that these entities would have achieved on a stand-alone basis.

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET
As of December 31, 2015

(in thousands)	<u>Parent</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non-</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
	<u>Guarantor</u>			<u>Guarantors</u>		
ASSETS:						
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 6,869	\$ —	\$1,622,674	\$ 353,273	\$ —	\$1,982,816
Cash and cash equivalents — unrestricted	23	1,578	158	54,532	—	56,291
Cash and cash equivalents — restricted	—	—	—	22,355	—	22,355
Notes receivable	—	—	—	152,560	—	152,560
Trade receivables, less allowance	—	—	—	55,033	—	55,033
Prepaid expenses and other assets	7,111	—	116,218	57,264	(118,214)	62,379
Intercompany receivables, net	—	—	1,284,587	—	(1,284,587)	—
Investments	976,809	2,795,064	531,628	697,381	(5,000,882)	—
Total assets	<u>\$ 990,812</u>	<u>\$2,796,642</u>	<u>\$3,555,265</u>	<u>\$1,392,398</u>	<u>\$(6,403,683)</u>	<u>\$2,331,434</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:						
Debt and capital lease obligations	\$ —	\$1,431,032	\$ —	\$ 678	\$ —	\$1,431,710
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	103	8,153	1,390	262,234	(118,497)	153,383
Deferred income tax liabilities, net	1,609	—	599	(1,045)	—	1,163
Deferred management rights proceeds	—	—	—	183,119	—	183,119
Dividends payable	36,868	—	—	—	—	36,868
Other liabilities	—	—	84,746	60,600	283	145,629
Intercompany payables, net	572,670	506,341	—	205,576	(1,284,587)	—
Commitments and contingencies						
Stockholders' equity:						
Preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	—
Common stock	513	1	1	2,387	(2,389)	513
Additional paid-in-capital	887,501	996,425	2,812,431	1,213,324	(5,022,180)	887,501
Treasury stock	(10,001)	—	—	—	—	(10,001)
Accumulated deficit	(473,404)	(145,310)	656,098	(509,428)	(1,360)	(473,404)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25,047)	—	—	(25,047)	25,047	(25,047)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>379,562</u>	<u>851,116</u>	<u>3,468,530</u>	<u>681,236</u>	<u>(5,000,882)</u>	<u>379,562</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 990,812</u>	<u>\$2,796,642</u>	<u>\$3,555,265</u>	<u>\$1,392,398</u>	<u>\$(6,403,683)</u>	<u>\$2,331,434</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET
As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)	<u>Parent</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non-</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
	<u>Guarantor</u>		<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>		
ASSETS:						
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 6,574	\$ —	\$1,691,996	\$ 337,691	\$ —	\$2,036,261
Cash and cash equivalents — unrestricted	392	1,001	36	74,979	—	76,408
Cash and cash equivalents — restricted	—	—	—	17,410	—	17,410
Notes receivable	—	—	—	149,612	—	149,612
Trade receivables, less allowance	—	—	—	45,188	—	45,188
Prepaid expenses and other assets	16,908	33	75,335	50,713	(76,368)	66,621
Intercompany receivables, net	—	219,772	1,073,805	—	(1,293,577)	—
Investments	1,587,425	2,767,163	526,645	695,896	(5,577,129)	—
Total assets	<u>\$1,611,299</u>	<u>\$2,987,969</u>	<u>\$3,367,817</u>	<u>\$1,371,489</u>	<u>\$(6,947,074)</u>	<u>\$2,391,500</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:						
Debt and capital lease obligations	\$ —	\$1,318,854	\$ —	\$ 1,055	\$ —	\$1,319,909
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	36	7,248	216	235,999	(76,651)	166,848
Deferred income tax liabilities, net	7,258	—	616	6,410	—	14,284
Deferred management rights proceeds	—	—	—	183,423	—	183,423
Dividends payable	29,133	—	—	—	—	29,133
Derivative liabilities	134,477	—	—	—	—	134,477
Other liabilities	—	—	79,382	62,354	283	142,019
Intercompany payables, net	1,038,988	—	—	254,589	(1,293,577)	—
Commitments and contingencies						
Stockholders' equity:						
Preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	—
Common stock	510	1	1	2,387	(2,389)	510
Additional paid-in-capital	882,193	1,741,705	2,803,719	1,183,941	(5,729,365)	882,193
Treasury stock	(8,002)	—	—	—	—	(8,002)
Accumulated deficit	(446,963)	(79,839)	483,883	(532,338)	128,294	(446,963)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(26,331)	—	—	(26,331)	26,331	(26,331)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>401,407</u>	<u>1,661,867</u>	<u>3,287,603</u>	<u>627,659</u>	<u>(5,577,129)</u>	<u>401,407</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$1,611,299</u>	<u>\$2,987,969</u>	<u>\$3,367,817</u>	<u>\$1,371,489</u>	<u>\$(6,947,074)</u>	<u>\$2,391,500</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

(in thousands)	<u>Parent Guarantor</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Revenues:						
Rooms	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 404,457	\$ —	\$ 404,457
Food and beverage	—	—	—	461,157	—	461,157
Other hotel revenue	—	—	298,698	145,817	(315,526)	128,989
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	261	—	—	98,228	(968)	97,521
Total revenues	<u>261</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>298,698</u>	<u>1,109,659</u>	<u>(316,494)</u>	<u>1,092,124</u>
Operating expenses:						
Rooms	—	—	—	110,067	—	110,067
Food and beverage	—	—	—	261,580	—	261,580
Other hotel expenses	—	—	43,388	568,830	(299,229)	312,989
Management fees	—	—	—	14,657	—	14,657
Total hotel operating expenses	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>43,388</u>	<u>955,134</u>	<u>(299,229)</u>	<u>699,293</u>
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	—	—	—	67,366	(3)	67,363
Corporate	328	1,433	2	27,151	—	28,914
Corporate overhead allocation	9,682	—	7,580	—	(17,262)	—
Preopening costs	—	—	—	909	—	909
Impairment and other charges	—	—	16,310	2,890	—	19,200
Depreciation and amortization	127	—	58,998	55,258	—	114,383
Total operating expenses	<u>10,137</u>	<u>1,433</u>	<u>126,278</u>	<u>1,108,708</u>	<u>(316,494)</u>	<u>930,062</u>
Operating income (loss)	<u>(9,876)</u>	<u>(1,433)</u>	<u>172,420</u>	<u>951</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>162,062</u>
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	—	(64,038)	17	120	—	(63,901)
Interest income	—	—	—	12,384	—	12,384
Other gains and (losses), net	(13,346)	—	—	2,457	—	(10,889)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(23,222)	(65,471)	172,437	15,912	—	99,656
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	5,080	—	(222)	6,997	—	11,855
Equity in subsidiaries' earnings, net	129,653	—	—	—	(129,653)	—
Net income (loss)	<u>\$111,511</u>	<u>\$(65,471)</u>	<u>\$172,215</u>	<u>\$ 22,909</u>	<u>\$(129,653)</u>	<u>\$ 111,511</u>
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$112,795</u>	<u>\$(65,471)</u>	<u>\$172,215</u>	<u>\$ 24,193</u>	<u>\$(130,937)</u>	<u>\$ 112,795</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)	<u>Parent Guarantor</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Revenues:						
Rooms	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 384,185	\$ —	\$ 384,185
Food and beverage	—	—	—	437,673	—	437,673
Other hotel revenue	—	—	286,816	151,005	(305,513)	132,308
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	331	—	—	87,433	(939)	86,825
Total revenues	<u>331</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>286,816</u>	<u>1,060,296</u>	<u>(306,452)</u>	<u>1,040,991</u>
Operating expenses:						
Rooms	—	—	—	111,864	—	111,864
Food and beverage	—	—	—	248,358	—	248,358
Other hotel expenses	—	—	44,160	555,131	(287,455)	311,836
Management fees	—	—	—	16,151	—	16,151
Total hotel operating expenses	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>44,160</u>	<u>931,504</u>	<u>(287,455)</u>	<u>688,209</u>
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	—	—	—	59,747	68	59,815
Corporate	97	1,189	2	26,285	—	27,573
Corporate overhead allocation	10,561	—	8,504	—	(19,065)	—
Preopening costs	—	—	—	11	—	11
Depreciation and amortization	84	—	59,420	52,774	—	112,278
Total operating expenses	<u>10,742</u>	<u>1,189</u>	<u>112,086</u>	<u>1,070,321</u>	<u>(306,452)</u>	<u>887,886</u>
Operating income (loss)	<u>(10,411)</u>	<u>(1,189)</u>	<u>174,730</u>	<u>(10,025)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>153,105</u>
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(16,918)	(44,555)	—	26	—	(61,447)
Interest income	—	—	—	12,075	—	12,075
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(2,148)	—	—	—	—	(2,148)
Other gains and (losses), net	21,892	—	—	1,508	—	23,400
Income (loss) before income taxes	(7,585)	(45,744)	174,730	3,584	—	124,985
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	(2,526)	(2)	(210)	4,205	—	1,467
Equity in subsidiaries' earnings, net	136,563	—	—	—	(136,563)	—
Net income (loss)	<u>\$126,452</u>	<u>\$(45,746)</u>	<u>\$174,520</u>	<u>\$ 7,789</u>	<u>\$(136,563)</u>	<u>\$ 126,452</u>
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$109,240</u>	<u>\$(45,746)</u>	<u>\$174,520</u>	<u>\$ (9,423)</u>	<u>\$(119,351)</u>	<u>\$ 109,240</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

(in thousands)	<u>Parent Guarantor</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Revenues:						
Rooms	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 357,313	\$ —	\$357,313
Food and beverage	—	—	—	403,725	—	403,725
Other hotel revenue	—	—	266,971	131,417	(280,917)	117,471
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	—	—	—	76,805	(752)	76,053
Total revenues	—	—	266,971	969,260	(281,669)	954,562
Operating expenses:						
Rooms	—	—	—	103,171	—	103,171
Food and beverage	—	—	—	237,153	—	237,153
Other hotel expenses	—	—	44,589	521,611	(267,370)	298,830
Management fees	—	—	—	14,652	—	14,652
Total hotel operating expenses	—	—	44,589	876,587	(267,370)	653,806
Entertainment (previously Opry and Attractions)	—	—	—	56,662	(134)	56,528
Corporate	12	1,751	—	24,529	—	26,292
Corporate overhead allocation	8,766	—	5,399	—	(14,165)	—
REIT conversion costs	—	—	—	22,190	—	22,190
Casualty loss	—	—	—	54	—	54
Impairment and other charges	—	—	2,537	439	—	2,976
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	59,539	56,989	—	116,528
Total operating expenses	8,778	1,751	112,064	1,037,450	(281,669)	878,374
Operating income (loss)	(8,778)	(1,751)	154,907	(68,190)	—	76,188
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(28,775)	(32,092)	—	(49)	—	(60,916)
Interest income	—	—	—	12,267	—	12,267
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(4,181)	—	—	—	—	(4,181)
Other gains and (losses), net	—	—	—	2,332	—	2,332
Income (loss) before income taxes	(41,734)	(33,843)	154,907	(53,640)	—	25,690
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	1,902	2,695	132,521	(44,456)	—	92,662
Equity in subsidiaries' earnings, net	158,184	—	—	—	(158,184)	—
Net income (loss)	<u>\$118,352</u>	<u>\$(31,148)</u>	<u>\$287,428</u>	<u>\$ (98,096)</u>	<u>\$(158,184)</u>	<u>\$118,352</u>
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$133,836</u>	<u>\$(31,148)</u>	<u>\$287,428</u>	<u>\$ (82,612)</u>	<u>\$(173,668)</u>	<u>\$133,836</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

(in thousands)	<u>Parent Guarantor</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 274,262	\$(104,168)	\$ 5,794	\$ 58,474	\$ —	\$ 234,362
Purchases of property and equipment	(422)	—	(5,672)	(73,721)	—	(79,815)
Proceeds from sale of Peterson LOI	10,000	—	—	—	—	10,000
Increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents	—	—	—	(4,945)	—	(4,945)
Other investing activities	—	—	—	123	—	123
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	9,578	—	(5,672)	(78,543)	—	(74,637)
Net repayments under credit facility	—	(280,100)	—	—	—	(280,100)
Repayments under term loan B	—	(4,000)	—	—	—	(4,000)
Issuance of senior notes	—	400,000	—	—	—	400,000
Repurchase of common stock warrants	(154,681)	—	—	—	—	(154,681)
Deferred financing costs paid	—	(11,155)	—	—	—	(11,155)
Payment of dividend	(131,305)	—	—	—	—	(131,305)
Proceeds from exercise of stock option and purchase plans	1,777	—	—	—	—	1,777
Other financing activities, net	—	—	—	(378)	—	(378)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(284,209)	104,745	—	(378)	—	(179,842)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(369)	577	122	(20,447)	—	(20,117)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	392	1,001	36	74,979	—	76,408
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 23</u>	<u>\$ 1,578</u>	<u>\$ 158</u>	<u>\$ 54,532</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 56,291</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)	<u>Parent Guarantor</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 636,386	\$(466,285)	\$ (24)	\$ 76,927	\$ —	\$ 247,004
Purchases of property and equipment	(6,659)	—	60	(51,778)	—	(58,377)
Purchase of AC Hotel	—	—	—	(21,206)	—	(21,206)
Proceeds from sale of Peterson LOI	9,350	—	—	—	—	9,350
Decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents	—	—	—	2,759	—	2,759
Other investing activities	—	—	—	8,012	—	8,012
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,691	—	60	(62,213)	—	(59,462)
Net borrowings under credit facility	—	77,000	—	—	—	77,000
Net borrowing under term loan B	—	398,000	—	—	—	398,000
Repurchase and conversion of convertible notes	(358,710)	—	—	—	—	(358,710)
Repurchase of common stock warrants	(177,423)	—	—	—	—	(177,423)
Deferred financing costs paid	—	(8,428)	—	—	—	(8,428)
Payment of dividend	(109,414)	—	—	—	—	(109,414)
Proceeds from exercise of stock option and purchase plans	6,862	—	—	—	—	6,862
Other financing activities, net	—	—	—	(600)	—	(600)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(638,685)	466,572	—	(600)	—	(172,713)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	392	287	36	14,114	—	14,829
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	714	—	60,865	—	61,579
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 392</u>	<u>\$ 1,001</u>	<u>\$ 36</u>	<u>\$ 74,979</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 76,408</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

(in thousands)	<u>Parent Guarantor</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 422,624	\$(298,048)	\$ (2,164)	\$ 15,287	\$ —	\$ 137,699
Purchases of property and equipment	—	—	2,759	(39,718)	—	(36,959)
Increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents	—	—	—	(13,959)	—	(13,959)
Other investing activities	—	—	—	2,177	—	2,177
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	—	2,759	(51,500)	—	(48,741)
Net repayments under credit facility	—	(35,500)	—	—	—	(35,500)
Issuance of senior notes	—	350,000	—	—	—	350,000
Early redemption of senior notes	(152,180)	—	—	—	—	(152,180)
Repurchase and conversion of convertible notes	(99,222)	—	—	—	—	(99,222)
Deferred financing costs paid	—	(15,738)	—	—	—	(15,738)
Repurchase of Company stock for retirement	(100,028)	—	—	—	—	(100,028)
Payment of dividend	(76,424)	—	—	—	—	(76,424)
Proceeds from exercise of stock option and purchase plans	5,223	—	—	—	—	5,223
Other financing activities, net	7	—	—	(687)	—	(680)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(422,624)	298,762	—	(687)	—	(124,549)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	—	714	595	(36,900)	—	(35,591)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	—	(595)	97,765	—	97,170
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 714</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 60,865</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 61,579</u>

RYMAN HOSPITALITY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE III – REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2015
(Amounts in thousands)

	Encmbr	Initial Cost to Company		Costs Capitalized Subs to Acq	Gross Amount at End of Year			Acc Depr	Date Acq/ Constr	Depr Life (yrs)
		Land	Bldgs & Impr		Land	Bldgs & Impr	Total (2)			
Gaylord Opryland	(1)	\$ 9,817	\$ 77,125	\$543,435	\$ 47,961	\$ 582,416	\$ 630,377	\$301,695	1983	20-40
Gaylord Palms	(1)	21,564	314,661	42,867	29,938	349,154	379,092	132,480	2002	20-40
Gaylord Texan	(1)	21,235	388,030	75,930	44,095	441,100	485,195	132,166	2004	20-40
Gaylord National	(1)	43,212	840,261	16,909	46,651	853,731	900,382	164,817	2008	20-40
Inn at Opryland	—	2,675	7,248	12,707	2,920	19,710	22,630	7,259	1998	20-40
AC Hotel	—	9,079	17,340	3,607	9,089	20,937	30,026	542	2014	20-40
Miscellaneous	—	21,290	16,250	25,337	40,016	22,861	62,877	15,902	N/A	20-40
	—	<u>\$128,872</u>	<u>\$1,660,915</u>	<u>\$720,792</u>	<u>\$220,670</u>	<u>\$2,289,909</u>	<u>\$2,510,579</u>	<u>\$754,861</u>		

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Investment in real estate:			
Balance at beginning of year	\$2,488,361	\$2,436,266	\$2,429,282
Acquisitions	—	33,077	—
Improvements	22,302	19,150	11,806
Disposals	(84)	(132)	(3,406)
Impairments	—	—	(1,416)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$2,510,579</u>	<u>\$2,488,361</u>	<u>\$2,436,266</u>
Accumulated depreciation:			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 691,691	\$ 629,292	\$ 568,681
Depreciation	63,180	62,492	64,095
Disposals	(10)	(93)	(3,484)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 754,861</u>	<u>\$ 691,691</u>	<u>\$ 629,292</u>

- (1) Pledged as collateral under the Company's credit facility. At December 31, 2015, \$308.2 million in borrowings and letters of credit were outstanding under such facility.
- (2) The aggregate cost of properties for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2015.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and stockholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), and our report dated February 26, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 26, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.

We have audited Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2015 consolidated financial statements and schedule of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries and our report dated February 26, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP


Nashville, Tennessee
February 26, 2016

PERFORMANCE OF THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK

The following chart shows how a \$100 investment in our common stock on December 31, 2010 would have grown to \$202.85 on December 31, 2015, with dividends reinvested quarterly. The chart also compares the TSR of our common stock to the same investment in the S&P 500 Index and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index over the same period, with dividends reinvested quarterly.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc., the S&P 500 Index
and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index



*\$100 invested on 12/31/10 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright© 2015 S&P, a division of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

	12/10	12/11	12/12	12/13	12/14	12/15
Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.	100.00	67.17	130.38	149.06	197.05	202.85
S&P 500	100.00	102.11	118.45	156.82	178.29	180.75
FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs	100.00	108.29	127.85	131.01	170.49	175.94

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Board of Directors

Colin V. Reed

Chairman and CEO
Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.

Michael J. Bender

Chief Operating Officer
Global eCommerce, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Rachna Bhasin

Chief Business Officer
Magic Leap, Inc.

E. K. Gaylord II

President, Eleven Sands Exploration
Chairman, E.K. Capital

William F. Hagerty IV

Co-Founder and Managing Director
Hagerty, Peterson, and Company

D. Ralph Horn

Chairman of the Board (retired)
First Horizon National Corp. and First
Tennessee Bank, National Association

Ellen R. Levine

Editorial Director
Hearst Magazines

Patrick Q. Moore

EVP, Chief Strategy and Corporate
Development Officer
YP Holdings

Robert S. Prather, Jr.

President and CEO
Heartland Media, LLC

Michael D. Rose

Former Chairman of the Board
First Horizon National Corporation

Michael I. Roth

Chairman and CEO
The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc.

Management Team

Colin V. Reed

Chairman and CEO

Mark Fioravanti

President and Chief Financial Officer

Patrick Chaffin

Senior Vice President, Asset Management

Scott Lynn

Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

Stephen G. Buchanan

Executive Vice President,
Opry Entertainment Group

Bennett D. Westbrook

Senior Vice President, Investments and
Design and Construction

Jennifer Hutcheson

Senior Vice President
and Corporate Controller

Form 10-K and Certifications

A complete copy of the company's annual report to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 10-K may be obtained without charge by writing to the company's offices and noting "Attn: Mark Fioravanti, President and Chief Financial Officer" in the mailing address.

Our 10-K is also available on our website at rymanhp.com. The most recent certifications by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are filed as exhibits to our Form 10-K. We have also filed with the New York Stock Exchange the most recent Annual CEO Certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

Annual Meeting

The annual meeting of stockholders will be held on May 5, 2016, at 10 a.m. CDT at the Gaylord Opryland Resort & Convention Center, 2800 Opryland Drive, Nashville, TN.

Market Information

The common stock of Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc., is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol RHP. The approximate number of record holders of the company's common stock on March 17, 2016, was 1,558.

Dividend Information

On February 26, 2015, we announced that our board of directors approved our current dividend policy pursuant to which we plan to pay a quarterly cash dividend to stockholders in an amount equal to an annualized payment of at least 50% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined by us) less maintenance capital expenditures, or 100% of REIT taxable income on an annual basis, whichever is greater. The declaration, timing and amount of dividends will be determined by future action of our board of directors. Our dividend policy may be altered at any time by our board of directors.

Stock Price Information

The table below sets forth the high and low sales prices and dividends declared with respect to the company's common stock for 2014 and 2015.

	High	Low	Dividends Declared
1Q15	\$64.97	\$52.48	\$0.65
2Q15	\$62.96	\$52.81	\$0.65
3Q15	\$58.52	\$48.13	\$0.70
4Q15	\$61.02	\$48.87	\$0.70
1Q14	\$44.60	\$39.63	\$0.55
2Q14	\$49.38	\$42.16	\$0.55
3Q14	\$50.24	\$46.17	\$0.55
4Q14	\$55.16	\$42.73	\$0.55

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP | Nashville, TN

Securities Counsel

Bass, Berry & Sims PLC | Nashville, TN

Transfer Agent

Computershare
730 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 840
Atlanta, GA 30308 | 312.601.6670

Gaylord Opryland Resort & Convention Center

Nashville, Tennessee

- 172-acre resort
- 2,882 rooms
- 640,000 square feet of meeting and exhibit space
- 9 acres of atriums filled with gardens and Southern Delta attractions
- 17 food & beverage outlets; 14 retail outlets
- 27,000 square-foot luxury spa and fitness center
- Largest non-gaming hotel in the continental U.S.
- Gaylord Springs Golf Links, 18-hole championship golf course nearby

Gaylord Palms Resort & Convention Center

Kissimmee, Florida

- 65-acre resort
- 1,406 rooms
- 400,000 square feet of meeting and exhibit space
- 4.5 acres of atriums filled with rich, Florida-themed attractions
- 9 food & beverage outlets; 7 retail outlets
- 20,000 square-foot luxury spa & fitness center
- Centrally located 5 minutes from Walt Disney World's front gate
- Set in the style and grandeur of a turn-of-the-century Florida mansion
- 18-hole championship golf course nearby

Gaylord Texan Resort & Convention Center

Grapevine, Texas

- 108-acre resort
- 1,511 rooms
- 400,000 square feet of meeting and exhibit space
- 4.5 acres of atriums filled with rich, Lone Star State-themed attractions
- 11 food & beverage outlets; 6 retail outlets
- 21,000 square-foot luxury spa and fitness center
- 39,000 square-foot Glass Cactus entertainment complex overlooking Lake Grapevine
- Stunning lakeside resort
- 18-hole championship golf course nearby

Gaylord National Resort & Convention Center

National Harbor, Maryland

- 42-acre waterfront resort
- 1,996 rooms
- 490,000 square feet of meeting and exhibit space
- 18-story, 1.65-acre glass atrium with spectacular views of the Potomac River and Washington, D.C. monuments
- 8 food & beverage outlets; 5 retail outlets
- 20,000 square-foot luxury spa and fitness center
- High-energy nightclub atop the hotel tower
- Part of the 300-acre National Harbor development
- Private marina nearby

Gaylord Opryland

2800 Opryland Drive
Nashville, TN 37214
615.889.1000
gaylordopryland.com

Gaylord Palms

6000 West Osceola Parkway
Kissimmee, FL 34746
407.586.0000
gaylordpalms.com

Gaylord Texan

1501 Gaylord Trail
Grapevine, TX 76051
817.778.1000
gaylordtexan.com

Gaylord National

201 Waterfront Street
National Harbor, MD 20745
301.965.2000
gaylordnational.com

Grand Ole Opry

2804 Opryland Drive
Nashville, TN 37214
615.871.OPRY
opry.com



Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.
One Gaylord Drive | Nashville, Tennessee 37214
Phone 615.316.6000 | rymanhp.com